

Biodiversity of littoral benthic community and shorebirds of Sirpur Lake, Indore

Tushar Kumar Gandhi¹ and Shailendra Kumar Sharma²

Received: May 17, 2012 | Accepted: October 31, 2012 | Online: December 25, 2012

Abstract

Sirpur Lake located in the south west area of Indore city, is mainly surrounded by large trees on half its shoreline and the rest, by shrubs and open agriculture land. The lake receives considerable amount of domestic sewage from the city and is the bathing place of its human inhabitants. The main source of water of this lake is rain water. The shoreline of Sirpur Lake has a dense population of benthic communities and shorebirds. The shoreline area of this shallow tropical lake is not only an ideal habitat for the shorebirds and the benthic community but it also plays an important role in the exchange of allochthonous and autochthonous food cycles in the lake ecosystem. Whereas the benthic community

serves as major component of secondary production in the lake, the shorebirds act as "biological filters" particularly in the shoreline zone. In homothermal and polymictic tropical lakes the plankton communities and benthic organisms are reported as main components of the food chain, but the shorebirds which are an integral part of this cycle is not mentioned in previous reports. It was therefore, thought worth while to make inventory of shorebirds, and benthic species diversity. The present study is focused on biodiversity of the benthic community and the shorebirds of Sirpur Lake, Indore.

Introduction

The littoral area of lake is an interspace of land and water. Its fauna is poorly studied (Belsare, 1979). The investigations have not gone a great deal beyond necessary descriptive analysis of their types and distribution within freshwaters. The population dynamics and trophic

For correspondence:



¹Singhania University, Pacheribari, Jhunjhunu, Rajasthan, India

²Department of Biotechnology & Life Science, Adarsh institute of Management & Science Dhamnood, (M.P.), India
E-mail: tusharkumargandhi@gmail.com

interrelationships of the benthic fauna are poorly understood (Hynes, 1970, Belsare, 1979, Sharma, 2002). Much of the difficulty in studying benthic fauna is due to their heterogeneous distribution of the diverse fauna in littoral waters in relation to their requirements for feeding, growth and reproduction. These requirements interact with and are altered by changes in the substratum and overlying water on a seasonal basis e.g. changes in oxygen contents, and in the input of living and dead organic matter for food. These organisms either possess adaptive mechanisms to cope up with their changes, enter relatively dormant stages until more physiological amenable conditions, return more or die. Within their limits, the adaptive capacity of the benthic animals of the dynamics of environmental parameters and food are basic to their distribution, growth and productivity, and reproductive potential.

The littoral region is an important interface between land and pelagic zone of water body. It is occupied by rooted plants, micro and macro-invertebrates and demersal fish species. The studies on benthic communities of shallow tropical lakes of India are reported by several authors (Shrivastava 1956, 1957; Krishnamurthy 1966, Michael 1968; Mandal and Moitra, 1975, Belsare & Oommachan, 1979, Oomachan & Belsare 1979, 1985; 1986; Pahwa 1979; Sarkar 1989; Kaushal and Tyagi 1989;; Malhotra et al 1990; Jaiswal & Singh

1994; Singhal, 1991). Gupta and Pant (1983) reported energy content of macro-invertebrates and their seasonal changes in Indian subtropical lake water body which explains rich biodiversity of the region.. The present study was undertaken to discover macro-invertebrate diversity in littoral zone of a shallow tropical lake which receive waste water from domestic sewage and agricultural effluent.

Materials and Methods

After survey of Sirpur lake for the benthic biodiversity and nature bottom, there four sampling stations are selected for the study in shore line of lake. Monthly sampling were made from March 2000 to October2000.a rod net was used in collecting samples and sieving them for isolation .the bigger animal species picked by hand where the smaller forms were isolated by sugar isolation method and studied them under low power (X 50) microscope. They were preserved by narcotizing them by methanol and chloral hydrate and later 70% alcohol. The benthic organisms were identified with help of standard books and keys. Counts were expanded to standard units of numbers per square meter by a conversion factor, which was taken from the area sampled by the grab. The conversion factor is the ratio of 1 m² to the surface area sampled by the grab. Counts were multiplied by the conversion factor to estimate the number per square meter present in the lake

The birds were observed with the help of field binocular from a distance of 100 meters and were identified with the help of Collins birds of India by martin woods cock 1980 and artificial key prepared by Belsare (1997).

Results and Discussion

The macroinvertebrate benthic fauna mainly constitute of Oligochaeta, Mollusca and Arthropoda groups. Their distribution at various stations of littoral region is summarized in table 1, 2, 3 & 4. The most common species are *Tubifex tubifex*, *Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri*, *Telmatodrilus multispinosus*, *Dero dorsalis*, *Stylaris fisciarrs*, *Branchiodrillia hartensis*, *Tubifex albicola*, *Limnaea aluminata*, *Unio sp.*, *Bellamiva bengalensis*, *Digoniostoloma punchella*, *Melanoides tuberculatus*, *M. lineatus*, *Thira scabra*, *Indoplanorbis exustus*, *Pissidium clarkeanum*, *Vivipara bengalensis*, *Chironomus sps.*, *Chaborus sps.*, *Prociadius sps.* etc.

The oligochaetes decrease in number during monsoon. They reappear in the beginning of post monsoon and continue to remain dominant during hot period. Among molluscs, except *Digoniostroma punchella*, *Melanoides tuberculatus* and *Vivipara bengalensis*, the other species remain at their lowest level. However the arthropods dominated the macro-benthic invertebrates during post monsoon and cold period. It is surprising that the molluscs

species *Thira scabra* was absent at station I & IV, whereas it was present in large number at other stations examined.

The most dominant species among oligochaetes were, *Tubifex tubifex*, *Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri* and *Telmatodrilus multispinus* at station I. At station II *L. hoffmeisteri* is dominant during August to December and at station III the other species such as *Dero dorsalis*, *Stylaris fisciarrs*, were abundant. *Tubifex albicola* was the dominant species at station IV.

Among molluscs all species except *M. lineatus*, *T. Scabra* and *P. clarkianum* were dominant at all stations, whereas *L. aluminata* was abundant in number at station II and station IV. Similarly *Vivipara bengalensis* was large number at station III. *Unio sps.* appear in large number during September to February period.

The arthropod benthic species were observed in more number at all stations, although there is slight fluctuation during monsoon, but increase in large number during post-monsoon season.

The shore bird species observed are Black winged Stilt (*Himantopus himantopus*), Common Sandiper (*Aclitus hyoleuces*), Black-tailed Godwit (*Limosa limosa*), White-winged Black Tern (*Chlidorias hybrida*), Wiskered Tern (*Chlidorias sp*), Little Tern (*Sterna albifrons*), Black-headed Gull (*Larus hemtorichii*), Avocet (*Recurvirostra*

avosetta), Indian Skimmer (*Rhynchops albicollis*), Red -wattled Lapwing (*Vanellus indicus*) and Pond Heron (*Ardiola grayii*). The grebs, coots, Mergansers (pond ducks) restrict themselves to pelagic region of lake and rarely visit littoral region. Their seasonal distribution

is summarized in table 5. It is seen that they are abundant during cold season (late post – monsoon and beginning of summer). The migratory species vanish from shoreline area during onset of monsoon rains.

OLIGOCHETES	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT
Tubifex tubifex	15	17	21	19	11	5	10	15
Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri	7	8	9	6	5	3	4	7
Telmatodrilus multispinosus	11	12	13	13	5	5	7	13
Dero dorsalis	4	10	7	5	15	10	7	9
Stylaria fossularis	8	7	4	2	5	8	3	5
Branchiodrilus hortensis	9	8	10	9	8	4	8	6
Tubifex albicola	13	17	20	12	13	4	7	13
MOLLUSCS	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT
Limnaea auricularia	24	23	39	37	17	11	10	8
Bellamva bebgalensis	19	14	9	20	14	3	4	9
Digoniostoma punchella	55	45	65	60	80	75	32	25
Melanoides tuberculatus	25	35	45	55	40	20	15	35
M.lineatus	2	3	4	7	5	2	4	5
Thira scabra	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
Indoplanovbis exustus	13	18	15	11	5	6	7	8
Pissidium clarkeanum	6	5	4	6	9	10	7	6
Vivipara bengalensis	21	32	25	19	9	5	45	36
Unio sp.	19	17	12	10	8	9	6	19
Pila sp.	6	8	5	9	7	8	10	12
ARTHROPODS	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT
chironomus sp.	27	23	27	7	9	10	69	74
Chaoborus sp.	21	25	32	27	7	4	60	71
Procladius sp.	19	12	32	30	4	2	54	75

Table 1: Seasonal Variation in Benthic Fauna at Station - 1 (2000)

OLIGOCHAETES	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT
<i>Tubifex tubifex</i>	22	19	16	14	27	38	45	60
<i>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri</i>	7	08	08	15	12	8	10	09
<i>Telmatodrilus multispinosus</i>	17	18	17	11	08	2	09	11
<i>Dero dorsalis</i>	27	10	17	14	7	06	06	13
<i>Stylaria fossularis</i>	14	19	13	11	13	16	18	21
<i>Branchiodrilus hortensis</i>	11	18	12	9	15	13	11	15
<i>Tubifex albicola</i>	12	10	10	12	NIL	2	3	5
MOLLUSCAN	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT
<i>Limnaea auricularia</i>	54	31	32	19	17	3	12	32
<i>Bellamva bebgalensis</i>	25	24	24	18	16	4	3	21
<i>Digoniostoma punchella</i>	17	25	22	12	11	8	5	19
<i>Melanoides tuberculatus</i>	11	27	21	14	12	6	7	14
<i>M. lineatus</i>	27	10	13	15	2	5	5	11
<i>Thira scabra</i>	7	14	12	13	12	8	4	18
<i>Indoplanorbis exustus</i>	24	18	14	15	13	4	3	7
<i>Pissidium clarkeanum</i>	9	11	12	11	3	6	6	3
<i>Vivipara bengalensis</i>	10	14	12	7	6	5	10	7
<i>Unio sp.</i>	17	20	11	9	7	9	8	21
<i>Pila sp.</i>	8	7	9	5	7	6	12	14
ARTHROPODS	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT
<i>chironomus sp.</i>	29	30	29	31	2	4	60	77
<i>Chaoborus sp.</i>	26	17	31	32	8	7	51	72
<i>Procladius sp.</i>	20	17	31	35	9	8	52	61

Table 2: Seasonal Variation in Benthic Fauna at Station - 2 (2000)

OLIGOCHAETES	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT
<i>Tubifex tubifex</i>	22	31	24	17	28	47	59	63
<i>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri</i>	9	12	14	16	2	13	16	NIL
<i>Telmatodrilus multispinosus</i>	18	14	12	9	2	3	9	NIL
<i>Dero dorsalis</i>	17	24	10	19	44	56	47	34
<i>Stylaria fossularis</i>	21	17	7	14	29	23	33	39
<i>Branchiodrillus hortensis</i>	31	26	19	9	26	36	51	43
<i>Tubifex albicola</i>	10	8	9	14	3	3	2	6
MOLLUSCAN	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT
<i>Limnaea auricularia</i>	35	46	42	37	28	23	13	10
<i>Bellamva bebgalensis</i>	24	23	10	23	17	5	7	13
<i>Digoniostoma punchella</i>	51	46	62	60	89	79	36	28
<i>Melanoides tuberculatus</i>	42	48	79	61	49	17	9	26
<i>M.lineatus</i>	7	4	8	4	6	7	3	4
<i>Thira scabra</i>	9	19	13	16	2	2	6	19
<i>Indoplanovbis exustus</i>	29	21	19	15	1	1	2	9
<i>Pissidium clarkeanum</i>	12	14	24	18	9	12	5	2
<i>Vivipara bengalensis</i>	88	57	53	65	72	50	43	37
<i>Unio sp.</i>	20	23	11	12	9	7	5	20
<i>Pila sp.</i>	13	9	8	9	6	4	2	12
ARTHROPODS	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT
<i>chironomus sp.</i>	31	26	29	32	2	4	61	73
<i>Chaoborus sp.</i>	33	18	39	32	5	8	51	71

Table 3: Seasonal Variation in Benthic Fauna at Station - 3 (2000)

OLIGOCHAETES	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT
<i>Tubifex tubifex</i>	13	19	27	28	19	7	9	18
<i>Limnodrilus hoffmeisteri</i>	9	5	7	5	3	5	3	7
<i>Telmatodrilus multispinosus</i>	11	17	14	7	3	3	11	16
<i>Dero dorsalis</i>	7	19	5	2	3	7	12	11
<i>Stylaria fossularis</i>	9	9	7	3	4	2	9	6
<i>Branchiodrilus hortensis</i>	11	7	13	2	2	2	8	8
<i>Tubifex albicola</i>	16	24	24	15	2	2	7	15
MOLLUSCAN	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT
<i>Limnaea auricularia</i>	29	26	49	40	19	12	2	3
<i>Bellamva bebgalensis</i>	29	19	7	22	4	3	4	7
<i>Digoniostoma punchella</i>	53	46	69	61	82	76	37	28
<i>Melanoides tuberculatus</i>	29	30	45	57	42	25	15	NIL
<i>M. lineatus</i>	3	NIL	2	9	1	1	1	1
<i>Thira scabra</i>	14	17	9	7	6	6	5	5
<i>Indoplanovbis exustus</i>	5	18	16	7	6	3	2	7
<i>Pissidium clarkeanum</i>	23	5	5	18	9	12	9	9
<i>Vivipara bengalensis</i>	24	38	32	13	10	10	45	39
<i>Unio sp.</i>	20	17	14	12	8	9	7	20
<i>Pila sp.</i>	9	9	7	7	6	10	13	11
ARTHROPODS	MAR	APR	MAY	JUNE	JULY	AUG	SEPT	OCT
<i>chironomus sp.</i>	24	25	25	28	7	5	61	77
<i>Chaoborus sp.</i>	22	21	29	31	9	4	67	71
<i>Procladius sp.</i>	17	12	33	32	7	3	53	67

Table 4: Seasonal Variation in Benthic Fauna at Station - 4 (2000)

NAME OF SHOREBIRDS	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT
Black winged stilt [<i>Himantopus himantopus</i>]	23	24	13	12	NIL	NIL	8	10
Common sand piper [<i>Aclitus hyoleuces</i>]	10	8	4	1	1	NIL	NIL	5
Black tailed Gadwit [<i>Limosa limosa</i>]	18	12	13	14	4	5	9	14
White Winged Black Tern [<i>Chlidorias hybrida</i>]	20	22	25	16	12	NIL	NIL	17
Whiskered Tern [<i>Chlidorias hybrida</i>]	24	26	14	13	NIL	8	14	19
Little Tern [<i>Sterna albifrons</i>]	25	24	25	14	2	2	3	16
Black headed Gull [<i>Larus hemtorichii</i>]	28	10	4	3	NIL	NIL	NIL	15
Avocet [<i>Recurvirostra avosetta</i>]	32	12	5	3	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
Indian skimmer [<i>Rhynchops albicollis</i>]	21	10	6	2	NIL	NIL	NIL	NIL
Red Wattled lapwing [<i>Vanellus indicus</i>]	36	22	13	14	NIL	NIL	NIL	17

Table 5: Average Number Of shorebirds (2000)

There is difficulty in studying benthic macroinvertebrate diversity due to their heterogenous distribution in littoral water in relation to their requirements for feeding, growth and reproduction. They cope up with these changes with their adaptive mechanisms,

return or die. Another major problem encounters in effective analysis of these organisms is the difficulty of sampling them quantitatively because of substrate heterogeneity which leads to a patchy and nonrandom distribution. Furthermore

taxonomy of many groups is confusing and in some cases incomplete. In spite of these difficulties the present investigation has generated quantitative evaluation of populations of oligochaetes, mollusks and arthropods.

Due to greater number of different microhabitats in littoral region of Sirpur Lake, they are abundant in hot as well as cold climates. Their abundance at station III of the lake is due to organic matter of domestic sewage effluent and run-off water from agricultural fields. During cold season the diversity of oligochaete populations and their abundance, even though shore birds, which feed on them, are abundant, probably indicate interacting mechanism permitting coexistence in the habitat. The population of these worms is regulated by selective breeding and adaptive regulatory mechanism.

The littoral region of lake is much influenced by shore birds, which feed on fish, variety of benthic communities and also control vector population of water borne diseases (Morris, 1994; Batzer and Resh, 1994; Carlson *et al*, 1994; Belsare *et al*, 1999). Belsare (1994) mentioned important role played by fish and shorebirds in maintaining trophic relationship of littoral aquatic food chain. However, limnologists in studying productivity and water quality of tropical lake neglected these important communities. Belsare (1981) reviewed the work done on tropical lakes the

New as well as of Old world and reported that there is no information on benthic communities and the role played by them in maintaining aquatic ecosystem of tropical region. The present observations indicate that the population of oligochaetes is increased during cold period, which might be due to their breeding habit and adaptability to organic waste and has nothing to do with abundance of shore birds which feed on them. The decreased population of oligochaetes during summer is probably due to their dormancy period rather than reduced organic matter from domestic waste and run off water from catchments area which is a source of food to them. On the contrary littoral mollusk population depends on dissolved oxygen and suitable substratum. The littoral benthic fauna of insects is influenced by detritus mass and recycled organic matter. The presence and absence of shore birds which feed on them do not limit insect biodiversity.

References

- Belsare, D. K. & Oomachan, L. (1979): A preliminary note on benthic fauna of the Lower Lake. *J. Sci. Res.* 1: 45-46
- Belsare, D.K. (1997): Artificial key for identification of waterfowls of wetlands of Betwa basin. Pp 1-10
- Jaiswal, V. K. and Singh, U.N. (1994): Bottom fauna of an Oxbow Lake of Muzaffarpur. Bihar. *Environ . Ecolol.* 12: 884-892.

- Kaushal , D.K. and Tyagi, A.P. (1989): Observations on the bathymetric distribution of benthos in Govindsagar reservoir, Himachal Pradesh . J. Inland Fish. Soc., India 21: 37-46.
- Krishnamurthy, K. N. (1966): Preliminary studies on the bottom macrofauna of the Thungabhadra reservoir. Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci. 65: 96-103.
- Malhotra, Y. R., Gupta, K. and Khajuria, A. (1990): Seasonal variation in the population of macro-zoobenthos in relation to some physico-chemical parameters of Lake Mansar, Jammu. J. Freshwater. Biol. 2: 123-128.
- Mandal, B.K. and Moitra, S.K. (1975): Studies on the bottom fauna of a freshwater fish pond at Burdhan. J. Inland Fish Soc. 3: 34-38.
- Oomachan, L. and Belsare, D.K. (1985): Bathymetric distribution of mollusca in Lower Lake of Bhopal. Bull. Bot. Soc. Univ Sagar. 32: 109-113.
- Oomachan, L. and Belsare, D.K. (1986): Bottom sediments and bathymetric distribution of oligochaetes in the Lower Lake of Bhopal. J. Hydrobiol. 2: 57-62.
- Pahwa, D.V. (1979): Studies on the distribution of the benthic macro-fauna in the stretch of river Ganga. Indian J. Anim. Sci. 49: 212-219.
- Singhal, P. K. (1991): Distributio Abundance of the Macro-benthic Fauna of Certain Semi-arid Freshwater Bodies. Ph.D. Thesis Univ. of Jodhpur, India.
- Srivastava, V.K. (1996): Bottom organisms of a fresh water fish tank. Curr. Sci. 23: 158-159

