

# **Ramsar Information Sheet**

Published on 29 April 2020 Update version, previously published on : 1 January 2008

# **Estonia** Nigula



Designation date Site number 910 Area 6 430,90 ha

5 June 1997 Coordinates 58°00'43"N 24°40'26"E

https://rsis.ramsar.org/ris/910 Created by RSIS V.1.6 on - 28 August 2020

# Color codes

Fields back-shaded in light blue relate to data and information required only for RIS updates.

Note that some fields concerning aspects of Part 3, the Ecological Character Description of the RIS (tinted in purple), are not expected to be completed as part of a standard RIS, but are included for completeness so as to provide the requested consistency between the RIS and the format of a 'full' Ecological Character Description, as adopted in Resolution X.15 (2008). If a Contracting Party does have information available that is relevant to these fields (for example from a national format Ecological Character Description) it may, if it wishes to, include information in these additional fields.

# 1 - Summary

#### Summary

Nigula Nature Conservation Area is an extensive wetland and forest complex. 34% (2,191 ha) of the area is covered by mires, different forest habitat types (incl. wet forests) are covering 46% (2,065 ha) and agricultural lands (cereal crop fields and grasslands) 20% of the area (according to Estonian Base Map 1:10 000).

Core of the nature conservation area, Nigula mire (2,342 ha) is a typical West-Estonian type plateau bog with a relatively open and flat central part divided into two separate units by a row of mineral islands. The site is important as a good representative of mire wetland types characteristic for Baltic Coast Bog Province and as a stopover site for several migration birds. It is among the first protected bogs in Estonia, protected since 1957.

# 2 - Data & location

# 2.1 - Formal data

#### 2.1.1 - Name and address of the compiler of this RIS

#### Compiler 1

Name	Marika Kose
Institution/agency	Estonian University of Life Sciences
Postal address	Suurküla 21
E-mail	marika.kose@emu.ee
Phone	+37256561373
Compiler 2	
Name	Kai Kimmel
Institution/agency	Environmental Board
Postal address	Narva Str 7a, Tallinn
E-mail	kai.kimmel@keskkonnaamet.ee
Phone	+3725289685
2.1.2 - Period of collection of data and From year To year	2012 2017
2.1.3 - Name of the Ramsar Site	
Official name (in English, French or Spanish)	Nigula
2.1.4 - Changes to the boundaries an	d area of the Site since its designation or earlier update
(Update) A	Changes to Site boundary Yes O No 🖲
(Updat	<sup>(e)</sup> B. Changes to Site area No change to area
2.1.5 - Changes to the ecological cha	racter of the Site
<sup>(Update)</sup> 6b i. Has the ecological character of t applicable Criteria) change	he Ramsar Site (including hd since the previous RIS?
2.2 - Site location	
2.2.1 - Defining the Site boundaries	
b) Digital map/image <1 file(s) uploaded>	
Former maps	0
Boundaries description	ite are the same as Nigula Nature Concentration Area. It has a horder with Sockunings Domoor Site
2.2.2 - General location	
a) In which large administrative region does the site lie?	Pärnu
b) What is the nearest town or population centre?	Tali

#### 2.2.3 - For wetlands on national boundaries only

# a) Does the wetland extend onto the territory of one or more other countries? Yes No O

# b) Is the site adjacent to another designated Ramsar Site on the territory of another Contracting Party?

idem No O

#### 2.2.4 - Area of the Site

Official area, in hectares (ha):	6430.9
Area, in hectares (ha) as calculated from GIS boundaries	6430.92

#### 2.2.5 - Biogeography

Biogeographic regions								
Regionalisation scheme(s)	Biogeographic region							
EU biogeographic regionalization	boreal							

# 3 - Why is the Site important?

#### 3.1 - Ramsar Criteria and their justification

#### Criterion 1: Representative, rare or unique natural or near-natural wetland types

Hydrological services provided	The mire complex is located on the watershed of the several small rivers and plays an important role in the recharge and discharge of groundwater, and maintenance of water quality in southwest Estonia.
Other ecosystem services provided	Biodiversity. Climate change mitigation. Recreation and education - Nature trail. Cranberry picking area.
Other reasons	The site is a good representative of active raised bogs (7110 Annex I Habitat Directive), transition mires and quaking bogs (7140), bog woodland (91D0), Fennoscandian deciduous swamp Woods (9080), and natural dystrophic lakes (3160) characteristic of the Boreal Biogeographical region. The mosaic wetland complex plays a substantial hydrological, biological and ecological role in the region, identified both as IBA and Natura 2000 site, as well as International level Core area in the Pan European Ecological Network. Nigula Bog is well known as a reference site for raised bog studies.

#### Criterion 2 : Rare species and threatened ecological communities

#### Criterion 3 : Biological diversity

The site supports a number of vulnerable and endangered species which are under protection and/or listed in the Red Data Book of Estonia. Highly endangered and strongly protected (I protection category) are Pteromys volans Flying Squirrel, Ciconia ciconia Black Stork, Aquila pomarina, Lesser-Spotted Eagle, Lagopus lagopus Willow Grouse, Botrychium virgianum Rattlesnake Fern (the largest population in Estonia), Metzgeria conjugate (only population in Estonia). Dragonfly species Sympecma paedisca and Anax imperator listed in the Red Data Book of Estonia and Leucorrhinia albifrons listed in list of protected species of III category.

#### Criterion 4 : Support during critical life cycle stage or in adverse conditions

☑ Criterion 5 : >20,000 waterbirds

Overall waterbird numbers	30 000
Start year	2010
Source of data:	Estonian Ornithological Society Standard DAtabase

#### 3.2 - Plant species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

RIS for Site no. 910, Nigula, Estonia

Scientific name	Common name	Criterion 2	Criterion 3	Criterion 4	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	Other status	Justification
Botrychium multifidum		V	V				EN in Red Data Book of Estonia	Rear species growing only in wetland related habitats
Botrychium virginianum		<b>X</b>	×				EN in Red Data Book of Estonia	The plant is very rare in the country
Carex disperma		×	×				VU in Red Data Book of Estonia	
Cinna latifolia	Slender Wood-reed	V					Habitats Directive Appendix II; EN in Red Data Book of Estonia	The plant is found in bog islands,
Cypripedium calceolus		×	×		LC		Listed in Appendix II of Habitat Directive	
Hammarbya paludosa		<b>X</b>	×		LC		VU in Red Data Book of Estonia	Very rare
Metzgeria conjugata		<b>X</b>					CR in Red Data Book of Estonia	

Nigula is important in maintaining the geographic range of a plant species and communities, common to raised bogs and supports rare/endangered species.

## 3.3 - Animal species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Species qualifies under criterion 2 4 6 9	Species contributes under criterion 3 5 7 8	Pop. Size	Period of pop. Est. occur	%       1)	UCN Red A List	CITES Appendix	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
Birds	irds											
CHORDATA / AVES	Accipiter nisus	Eurasian Sparrowhawk			4	2013-2017		LC			EU Birds Directive Annex I	Wetland related species, 4 breeding pairs
CHORDATA / AVES	Anser albifrons	Greater White- fronted Goose			15000	2013-2017						Mgratory
CHORDATA / AVES	Anser erythropus	Lesser White- fronted Goose	220C		250	2013-2017				×	EU Birds Directive Annex I	Mgratory
CHORDATA / AVES	Aquila pomarina	Lesser Spotted Eagle			1	2013-2017					EU Birds Directive Annex I	Breeding
CHORDATA / AVES	Caprimulgus europaeus	European Nightjar	ØOOC		150	2013-2017					EU Birds Directive Annex I	breeding
CHORDATA / AVES	Ciconia ciconia	White Stork			10	2013-2017		LC			EU Birds Directive Annex I	Breeding
CHORDATA / AVES	Circus pygargus	Montagu's Harrier			] 1	2013-2017		LC			EU Birds Directive Annex I	Typical species for wetlands. 1 breeding pair
CHORDATA / AVES	Grus grus	Common Crane			6	2013-2017		LC			EU Birds Directive Annex I	only in open bogs
CHORDATA / AVES	Lanius collurio	Red-backed Shrike			8	2013-2017		LC			EU Birds Directive Annex I	typical open bog species

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Species qualifies under criterion	Spe contr ur crit	ecies ributes ider erion 7 8	Pop. Size	Period of pop. Est. occurrence 1)	IUCN Red A List	CITES Appendix / I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
CHORDATA / AVES	Numenius phaeopus	Whimbrel	ØØOC			5	2013-2017	LC			EU Birds Directive Annex I	Open wet habitats
CHORDATA / AVES	Pernis apivorus	European Honey Buzzard	ØOOC			8	2013-2017				EU Birds Directive Annex I	breeding
CHORDATA / AVES	Pluvialis apricaria	European Golden Plover; European Golden-Plover				40	2013-2017	LC			EU Birds Directive Annex I	Only in open bogs
CHORDATA / AVES	Tetrao urogallus	Western Capercaillie	Rooc			40	2013-2017				EU Birds Directive Annex I	breeding
CHORDATA / AVES	Tringa glareola	Wood Sandpiper	RKOC			34	2013-2017	LC			EU Birds Directive Annex I	only open bogs in Estonia. 34 breeding pairs
Others												
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	Alces alces	Moose				20		LC				Breeding
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	Canis Iupus	Gray Wolf				5		LC	V			Breeding
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	Lutra lutra	European Otter	770			2		NT	Ø			in rivers. 2 pairs
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	Lynx lynx	Eurasian Lynx				2		LC				Breeding
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	Pteromys volans	Siberian Flying Squirrel	Rooc					LC			EU Habitats Directive Annex II and IV	
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	Ursus arctos	Grizzly Bear; Brown Bear				2		LC	Ø			Breeding

1) Percentage of the total biogeographic population at the site

## 3.4 - Ecological communities whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Name of ecological community	Community qualifies under Criterion 2?	Description	Justification
Transition mires and quaking bogs	V	Priority habitat in EU	EU Habitats Directive Annex I habitat
Active Raised Bogs	V	Priority habitat in EU	EU Habitats Directive Annex I habitat
natural dystrophic lakes and ponds		Bog pools	
Bog Woodlands	V	Priority habitat in EU	EU Habitats Directive Annex I habitat

#### Optional text box to provide further information

The area is relatively undrained, in good hydrological condition, remote and unpopulated. Therefore it has all habitats and their ecosystem services functioning fairly well.

# 4 - What is the Site like? (Ecological character description)

# 4.1 - Ecological character

Nigula Nature Conservation Area is an extensive wetland and forest complex with a relict lake (~18 ha) in its eastern border. Peatlands (Nigula Mire) cover 37 % (2,342 ha) of the territory of the Nigula Nature Conservation Area. Nigula mire is a typical West-Estonian type plateau bog with a relatively open and flat central part divided into two separate units by a row of mineral islands. The open hollow-rich bog comprises 55%, pool-rich bog 30% and dwarf shrub-rich pine bog 15% of the total mire area.

Around 13% of the total bog area is made up of over 370 smaller or larger pools, comprising some 60 ha in total. The mineral "bog-islands" found in the mire are surrounded by a narrow strip of mesotrophic bog (fen). The bog is surrounded by mixed deciduous forest. Some broad-leaved forests (more than 100 ha) can also be found here.

Mire formation began as a result of infilling and overgrowing of a post-glacial lake, first in the western and then in the eastern unit during the Boreal period. The incline on the edges is most spectacular along the western edge of the bog, where the bog surface may rise up to three meters within twenty meters.

In the eastern part, a good place to observe the rise is near the observation tower, where the slope is 1.5m per ten meters. The steep slopes are caused by the influence of climate, clayey ground and outflow of surface water.

At first view, the bog plateau resembles hummocky grassland, but in early summer the visitor can see fields of cotton-grass, and later the area is covered by the violet flowers of heather - a nice surprise on these wet grounds.

Peat mosses (Sphagnum) turn the bog into a sponge that retains the water from the rain and snow that fall on the bog. Twenty-four species of peat moss have been identified so far, the colourful variety of these mosses covers the hummocks as well as the edges of the dark bog pools. As a result of peat moss decomposition, a peat layer of up to eight meters has been formed. The age of the peat massifs is rather impressive considering the small annual increase of the peat layer (from 0.8 to 1.5 mm). The annual increase, however, has varied widely through the history of the bog, from 0.3 -0.7 mm at the beginning of the boreal climatic period to a maximum of 2.5 mm per year in the subboreal period (4800-2800 years ago). Since then, the yearly peat layer growth has decreased and today does not exceed 1.1 millimeters per year. Signs of bog fires have been found during the peat studies. In the eastern part of the bog, these signs lie at depths of 0.7, 1.7 and 1.8 meters and in the western part at depths of 4.3 and 4.7 meters. The oldest fires date back to the Atlantic climatic period 7800-4800 years ago.

Inland wetlands				
Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
Fresh water > Flowing water >> M Permanent rivers/ streams/ creeks		4		
Fresh water > Lakes and pools >> O: Permanent freshwater lakes		3	20	
Fresh water > Lakes and pools >> Tp: Permanent freshwater marshes/ pools		2	54	Representative
Fresh water > Marshes on peat soils >> U: Permanent Non- forested peatlands		1	1814	Representative
Fresh water > Marshes on inorganic soils >> W: Shrub- dominated wetlands		4		
Fresh water > Marshes on inorganic soils >> Xf: Freshwater, tree-dominated wetlands		4		
Fresh water > Marshes on peat soils >> Xp: Permanent Forested peatlands		1	589	

# 4.2 - What wetland type(s) are in the site?

Human-made wetlands	

Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
2: Ponds		4		
9: Canals and drainage channels or ditches		4	2	

#### Other non-wetland habitat

Other non-wetland habitats within the site	Area (ha) if known
Forests	

### 4.3 - Biological components

4.3.1 - Plant species

RIS for Site no. 910, Nigula, Estonia

<no data available>

#### 4.3.2 - Animal species

<no data available>

#### 4.4 - Physical components

#### 4.4.1 - Climate

Climatic region	Subregion
D: Moist Mid-Latitude climate with cold winters	Dfb: Humid continental (Humid with severe winter, no dry season, warm summer)

Parea l
boleal

#### 4.4.2 - Geomorphic setting

a) Minimum elevation above sea level (in metres) 55
a) Maximum elevation above sea level (in metres) 60
Entire river basin
Upper part of river basin 🗹
Mddle part of river basin
Lower part of river basin
More than one river basin 🗹
Not in river basin
Coastal 🗆

Please name the river basin or basins. If the site lies in a sub-basin, please also name the larger river basin. For a coastal/marine site, please name the sea or ocean. The wetland is located in the watershed of Salatsi, Lemme, Häädemeeste and Rannametsa Rivers and forms a part of a paludified inland lowland (Metsepole Lowland, 258 sq.km).

4.4.3 - Soil

Organic 🗹

#### (Update) Changes at RIS update No change Increase Decrease Unknown O

No available information

Are soil types subject to change as a result of changing hydrological conditions (e.g., increased salinity or acidification)? Yes O No (

#### 4.4.4 - Water regime

Water permanence

Presence?	Changes at RIS update	
Usually permanent water present		

#### Source of water that maintains character of the site

Presence?	Predominant water source	Changes at RIS update
Water inputs from rainfall / snowfall	V	No change
Water inputs from groundwater		No change

#### Water destination

Presence?	Changes at RIS update
Feeds groundwater	No change
To downstream catchment	No change

Stability of water regime

Presence?	Changes at RIS update	
Water levels largely stable	No change	

Sediment regime unknown

#### 4.4.6 - Water pH

Unknown 🗹

#### 4.4.7 - Water salinity

Fresh (<0.5 g/l) 📝

#### (Update) Changes at RIS update No change Increase O Decrease O Unknown O

Unknown 🗖

#### 4.4.8 - Dissolved or suspended nutrients in water

Unknown 🗹

#### 4.4.9 - Features of the surrounding area which may affect the Site

Please describe whether, and if so how, the landscape and ecological characteristics in the area surrounding the Ramsar Site differ from the i) broadly similar () ii) significantly different O site itself:

### 4.5 - Ecosystem services

#### 4.5.1 - Ecosystem services/benefits

Provisioning Services				
	Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance	
	Food for humans	Sustenance for humans (e.g., fish, molluscs, grains)	Low	
	Wetland non-food products	Livestock fodder	Medium	

#### Regulating Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Maintenance of hydrological regimes	Groundwater recharge and discharge	High
Pollution control and detoxification	Water purification/waste treatment or dilution	Low
Climate regulation	Regulation of greenhouse gases, temperature, precipitation and other climactic processes	High

#### **Cultural Services**

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Recreation and tourism	Nature observation and nature-based tourism	High
Spiritual and inspirational	Cultural heritage (historical and archaeological)	Low
Spiritual and inspirational	Aesthetic and sense of place values	High
Scientific and educational	Long-term monitoring site	High
Scientific and educational	Important knowledge systems, importance for research (scientific reference area or site)	High
Scientific and educational	Educational activities and opportunities	High
Scientific and educational	Major scientific study site	High

Supporting Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Biodiversity	Supports a variety of all life forms including plants, animals and microorganizms, the genes they contain, and the ecosystems of which they form a part	High
Soil formation	Accumulation of organic matter	High
Nutrient cycling	Carbon storage/sequestration	High
Pollination	Support for pollinators	Medium

Within the site:	100
Outside the site:	15 000

# Have studies or assessments been made of the economic valuation of Yes No O Unknown O ecosystem services provided by this Ramsar Site?

#### 4.5.2 - Social and cultural values

i) the site provides a model of wetland wise use, demonstrating the application of traditional knowledge and methods of management and D use that maintain the ecological character of the wetland

ii) the site has exceptional cultural traditions or records of former civilizations that have influenced the ecological character of the wetland

iii) the ecological character of the wetland depends on its interaction with local communities or indigenous peoples

#### Description if applicable

The maintenance of wooded meadows and agricultural grasslands (supporting wetland related predators) depends on local farmers. Wooded meadows and grasslands are semi-natural habitats which need mowing or grazing for survival, without support from local communities the grasslands and meadows will overgrow with bushes and loose their biodiversity.

iv) relevant non-material values such as sacred sites are present and their existence is strongly linked with the maintenance of the ecological character of the wetland

#### 4.6 - Ecological processes

<no data available>

# 5 - How is the Site managed? (Conservation and management)

# 5.1 - Land tenure and responsibilities (Managers)

#### 5.1.1 - Land tenure/ownership

Public ownership		
Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
National/Federal	<b>V</b>	×

#### Private ownership

Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
Other types of private/individual owner(s)	×.	V

Provide further information on the land tenure / ownership regime (optional):

22% private land, 78 % State owned land

#### 5.1.2 - Management authority

Please list the local office / offices of any agency or organization responsible for managing the site:	Estonian Environmental Board, Lääne region
Provide the name and/or title of the person or people with responsibility for the wetland:	Kadri Hänni, Senior Nature Conservation Specialist
Postal address:	Roheline 64, Pärnu EE80010, Estonia
E-mail address:	kadri.hanni@keskkonnaamet.ee

## 5.2 - Ecological character threats and responses (Management)

#### 5.2.1 - Factors (actual or likely) adversely affecting the Site's ecological character

#### Water regulation

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Drainage			×		×	

Agriculture and aquaculture

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Wood and pulp plantations			V			

#### Biological resource use

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Logging and wood harvesting					V	
Unspecified			×			

#### Human intrusions and disturbance

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Recreational and tourism activities	Low impact	Low impact	×	No change	×	No change

#### Natural system modifications

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Unspecified/others					X	
Vegetation clearance/ land conversion			×			

Pollution

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Air-borne pollutants			×			

#### 5.2.2 - Legal conservation status

#### Regional (international) legal designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
EU Natura 2000	Nigula Nature area		whole
EU Natura 2000	North-Livonian Bird area		partly

# Designations Online information url Overlap with Ramsar Site nature reserve whole whole

#### Non-statutory designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
Important Bird Area			

#### 5.2.3 - IUCN protected areas categories (2008)

la Strict Nature Reserve 🗹

- Ib Wilderness Area: protected area managed mainly for wilderness protection
  - Il National Park: protected area managed mainly for ecosystem protection and recreation
- III Natural Monument: protected area managed mainly for conservation of specific natural features
- IV Habitat/Species Management Area: protected area managed mainly for conservation through management intervention
- VProtected Landscape/Seascape: protected area managed mainly for landscape/seascape conservation and recreation
- VI Managed Resource Protected Area: protected area managed mainly for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems

#### 5.2.4 - Key conservation measures

Legal protection	
Measures	Status
Legal protection	Implemented

Habitat

Measures	Status
Hydrology management/restoration	Implemented

#### Human Activities

Measures	Status
Communication, education, and participation and awareness activities	Implemented
Research	Implemented
Livestock management/exclusion (excluding fisheries)	Implemented

#### 5.2.5 - Management planning

Is there a site-specific management plan for the site? Yes

Has a management effectiveness assessment been undertaken for the site? Yes I No O

If the site is a formal transboundary site as indicated in section Data and location > Site location, are there shared management planning Yes O No () processes with another Contracting Party?

Please indicate if a Ramsar centre, other educational or visitor facility, or an educational or visitor programme is associated with the site:

There is a Nigula Research centre in Vanajärve. Lots of scientific research, educational programs and student courses are held. There is a nature trail and two lookout towers in Nigula bog.

#### 5.2.6 - Planning for restoration

Is there a site-specific restoration plan? Yes, there is a plan

#### Further information

#### Restoration works have been started but not finished yet.

#### 5.2.7 - Monitoring implemented or proposed

Monitoring	Status	
Birds	Implemented	
Plant community	Implemented	
Soil quality	Implemented	
Animal species (please specify)	Implemented	

The following 10 monitoring programs are in Nigula Ramsar site: Vulnerable plant and moss species, Fen and bog birds, Wintering bird survey, Geese, swans and crane monitoring, Birds of prey, Forests and forest soil monitoring, Tetrao urogallus monitoring, Eagles and black stork monitoring, night butterflies communities.

# 6 - Additional material

## 6.1 - Additional reports and documents

#### 6.1.1 - Bibliographical references

Nigula looduskaitseala kaitsekorralduskava 2015-2024, Keskkonnaamet 2015

#### 6.1.2 - Additional reports and documents

i. taxonomic lists of plant and animal species occurring in the site (see section 4.3) <no file available>

ii. a detailed Ecological Character Description (ECD) (in a national format) <no file available>

iii. a description of the site in a national or regional wetland inventory <no file available>

iv. relevant Article 3.2 reports <no file available>

v. site management plan <1 file(s) uploaded>

vi. other published literature

<no file available>

#### 6.1.3 - Photograph(s) of the Site

#### Please provide at least one photograph of the site:



Nigula Bog ( *Herdis Fridolin,* 01-08-2016 )

#### 6.1.4 - Designation letter and related data

Designation letter

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Transboundary Designation letter

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Date of Designation 1997-06-05