

Ramsar Information Sheet

Published on 1 February 2022

India Khijadia Wildlife Sanctuary



Designation date 13 April 2021 Site number 2464 Coordinates 22°30'52"N 70°08'45"E Area 511,75 ha

https://rsis.ramsar.org/ris/2464 Created by RSIS V.1.6 on - 1 February 2022

Color codes

Fields back-shaded in light blue relate to data and information required only for RIS updates.

Note that some fields concerning aspects of Part 3, the Ecological Character Description of the RIS (tinted in purple), are not expected to be completed as part of a standard RIS, but are included for completeness so as to provide the requested consistency between the RIS and the format of a 'full' Ecological Character Description, as adopted in Resolution X.15 (2008). If a Contracting Party does have information available that is relevant to these fields (for example from a national format Ecological Character Description) it may, if it wishes to, include information in these additional fields.

1 - Summary

Summary

Khijadia wildlife sanctuary is a distinctive near- natural, coastal wetland located at the watershed of Ruparel river and Kalindri in the Indian State of Gujarat and has a very special and unique ecosystem. To protect the agriculture land from the saline water and salinity ingress, Erstwhile Ruler of the Jamnagar State created Earthen Bund almost 100 years ago (in 1920). Over the period of time, fresh water started accumulating on the one side and created this wetland ecosystem, which is a unique complex of "Saltwater-Freshwater" ecosystems with variety of habitat types supporting 312 species of birds including various categories of species scheduled in Red Data List of IUCN. The main attraction of Khijadia wildlife Sanctuary is its rich avifaunal diversity that constitutes one of the most significant waterbird habitats in North-West India. Khijadia wildlife sanctuary provides a favourable habitat for resident water and land birds serving as breeding, feeding, roosting areas. Owing to its strategic location in the vicinity of the Gulf of Kachchh and on the Central Asian Flyway (CAF), this sanctuary attracts a number of migratory waterbirds serving as important wintering and staging area during migratory season, Some of the notable species migratory species are endangered and vulnerable species such as common ochard (Aythya ferina), and Indian Skimmer (Rynchops albicollis). Khijadia wetland has been identified as one of the Wetlands of International Importance by Wetlands International and an Important Bird Area (IN-088) by Birdlife International. Khijadia wildlife sanctuary was also declared as one of the Wetlands of National Importance by the Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India. It is also among the short-listed wetlands under Central Asian Flyway National Action Plan (CAF-NAP): 2018-2023 by MoEFCC ,2018. The Sanctuary area falls in the migratory route of bird species coming from Eurasia and the region is on the migratory path of over 100 species of birds, large number of whom find congenial wintering ground in the wetland and grasslands of Gujarat.

2 - Data & location

- 2.1 Formal data
- 2.1.1 Name and address of the compiler of this RIS

Responsible compiler

Institution/agency	Marine National Park, Jamnagar, Gujarat.
Postal address	O/o Chief Conservator of Forests, Marine National Park, Ganjiwada, Nr. Nagnath Ghat, Indira Marg, Jamnagar – 361 001, Gujarat, India.

National Ramsar Administrative Authority

Institution/agency	Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change
Postal address	Office of the Additional Secretary (Wetlands), Indira Paryavaran Bhawan, Jor Bagh Road, New Delhi

2.1.2 - Period of collection of data and information used to compile the RIS

From year	2016
To year	2020

110003

2.1.3 - Name of the Ramsar Site

Official name (in English, French or Spanish)	Khijadia Wildlife Sanctuary
Unofficial name (entional)	Khijadiya Pakahi Abbyaranya

Unofficial name (optional) Khijadiya Pakshi Abhyaranya

2.2 - Site location

2.2.1 - Defining the Site boundaries

b) Digital map/image

<1 file(s) uploaded

Former maps 0

Boundaries description

Khijadia wildlife sanctuary is located virtually on the Tropic of Cancer between 22°31'27" N latitude and 70°07'17" E longitude. This wetland area is located in semi-arid region of Jamnagar district, southern coast of the Gulf of Kachchh, Gujarat in India.

North : Sea shore land and revenue areas of Jambuda village.

East : Revenue areas of Jambuda and Khijadia villages.

South : Gauchar land and revenue areas of Dhunvav, Khijadiya and Jambuda

villages.

West : Sea shore land and revenue areas of Khijadia, Dhunvav and Jambuda villages.

Khijadia Wildlife Sanctuary is along the southern boundary of Marine National Park and Sanctuary (MNP&S). Marine National Park & Sanctuary was declared earlier and had separate jurisdiction. Which is managed by different Range. Northern boundary of Khijadia Wildife Sanctuary towards the coastline is the High Tide Line (HTL). The landscape is dominated by Marine Vegetation, salt pans under Sanctuary saltpans an industrial salt producing industry. Also its boundary is flanked by agricultural revenue lands and creek lets.

2.2.2 - General location

a) In which large administrative region does the site lie? Khijadia wildlife sanctuary falls within Jamnagar District of Gujarat State, India. Khijadia Wildlife Sanctuary located virtually between 22°31'27" N and 70°07'17"E.

b) What is the nearest town or population centre? Jamnagar which is about 12 km far from KBS.

2.2.3 - For wetlands on national boundaries only

a) Does the wetland extend onto the territory of one or more other ______ Countries? Yes O No $\textcircled{\sc op}$

b) Is the site adjacent to another designated Ramsar Site on the territory Yes O No () of another Contracting Party?

2.2.4 - Area of the Site

Official area, in hectares (ha):	511.745
----------------------------------	---------

Area, in hectares (ha) as calculated from GIS boundaries 510.234

2.2.5 - Biogeography

Biogeographic regions		
Regionalisation scheme(s)		Biogeographic region
Other scheme (provide name below)	INDOMALAYAN REALM	

Other biogeographic regionalisation scheme

Khijadia wildlife sanctuary falls under Thar Desert biogeographical province(4.15.7) of INDOMALAYAN REALM (Udvardy, 1975)

Udvardy MDF (1975)'A Classification of the Biogeographical Provinces of the World', Occasional Paper 18. (International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources: Morges).

Rodger, W. A., Panwar, H. S. and Mathur, V. B., 2002. Wildlife Protected Area Network in India: A review (Executive Summary), Wildlife Institute of India. Dehradun. pp 44.

3 - Why is the Site important?

3.1 - Ramsar Criteria and their justification

<no data available>

Criterion 2 : Rare species and threatened ecological communities

Criterion 3 : Biological diversity



Criterion 4 : Support during critical life cycle stage or in adverse conditions

Criterion 5 : >20,000 waterbirds

Overall waterbird numbers	165488
Start voor	2011
Start year	2011
Source of data:	Pandey, C. N. and Teli, Janki. 2005 :Ecology and Biodiversity of Khijadiya Bird Sanctuary Published by Gujarat Ecological Education and Research Foundation.

Criterion 6 : >1% waterbird population

Criterion 8 : Fish spawning grounds, etc.

Justification Water bodies of Khijadia have functioned as spawning grounds for 5 species of fishes and 4 species of shrimps. Being in a semi-arid zone, water bodies of the Khijadia are not perennial due to drought. This may affect the spawning grounds of native fish and shrimp stocks.

3.2 - Plant species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Phylum	Scientific name	Criterion 2	Criterion 3	Criterion 4	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	Other status	Justification
Plantae								
TRACHEOPHYTA / MAGNOLIOPSIDA	Commiphora wightii	V	V		CR			The distribution of Commiphora wightii is restricted to India and Pakistan. It is valued for its gum
TRACHEOPHYTA / LILIOPSIDA	Urochondra setulosa		V	Ø				During the dry season, Fresh Water Accumulated in the Khijadia Wildlife Sanctuary supports the plant. Plant thrives on moisture stored. It indirectly helps many birds to get food.

3.3 - Animal species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Phylum	Scientific name	Species qualifies under criterion 2 4 6	9 3 5 7	es 1 8	Pop. Period of pop. Size Est.	% occurrence 1)	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
Others	1										I
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	Boselaphus tragocamelus						LC			Schedule 3 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 3 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 and seen in large population.
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	Canis aureus						LC			Schedule 2 part 2 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 2 part 2 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	Canis lupus	ØOO					LC			Schedule 1 part 1 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 1 part 1 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	Funambulus palmarum						LC			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
Fish, Mollusc	and Crustacea										
CHORDATA / ACTINOPTERYGI	Boleophthalmus dussumieri			Ø			LC			It is not protected under the Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 but Internationally it is least concern.	It is not protected under the Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 but Internationally it is least concern.
CHORDATA / ACTINOPTERYGI	Paramugil parmatus			V			LC			It is not protected under the Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 but Internationally it is least concern.	It is not protected under the Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 but Internationally it is least concern.
Birds											
CHORDATA / AVES	Anas poecilorhyncha						LC			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Anhinga melanogaster						NT			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Anser anser				577 2021	2.3	LC				
CHORDATA / AVES	Anthropoides virgo						LC			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Aythya ferina						VU			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Aythya nyroca	ØOO					NT			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Calidris tenuirostris	ØOO					EN	V		Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Circus macrourus						NT			Schedule 1 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 1 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Dendrocygna javanica						LC			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Ephippiorhynchus asiaticus						NT			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Fulica atra						LC			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Gallinula chloropus						LC			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Grus grus				16125 2021	23	LC				
CHORDATA / AVES	Haliaeetus Ieucoryphus						EN		V	Schedule 1 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 1 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Himantopus himantopus						LC			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Hydrophasianus chirurgus						LC			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972

Phylum	Scientific name	Speciesqualifiesundercriterion2469	Species contributes under criterion 3 5 7 8	Pop. Size	Period of pop. Est.	% occurrence 1)	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
CHORDATA / AVES	Mycteria leucocephala		ØOOO				NT			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Pelecanus crispus	Roko	ØOOO	78	2021	1.04	NT	V		Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Phoeniconaias minor		ØOOO	3876	2021		NT			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Phoenicopterus roseus		ØOOO	836	2021		LC			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Podiceps cristatus		ØOOO				LC			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Rynchops albicollis	ØOOO	ØOOO				EN			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Sarkidiornis melanotos		ØOOO				LC			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Tachybaptus ruficollis		ØOOO				LC			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972
CHORDATA / AVES	Threskiomis melanocephalus	ØØOO	ØOOO				NT			Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972	Schedule 4 as per Wildlife Protection Act, 1972

1) Percentage of the total biogeographic population at the site

The main attraction of Khijadia Wildlife Sanctuary is its rich avifaunal diversity as about 312 species of birds have been recorded here. It provides a habitat to endangered and vulnerable species such as Aythya ferina, Calidris tenuirostris, Rynchops albicollis and other species of fauna which contribute towards maintaining the biological diversity of the site.

3.4 - Ecological communities whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

<no data available>

4 - What is the Site like? (Ecological character description)

4.1 - Ecological character

Khijadiya wetland is a shallow, freshwater wetland with extensive marshes, adjacent to a large area of saltpans and salt marsh on the south shore of the Gulf of Kutch. Khijadia and its surrounding environment represent a mosaic of ecosystems largely comprising freshwater wetlands, brackish water impoundments, mangroves, salt pans, intertidal mudflats, and creeks. The wetland is surrounded by agricultural lands and large wasteland with prosopis dominant vegetations. The area is relatively dry, having a tropical monsoon climate, and rainfall is mainly concentrated to the months July and August. The mean maximum temperature is 40°C, and the minimum is 7°C. The average depth for the Khijadia wetland is assumed to be 1ft or, at the most, half a metre (30cm or 50cm). Storage capacity is 2.55 Mcum to 4.59Mcum, the wetland cannot hold more than this capacity and rest of the water overflows (Rao et al., 2017).

Owing to its strategic location, in the vicinity of the Gulf of Kachchh and on the Central-Asian migratory flyway, this sanctuary attracts a number of migratory water birds. Apart for avifaunal diversity, the sanctuary also acts as a hermitage for other associated organisms which interact with one another and abiotic environmental factors. These organisms include fishes, amphibians, reptiles, mammals and other microscopic and macroscopic organisms that play a significant role in bio-geocycling process.

The water bodies of the Khijadia wetland filled-up during south-west monsoon. The entire area gets submerged in water. After the monsoon is over, water gradually declines over the next couple of months. When the winter arrives, the level of water is at optimum level for water birds. Birds in large flocks and numbers visiting the Khijadia during winter. The wetlands become a mosaic of water and tall grasses, which forms perfect hiding and nesting grounds for some birds. The wetland harbours life from insects to mammals. As the months pass by, the water dries up and the area which once was full of birds now bursts into green with vegetation and it is time for the terrestrial birds to visit it. During the summer months, almost the entire area dries up and forms very important habitat for birds such as larks and pipits, until the next monsoon. In short, all through the year, the place teems with life, irrespective of the season.

Small waders, such as Little Stint, foraged on the dry banks, wet mud and water that was less than 1.27 cm deep. Medium-sized waders such as Black-winged Stilt, Greenshank and Bar-tailed Godwit required water depths up to 5 cm for feeding, while the relatively big egrets and herons fed in water as deep as 6 to 10 cm. Large birds such as Painted Stork, Lesser Flamingo and Greater Flamingo fed in water 21to 45 cm deep. Dabbling ducks fed in water from 18 to 30 cm deep.

Significant changes in temperature, rainfall and evaporation patterns are likely to cause phonological changes in aquatic and terrestrial beings in the wetland including fishes, insects, algal growth and vegetation patterns, thus, disturbing the food web of bird population visiting the wetland. An analysis by Rao et al. (2017) of the historical dataset for temperature from 1979–2016 in the Khijadia shows that there has been a significant change in temperature in the region around Khijadiya, especially in the months of March and November at a rate of around 1.6°C per 38 years (approximately 0.4°C per decade) and air temperature in the region around Khijadiya a sharp increase in temperature within the range of 0.9°C and 1.2°C is projected in the months of March and December. A 20-years data on spatial rainfall distribution in the region around Khijadiya wetland inferred that a sharp fall in the rainfall level, in the range of 0.2mm and 0.6mm per day, is projected in the following month of September. Prevailing global warming may be the reason for the long term changes recorded.

4.2 - What wetland type(s) are in the site?

Inland wetlands Wetland types (code and Area (ha) Local name Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least) **Justification of Criterion 1** of wetland type name) Fresh water > Flowing water >> N: Seasonal intermittent/ 135 Nadi 2 irregular rivers/ streams/ creeks Fresh water > Lakes and pools >> Ts: Seasonal/ Talav 470 intermittent freshwater 1 marshes pools on inorganic soils

4.3 - Biological components

4.3.1 - Plant species

nvasive alien plant species								
Phylum	Scientific name	Impacts						
TRACHEOPHYTA/MAGNOLIOPSIDA	Parthenium hysterophorus	Actual (minor impacts)						
TRACHEOPHYTA/MAGNOLIOPSIDA	Prosopis juliflora	Actual (minor impacts)						

4.3.2 - Animal species

Other noteworthy animal species

RIS for Site no. 2464, Khijadia Wildlife Sanctuary, India

Phylum	Scientific name	Pop. size	Period of pop. est.	% occurrence	Position in range /endemism/other
CHORDATA/REPTILIA	Eryx johnii				It is protected under the Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 in Schedule 4. It is one of the most poached animal in the region.
CHORDATA/REPTILIA	Naja naja				It is protected under the Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 in Schedule 2 part 2.
CHORDATA/REPTILIA	Varanus bengalensis				It is protected under the Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 in Schedule 1 part 1. Illegal trading and poaching are the major threats being faced by the Indian Monitor.

4.4 - Physical components

4.4.1 - Climate

Climatic region	Subregion
B: Dry climate	BWh: Subtropical desert (Low-latitude desert)

The climate of the Khijadia Sanctuary is coastal tropical monsoon and classified as arid to semiarid type with four seasons. A maximum temperature of up to 44 °C is observed during the months of April and May, due to which the evaporation increases and water sources dries up rapidly, while in winter the temperature drops to 7 °C. The monsoon generally sets in the beginning of July and continues till the end of September, while the rest of the periods are almost dry.

4.4.2 - Geomorphic setting

a) Minimum elevation above sea level (in metres)	0
a) Maximum elevation above sea level (in metres)	2
	Entire river basin \Box
	Upper part of river basin \Box
	Middle part of river basin \Box
	Lower part of river basin \Box
	More than one river basin \Box
	Not in river basin 🗖
	Coastal 🗹

Please name the river basin or basins. If the site lies in a sub-basin, please also name the larger river basin. For a coastal/marine site, please name the sea or ocean.

The site is located at the watershed of Rivers Ruparel and Kalinidi and the bird sanctuary is an outcome of two man made structures (bunds) which have been constructed to arrest fresh water from draining into the Arabian sea.

4.4.3 - Soil

|--|

Organic 🗹

No available information

Are soil types subject to change as a result of changing hydrological conditions (e.g., increased salinity or acidification)? Yes O No (•)

Please provide further information on the soil (optional)

Geological formation of this area is alluvial plains of Quaternary era. Soil types are salty clay, with organic matter. Sub soil is highly saline due to proximity of Gulf of Kutch and creeks.

4.4.4 - Water regime

Water permanence	
Presence?	
Usually seasonal,	
ephemeral or intermittent	No change
water present	

Source of water that maintains character of the site

Presence?	Predominant water source	
Water inputs from precipitation	V	No change

Water destination

Presence?	
Marine	No change
Stability of water regime	

Presence?	
Water levels largely stable	No change

Please add any comments on the water regime and its determinants (if relevant). Use this box to explain sites with complex hydrology:

Sometimes during summer months the wetland dries up. However, with good rainfall the water levels are maintained until the following season. The wetland area under submergence varies depending upon the rainfall. The total area in and around the sanctuary under submergence may go beyond 5.50 sq. kms. during the years of ample rainfall. However, the average area under submergence during normal rainfall years in winter is approximately 1 sq. km.

4.4.5 - Sediment regime

Sigi Sedir

Significant erosion of sediments occurs on the site \square
Significant accretion or deposition of sediments occurs on the site \Box
gnificant transportation of sediments occurs on or through the site \Box
liment regime is highly variable, either seasonally or inter-annually \Box
Sediment regime unknown 🗹

Please provide further information on sediment (optional):

Sediment regime of freshwater bodies of the Khijadiya is not yet studied. Khijadia littoral zone consists mainly of sedimentary mudflats with scattered sandy/rocky shore habitats.

(ECD) Water turbidity and colour	1.75 NTU to 375 NTU
(ECD) Water temperature	22.80°C to 36.90°C

4.4.6 - Water pH

Acid (pH<5.5)	
Circumneutral (pH: 5.5-7.4)	
Alkaline (pH>7.4)	1
Unknown	

Please provide further information on pH (optional):

pH varies between 7.04 to 9.55

4.4.7 - Water salinity

Fresh (<0.5 g/l) 🗹

Mixohaline (brackish)/Mixosaline (0.5-30 g/l)

Euhaline/Eusaline (30-40 g/l) 🗖

Hyperhaline/Hypersaline (>40 g/l)

Unknown 🗖

Please provide further information on salinity (optional):

Khijadiya wetland in Jamnagar district has a unique geographical peculiarity, as a combination of a sweet water lake and coastal saline water marshland. The salinity content of Khijadiya's water samples ranged from 230 ppm to 42390 ppm in summer season, from 900 ppm to 11000 ppm in monsoon season and from 1980 ppm to 14000 ppm in winter season. (GEER Foundation, 2020) (ppt=part per thousand)

(ECD) Dissolved gases in water

Dissolved Oxygen: 3.00 mg/l to 7.78 mg/l

4.4.8 - Dissolved or suspended nutrients in water

Eutrophic	
Mesotrophic	1
Oligotrophic	
Dystrophic	
Unknown	

Please provide further information on dissolved or suspended nutrients (optional):

Total Dissolved solids of Khijadia's water ranged from 2100 ppm to 70970 ppm	
(ECD) Water conductivity	4.40 mS to 205.50 mS

4.4.9 - Features of the surrounding area which may affect the Site

Please describe whether, and if so how, the landscape and ecological

characteristics in the area surrounding the Ramsar Site differ from the i) broadly similar O ii) significantly different 🖲

site itself:

Surrounding area has greater urbanisation or development \Box

Surrounding area has higher human population density \Box

Surrounding area has more intensive agricultural use \blacksquare

Surrounding area has significantly different land cover or habitat types

Please describe other ways in which the surrounding area is different:

The surrounding agricultural land is principally used by villagers for cultivation of cotton, jowar, millet, cereals etc. Generally the surrounding area has no impact on the water bodies of Khijadia and their ecology.

The coastal area surrounding Khijadia is marshy and tidal influenced saline habitat which is significantly different from that of Khijadia's habitats.

4.5 - Ecosystem services

4.5.1 - Ecosystem services/benefits

Provisioning Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Fresh water	Water for irrigated agriculture	Medium
Wetland non-food products	Livestock fodder	Low

Regulating Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Maintenance of hydrological regimes	Groundwater recharge and discharge	High
Erosion protection	Soil, sediment and nutrient retention	High

Cultural Services

Ecosystem service	ce Examples Importance/Extent/Signi	
Recreation and tourism	Nature observation and nature-based tourism	High
Scientific and educational	Important knowledge systems, importance for research (scientific reference area or site)	High

Supporting Services		
Ecosystem service	Ecosystem service Examples	
Biodiversity	Supports a variety of all life forms including plants, animals and microorganizms, the genes they contain, and the ecosystems of which they form a part	Medium
Nutrient cycling	Carbon storage/sequestration	Medium
Pollination	Support for pollinators	High

Within the site: 1000

Outside the site: 25000

Have studies or assessments been made of the economic valuation of ecosystem services provided by this Ramsar Site? Yes O No O Unknown •

4.5.2 - Social and cultural values

i) the site provides a model of wetland wise use, demonstrating the application of traditional knowledge and methods of management and Duse that maintain the ecological character of the wetland

ii) the site has exceptional cultural traditions or records of former $\hfill\square$ civilizations that have influenced the ecological character of the wetland

iii) the ecological character of the wetland depends on its interaction with local communities or indigenous peoples RIS for Site no. 2464, Khijadia Wildlife Sanctuary, India

iv) relevant non-material values such as sacred sites are present and their existence is strongly linked with the maintenance of the ecological Character of the wetland

<no data available>

4.6 - Ecological processes

<no data available>

5 - How is the Site managed? (Conservation and management)

5.1 - Land tenure and responsibilities (Managers)

5.1.1 - Land tenure/ownership

Public ownership					
Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area			
Provincial/region/state	X				

Provide further information on the land tenure / ownership regime (optional):

The Khijadia wetland site is entirely within a Notified Bird Sanctuary and it is under the control and management of the Gujarat Forest Department.

5.1.2 - Management authority

Please list the local office / offices of any agency or organization responsible for	Marine National Park, Jamnagar. Gujarat Forest Department.
Provide the name and/or title of the person or people with responsibility for the wetland:	Shri. R. Senthil Kumaran, IFS. Deputy Conservator of Forests, Marine National Park Jamnagar.
Postal address:	O/o Chief Conservator of Forests, Marine National Park, Ganjiwada, Nr. Nagnath Gate, Indira Marg, Jamnagar 361001, Gujarat, India.
E-mail address:	mnpforest@gmail.com

5.2 - Ecological character threats and responses (Management)

5.2.1 - Factors (actual or likely) adversely affecting the Site's ecological character

Human settlements (non agricultural)					
	Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	In the surrounding area
	Unspecified development	Low impact			X

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	In the surrounding area
Water abstraction	Low impact		X	
griculture and aquaculture				
Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	In the surrounding area
Annual and perennial non- timber crops		unknown impact		V
iological resource use				
Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	In the surrounding area
Gathering terrestrial plants	Low impact		я.	
latural system modifications				
Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	In the surrounding area
Unspecified/others	Low impact			s.
wasive and other problematic	species and genes			
vasive and other problematic Factors adversely affecting site	species and genes Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	In the surrounding area

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	In the surrounding area
Agricultural and forestry effluents	unknown impact			V
Excess heat, sound, light	unknown impact			×

Climate change and severe weather

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	In the surrounding area	
Droughts	Low impact		s.		

How is the Site managed?, S5 - Page 1

Please describe any other threats (optional):

Noise pollution especially due to vehicle movement from the nearby salt industries.

Abstraction of water for irrigation, illegal grazing and collection of firewood.

Intensification of agriculture without the adoption of modern micro irrigation practices puts pressure on the available water in the wetland. In addition, the use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides in the catchment area is a potential threat which may adversely affect the Khijadia wetland.

5.2.2 - Legal conservation status

Regional (international) legal designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
Other international designation			whole

National legal designations			
Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
Eco Sensitive Zone	Eco Sensitive Zone of Khijadia Wildlife Sanctuary	http://moef.gov.in/wp-content/up loads/2017/06/khijadia.pdf	whole
Wildlife Sanctuary	Khijadia Bird Sanctuary	https://forests.gujarat.gov.in/w ildlife- sanctuaries.htm	whole

Non-statutory designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
Important Bird Area		(IN-088)	whole

5.2.3 - IUCN protected areas categories (2008)

- la Strict Nature Reserve
- Ib Wilderness Area: protected area managed mainly for wilderness protection
 - II National Park: protected area managed mainly for ecosystem protection and recreation
- III Natural Monument: protected area managed mainly for conservation of specific natural features
- IV Habitat/Species Management Area: protected area managed mainly for conservation through management intervention
- V Protected Landscape/Seascape: protected area managed mainly for landscape/seascape conservation and recreation
- VI Managed Resource Protected Area: protected area managed mainly for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems

5.2.4 - Key conservation measures

Legal protection

Measures	Status
Legal protection	Implemented
	·

Habitat

Measures	Status
Catchment management initiatives/controls	Implemented

Species

Measures	Status	
Threatened/rare species	Proposed	
management programmes		

Human Activities

RIS for Site no. 2464, Khijadia Wildlife Sanctuary, India

Measures	Status
Management of water abstraction/takes	Implemented
Regulation/management of wastes	Implemented
Harvest controls/poaching enforcement	Implemented
Regulation/management of recreational activities	Implemented
Communication, education, and participation and awareness activities	Partially implemented
Research	Proposed

Other:

The Khijadia wetland was declared as sanctuary under the Indian Wildlife (Protection) Act, 1972 vide Government of Gujarat, Forest & Environment Department notifications Nos. AKH-81-WLP-1081-102123/P2 dated 27-5-1981 and AKH-209/82-WLP/1081/102123-V2 dated 6-11-1982. Habitat improvement works such as increase in water storage capacity, removal of unwanted growth, preparation of mounds/islets, planting of fruit tree and enrichment of wetland by adding fish culture are being implemented in the sanctuary as per prescription of the current Management Plan.

5.2.5 - Management planning

Is there a site-specific management plan for the site? Yes

Has a management effectiveness assessment been undertaken for the site? Yes \bigcirc No O If the site is a formal transboundary site as indicated in section Data and

location > Site location, are there shared management planning Yes O No oprocesses with another Contracting Party?

Please indicate if a Ramsar centre, other educational or visitor facility, or an educational or visitor programme is associated with the site:

There is an Interpretation Centre for tourists where one can get more information about birds in the sanctuary and their particular traits. There are also facilities like nature trails, watchtowers, and reclamation bunds to watch these winged visitors. Nature Education Camps are also regularly conducted in Khijadia Sanctuary during the winter months for students. This programme has proved to be very successful in bringing mass awareness on conservation issues helping the management in protection and development of the sanctuary.

URL of site-related webpage (if relevant): www.mnpcs.gov.in

5.2.6 - Planning for restoration

Is there a site-specific restoration plan? No need identified

5.2.7 - Monitoring implemented or proposed

Monitoring	Status
Water regime monitoring	Proposed
Water quality	Implemented
Animal species (please specify)	Proposed
Birds	Implemented

Annual removal of the invasive Prosopis species from the wetland area and deepening of water bodies of Khijadia.

6 - Additional material

6.1 - Additional reports and documents

6.1.1 - Bibliographical references

Pandey, C.N. and Teli, J. 2005. Ecology and biodiversity of Khijadiya Bird Sanctuary and its environs - a comprehensive study of the wetland complex and the avifauna at Khijadiya. GEER Foundation, pp. 143 - 20.

Islam Zafar-il., M, and Rahmani, A, R., 2004: Important Bird Areas in India: Priority Sites for Conservation. 1133 pages, tabs, photos. Oxford University Press.

Li, Z.W.D., Bloem, A., Delany S., Martakis G. and Quintero J. O. 2009 :Status of Waterbirds in Asia - Results of the Asian Waterbird Census: 1987-2007, Wetlands International, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

Wetlands International. 2006 : Water bird Population Estimates – Fourth Edition. Wetlands International, Wageningen, The Netherlands.

6.1.2 - Additional reports and documents

i. taxonomic lists of plant and animal species occurring in the site (see section 4.3) $^{<\!2}$ file(s) uploaded>

ii. a detailed Ecological Character Description (ECD) (in a national format)

iii. a description of the site in a national or regional wetland inventory

iv. relevant Article 3.2 reports

<no file available>

v. site management plan

<1 me(s) uploaded>

vi. other published literature <no file available>

6.1.3 - Photograph(s) of the Site

Please provide at least one photograph of the site:



6.1.4 - Designation letter and related data

Designation letter

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Date of Designation 2021-04-13