

Ramsar Information Sheet

Published on 31 October 2023 Update version, previously published on : 30 October 2008

Japan Hyo-ko



Designation date 30 October 2008 Site number 1842

Coordinates 37°50'21"N 139°14'17"E

Area 24,00 ha

Color codes

Fields back-shaded in light blue relate to data and information required only for RIS updates.

Note that some fields concerning aspects of Part 3, the Ecological Character Description of the RIS (tinted in purple), are not expected to be completed as part of a standard RIS, but are included for completeness so as to provide the requested consistency between the RIS and the format of a 'full' Ecological Character Description, as adopted in Resolution X.15 (2008). If a Contracting Party does have information available that is relevant to these fields (for example from a national format Ecological Character Description) it may, if it wishes to, include information in these additional fields.

1 - Summary

Summary

The ponds that consist Hyo-ko were originally constructed as an irrigation reservoir. They now serve as habitats for various creatures: there are abundant wet or aquatic plants and aquatic organisms like fish. The plant and fish life also make the area a landing zone for migratory birds such as swans and ducks.

The Site is an important non-breeding habitat for migratory birds. Recorded birds come from 15 orders and 35 families with 117 species in all. Anas acuta (Northern Pintail), Anas platyrhynchos (Mallard), Aythya ferina (Common Pochard), Aythya fuligula (Tufted Duck), Anas penelope (Eurasian Wigeon), Cygnus columbianus bewickii (Tundra Swan), Cygnus cygnus (Whooper Swan) all fly into Hyo-ko. Hyo-ko is especially important for Cygnus cygnus (Tundra Swan) that visit with over three thousand individuals every year.

Fish typically live in the ponds of the alluvial plain. Rare species like Tanakia lanceolata (Yari-tanago, in Japanese) and Lethenteron reissneri (Far Eastern Brook Lamprey) are also observed.

The vegetation includes Trapa natans var. japonica (Water Caltrop), Lotus, and Euryale ferox (Prickly Water Lily), which cover the water surface. Euryale ferox (Prickly Water Lily), is designated as a natural monument "Hyo-ko's Gorgon Plant" by Niigata Prefecture.

Many insects depend on these aquatic plants, among which, Zytoptera (Damselfly) and Deielia phaon are frequently observed.

There is dense growth of aquatic plants, such as Reeds and Zizania latifolia (Manchurian Wild Rice), at the margins of the ponds. Among these plants, swans commonly eat Zizania latifolia. The surrounding land is grassland, predominantly Miscanthus sinensis Andersson (Japanese Silver Grass). A beautiful landscape is created by plantings of Cherry Blossom, Iris sanguinea (Siberian Iris), Monochoria korsakowii (Heartleaf False Pickerelweed), and Lysichiton camtschatcensis Schott (Asian Skunk Cabbage).

2 - Data & location

2.1 - Formal data

2.1.1 - Name and address of the compiler of this RIS

Responsible compiler

Postal address

Kanto Regional Environment Office, Ministry of the Environment of Japan

6F, Saitama-shintoshin Joint Government Building No.1
1-1 Shintoshin, Chuo Ward, Saitama Cityi, Saitama Prefecture 330-9720, JAPAN

National Ramsar Administrative Authority

Institution/agency Wildlife Division, Nature Conservation Bureau, Ministry of the Environment

1-2-2 Kasumigaseki, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo Japan

2.1.2 - Period of collection of data and information used to compile the RIS

From year 2018

To year 2021

2.1.3 - Name of the Ramsar Site

Official name (in English, French or Spanish)

Hyo-ko

2.1.4 - Changes to the boundaries and area of the Site since its designation or earlier update

(Update) A. Changes to Site boundary Yes O No

(Update) B. Changes to Site area

No change to area

(Update) For secretariat only. This update is an extension □

2.1.5 - Changes to the ecological character of the Site

(Update) 6b i. Has the ecological character of the Ramsar Site (including applicable Criteria) changed since the previous RIS?

2.2 - Site location

2.2.1 - Defining the Site boundaries

b) Digital map/image

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Former maps 0

Boundaries description

Hyo-ko is located at 37°50' North latitude and at 139°14' East longitude.

Hyo-ko is located in Agano City at the eastern end of the Echigo Plain, at the foot of the Gozu Mountain Range. It was created in the early Edo period as an agricultural water supply pond. Swans first flew into the lake in 1950, and the lake was expanded to include three ponds; Higashishin-ike, Ayame-ike and Sakura-ike, and is now maintained as the Hyko Suikin Park with a total lake area of 24ha and a total land area of 280 ha. It is surrounded by a vast area of rice paddy fields, and the Agano River flows through the south-west part of Hyo-ko.

The Site is also designated as the Special Protection Area of Hyo-ko National Wild Protection Area and the area of the Site is the same as the Special Protection Area.

2.2.2 - General location

| a) In which large administrative region does the site lie? | Agano City, Niigata Prefecture |
|--|--------------------------------|
| | |
| b) What is the nearest town or population | Agano City, Niigata Prefecture |

2.2.3 - For wetlands on national boundaries only

a) Does the wetland extend onto the territory of one or more other countries?

b) Is the site adjacent to another designated Ramsar Site on the territory of another Contracting Party?

2.2.4 - Area of the Site

Official area, in hectares (ha): 24

2.2.5 - Biogeography

Biogeographic regions

| Regionalisation scheme(s) | Biogeographic region | | | |
|--|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Udvardy's Biogeographical Provinces | Oriental Deciduous Forest | | | |

3 - Why is the Site important?

3.1 - Ramsar Criteria and their justification

| <no< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></no<> | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

- ☑ Criterion 2 : Rare species and threatened ecological communities
- ☑ Criterion 3 : Biological diversity

Justification

Hyoko's Euryale Ferox colonies are designated as a natural monument by Niigata Prefecture. They are found in the northern limit for the distribution along the sea of Japan.

☑ Criterion 6 : >1% waterbird population

3.2 - Plant species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

| Phylum | Scientific name | Criterion 2 | Criterion 3 | Criterion 4 | IUCN Red List | CITES Appendix I | Other status | Justification |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Plantae | | | | | | | | |
| TRACHEOPHYTA / MAGNOLIOPSIDA | Euryale ferox | | Ø | | LC | | National Red List: VU | Hyoko's Euryale Ferox colonies are designated as a natural monument by Niigata Prefecture. Northern limit for the distribution along the sea of Japan. |

3.3 - Animal species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

| Phylum | Scientific name | criterion | Species contributes under criterion 3 5 7 8 | Size | Period of pop. Est. | % occurrence 1) | IUCN Red List | CITES Appendix I | CMS Appendix I | Other Status | Justification |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---|------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|---------------|
| Fish, Mollusc a | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CHORDATA/ ACTINOPTERYGII | Carassius cuvieri | | | | | | EN | | | | |
| Birds | Birds | | | | | | | | | | |
| CHORDATA/ AVES | Anas acuta | | | 5933 | Dec-Jan 2020 | 2.47 | LC | | | | |
| CHORDATA/ AVES | Aythya ferina | | | | | | VU | | | | |
| CHORDATA/ AVES | Circus spilonotus | 2 000 | | | | | LC | | | Designated endangered species, the Law for the Conservation of Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora, the Government of Japan | |
| CHORDATA/ AVES | Cygnus columbianus bewickii | | | 4239 | Sep-Nov 2019 | 4.24 | | | | | |

¹⁾ Percentage of the total biogeographic population at the site

The Act on the Conservation of Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora designates endangered species of wild fauna and flora that inhabit Japan as the 'nationally rare species of wild fauna and flora'. Capturing, breeding, and transferring, etc. of the listed species is generally prohibited according to the Act. The Ministry of the Environment conducts surveys to understand the ecological status of species in danger of extinction with the National Guidelines for the Conservation of Endangered Species under Article 6 of the Act on the Conservation of Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (https://www.japaneselawtranslation.go.jp/en/laws/view/4236). Based on the results of the surveys and interviews with experts, the Ministry will ascertain the necessary information such as population numbers and distribution of target species, and conduct relevant meetings, in order to update the information on the 'nationally rare species of wild fauna and flora'.

One percent of Northern Pintail's regional population in East and South East Asia is equivalent to 2400. One percent of Tundra Swan's regional population in East Asia is equivalent to 1000.

1. Anas acuta:

Monitoring Sites 1000 (Anatidae monitoring)

2018.9~2019.5 = 4,205

2019.9~2020.5 = 5,933

2. Aythya ferina:

Monitoring Sites 1000 (Anatidae monitoring)

 $2018.9 \sim 2019.5 = 680$

 $2019.9 \sim 2020.5 = 557$

 $2020.9 \sim 2021.5 = 472$

3. Circus spilonotus

Management Report on Hyo-ko, Special Protection zone of National Wildlife Protection Area (2017 – 2021)

4 (2017

0 (2018)

4 (2019)

4 (2020)

1 (2021)

4. Cygnus columbianus bewickii

Monitoring Sites 1000 (Anatidae monitoring)

 $2018.9 \sim 2019.5 = 4.645$

 $2019.9 \sim 2020.5 = 4,239$

3.4 - Ecological communities whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

<no data available>

4 - What is the Site like? (Ecological character description)

4.1 - Ecological character

The ponds were originally constructed as an irrigation reservoir. They now serve as habitats for various creatures: there are abundant wet or aquatic plants and aquatic organisms like fish. The plant and fish life also make the area a landing zone for migratory birds such as swans and waterfowl.

The Site is an important wintering spot for migratory birds. Recorded birds come from 15 orders and 35 families with 117 species in all. Anas acuta (Northern Pintail), Anas platyrhynchos (Mallard), Aythya ferina (Common Pochard), Aythya fuligula (Tufted Duck), Anas penelope (Eurasian Wigeon), Cygnus columbianus bewickii (Tundra Swan), Cygnus cygnus (Whooper Swan) all fly into Hyo-ko. Cygnus columbianus bewickii (Tundra Swan) is especially visiting with over three thousand birds every year.

The Site is designated as the "Suibara's swan landing zone," a national natural monument. Swans use this site for foraging, as a resting area, or to roost. Part of the wetland is maintained as a park. While walking in the park, from the beginning of October to the middle of March, we can observe swans frequently take off and return to the ponds. In this period, this Site is crowded with tourists and photographers.

The number of Tundra Swan visiting comes up to 4239, This is approximately 10 percent of its populations in the whole country and over one percent of its regional population in East Asia (1000), according to Report 2019/2020, Monitoring Site 1000 Anatidae Survey, (2020) Ministry of the Environment.

Fish typically live in the ponds of the alluvial plain. In addition to Gnathopogon elongatus (Tamoroko), Cyprinus carpio (Common Carp) and Carassius cuvieri (Japanese Crucian Carp), rare species such as Tanakia lanceolata (Yari-tanago) and Lethenteron reissneri (Far Eastern Brook Lamprey) are also observed.

The vegetation includes Trapa natans var. japonica (Water Caltrop), Lotus, and Euryale ferox (Prickly Water Lily), which cover the water surface. Euryale ferox (Prickly Water Lily), referred to as "Hyo-ko's Gorgon Plant," is designated as a natural monument by Niigata Prefecture. This is thought that has extinct in Tohoku district. The nearby Fukushimagata lagoon is its northern limit.

Furthermore, "Ukishima" floating island also plays an important role as a habitat for wetland plants.

Insects depend on these aquatic plants. Zytoptera (Damselfly) and Deielia phaon are frequently observed. Among these insects, there are rare species including Paracercion plagiosum which likes emergent plants and is designated as Endangered on the National Red List.

There is dense growth of aquatic plants, such as reeds and Zizania latifolia (Manchurian Wild Rice), at the margins of the ponds. Among these plants, swans commonly eat Zizania latifolia (Manchurian Wild Rice). The surrounding land is grassland, predominantly Miscanthus sinensis (Japanese Silver Grass). A beautiful landscape is created by plantings of Cherry Blossom, Iris sanguinea (Siberian Iris), Monochoria korsakowii (Heartleaf False Pickerelweed), and Lysichiton camtschatcensis Schott (Asian Skunk Cabbage).

4.2 - What wetland type(s) are in the site?

| Human-made wetlands | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Wetland types (code and name) | Local name | Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least) | Area (ha) of wetland type | | | | | |
| | 6: Water storage areas/Reservoirs | Hyo-ko | 1 | 24 | | | | | |

(ECD) Habitat connectivity

The Site is designated as a National Wildlife Protection Area together with the surrounding agricultural fields where swans forage. The surrounding agricultural fields were under development pressure but the local government bought and conserved the area

4.3 - Biological components

4.3.1 - Plant species

| Phylum | Scientific name | Position in range / endemism / other | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| TRACHEOPHYTA/MAGNOLIOPSIDA | | Usage as cut flowers | | |
| TRACHEOPHYTA/MAGNOLIOPSIDA | Trapa natans | Traditional Use for food | | |

Invasive alien plant species

| Phylum | Scientific name | Impacts | Changes at RIS update |
|----------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| TRACHEOPHYTA/MAGNOLIOPSIDA | Solidago altissima | Actual (minor impacts) | No change |

4.3.2 - Animal species

Other noteworthy animal species

| Phylum | Scientific name | Pop. size | Period of pop. est. | %occurrence | Position in range /endemism/other |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------|-------------|---|
| CHORDATA/AVES | Anas crecca crecca | 2300 | 2017 | | IUCN Red List; LC |
| CHORDATAVAVES | Anas penelope | 38 | 2017 | | Major species of migratory birds |
| CHORDATA/AVES | Anas platyrhynchos | 1000 | 2017 | | IUCN Red List: LC |
| CHORDATAVAVES | Aythya fuligula | 46 | 2017 | | Major species of migratory birds |
| CHORDATAVAVES | Cygnus cygnus | 170 | 2017 | | Major species of migratory birds |
| ARTHROPODA/INSECTA | Deielia phaon | | | | Typical species of pond in plain |
| CHORDATA/CEPHALASPIDOMORPHI | Lethenteron reissneri | | | | Rare species |
| ARTHROPODA/INSECTA | Paracercion plagiosum | | | | IUCN Red List: NT |
| CHORDATA/ACTINOPTERYGII | Tanakia lanceolata | | | | National Red List: NT, Typical species of pond in plain |

Optional text box to provide further information

Lethenteron rissneri was found to include two species (the nortnern and southern species). Lethenteron sp. 1 (the northern species) which is fluvial is distributed in Hokkaido and central and northern Honshu. Lethenteron sp. 2 (the southern species) is fluvial and distributed in central and southern Honshu, Shikoku and eastern Kyushu, and the Korean Peninsula. (Red Data Book 2014)

4.4 - Physical components

4.4.1 - Climate

| Climatic region | Subregion |
|---|---|
| C: Moist Mid-Latitude climate with mild winters | Cfc: Marine west coast (Mild with no dry season, cool summer) |

4.4.2 - Geomorphic setting

| 9 | a) Minimum elevation above sea level (in metres) |
|----------------------------|--|
| 9 | a) Maximum elevation above sea level (in metres) |
| Entire river basin | |
| Upper part of river basin | |
| Middle part of river basin | |
| Lower part of river basin | |
| More than one river basin | |
| Not in river basin | |
| Coastal | |
| | |

Please name the river basin or basins. If the site lies in a sub-basin, please also name the larger river basin. For a coastal/marine site, please name the sea or ocean.

| Agano River | | |
|-------------|--|--|
| | | |

4.4.3 - Soil



Please provide further information on the soil (optional)

The bottom of the pond is mineral soil because the site is located in an alluvial plain. However, the overgrowth of aquatic plants, like Lotus, causes accumulation of humus and reduces the water depth. The droppings of waterfowl also affect the site conditions.

4.4.4 - Water regime

| Water permanence | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Presence? | Changes at RIS update |
| Usually permanent water present | |
| | |

Source of water that maintains character of the site

| Course of water that manifestion of the one | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| Presence? | Predominant water source | Changes at RIS update |
| Water inputs from precipitation | ₽ | No change |

Water destination

| Presence? | Changes at RIS update |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|
| To downstream catchment | No change |

Stability of water regime

| Presence? | Changes at RIS update |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Water levels largely stable | No change |

Please add any comments on the water regime and its determinants (if relevant). Use this box to explain sites with complex hydrology:

Lake Hyo-ko has no main river, although it is connected to an agricultural irrigation canal. It was originally an irrigation reservoir. The water intake is properly managed and major fluctuations of water level are not observed.

Groundwater does not influence the pond because of the irrigation management.

(ECD) Stratification and mixing regime

Water depth is shallow. Stratification is not observed.

4.4.5 - Sediment regime

Sediment regime is highly variable, either seasonally or inter-annually \Box

(Update) Changes at RIS update No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown ●

Sediment regime unknown

Please provide further information on sediment (optional):

Turbidity is not observed because the water of this lake is supplied by agricultural irrigation canal.

(ECD) Water turbidity and colour Clear

(ECD) Light - reaching wetland Enough

(ECD) Water temperature Spring (March) 20 degrees, Summer (July) 26 degrees

4.4.6 - Water pH

Circumneutral (pH: 5.5-7.4)

(Update) Changes at RIS update No change

● Increase O Decrease O Unknown O

Unknown \square

Please provide further information on pH (optional):

pH6.7~7.6

pH tends to reduce in the summer because air temperatures rise. pH is also influenced by inflow from the irrigational canal (year of the survey: 2017).

4.4.7 - Water salinity

Fresh (<0.5 g/l)

(Update) Changes at RIS update No change

● Increase

O Decrease

O Unknown

O

Unknown \square

4.4.8 - Dissolved or suspended nutrients in water

Eutrophic 🗹

(Update) Changes at RIS update No change

● Increase

O Decrease

O Unknown

O

Unknown

Please provide further information on dissolved or suspended nutrients (optional):

Both BOD and COD retain low levels. This might be because the major river is an irrigation canal.

(ECD) Dissolved organic carbon BOD: average annual rate 2.9~7.3, maximum 6.0, COD: average annual rate 5.6~7.4, maximum 11.5

(ECD) Redox potential of water and sediments

(ECD) Water conductivity Unknown

4.4.9 - Features of the surrounding area which may affect the Site

Please describe whether, and if so how, the landscape and ecological characteristics in the area surrounding the Ramsar Site differ from the i) broadly similar O ii) significantly different \odot

The surrounding area is mostly rice paddies, a quite distinct environment from the registered Site. Part of the surrounding area is used for housing.

4.5 - Ecosystem services

4.5.1 - Ecosystem services/benefits

Provisioning Services

| Ecosystem service | Examples | Importance/Extent/Significance |
|-------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Food for humans | Sustenance for humans (e.g., fish, molluscs, grains) | Medium |
| Fresh water | Water for irrigated agriculture | High |
| Genetic materials | Ornamental species (live and dead) | Medium |

Regulating Services

| Ecosystem service | Examples | Importance/Extent/Significance |
|-----------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|
| Maintenance of hydrological | | High |
| regimes | discharge | g |

Cultural Services

| Curtarian Con Noco | | |
|------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Ecosystem service | Examples | Importance/Extent/Significance |
| Recreation and tourism | Recreational hunting and fishing | Medium |
| Recreation and tourism | Nature observation and nature-based tourism | High |

Supporting Services

| Ecosystem service | Examples | Importance/Extent/Significance |
|-------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Biodiversity | Supports a variety of all life forms including plants, animals and microorganizms, the genes they contain, and the ecosystems of which they form a part | High |

| Within the site: | 326,000 (visitors) |
|-------------------|---------------------|
| Outside the site: | 42,897 (population) |

Have studies or assessments been made of the economic valuation of ecosystem services provided by this Ramsar Site?

4.5.2 - Social and cultural values

i) the site provides a model of wetland wise use, demonstrating the application of traditional knowledge and methods of management and use that maintain the ecological character of the wetland

Description if applicable

The Site, well known as a natural habitat of Brasenia schreberi (Water Shield), offered produce to the imperial household in the Meiji and Taisyo eras (1868-1926). Currently, Trapa natans var. japonica (Water Caltrop) is used for food, while Lotus is used as cut flowers for the Japanese Lantern Festival.

The local residents use swans as part of environmental studies. School children go on swan patrols and enjoy bird-watching.

| | ii) the site has exceptional cultural traditions or records of form civilizations that have influenced the ecological character of the wetlan |
|--------|--|
| | iii) the ecological character of the wetland depends on its interaction with local communities or indigenous people |
| ical 🗆 | iv) relevant non-material values such as sacred sites are present ar their existence is strongly linked with the maintenance of the ecologic character of the wettar |

4.6 - Ecological processes

| (ECD) Primary production | Productivity is relatively high because the site is located on the plain. |
|--|---|
| (ECD) Nutrient cycling | Unknown |
| (ECD) Carbon cycling | Plant residues accumulated at the bottom of the pond as there is no natural outflow from the irrigation pond. |
| (ECD) Animal reproductive productivity | Not noticeable |

| (ECD) Vegetational productivity, pollination, regeneration processes, succession, role of fire, etc. | It is thought that aquatic plants are carried by waterfowl. Fukushimagata, used by waterfowl as a resting place, is located near this site. Genetic exchange between aquatic plants may occur. |
|---|--|
| (ECD) Notable species interactions, including grazing, predation, competition, diseases and pathogens | Nothing in particular |
| (ECD) Notable aspects concerning animal and plant dispersal | Nothing in particular |
| (ECD) Notable aspects concerning migration | In the winter season, many swans and ducks arrive. |
| (ECD) Pressures and trends concerning any of the above, and/or concerning ecosystem integrity | Nothing in particular |

5 - How is the Site managed? (Conservation and management)

5.1 - Land tenure and responsibilities (Managers)

5.1.1 - Land tenure/ownership

| Publ | liم | OWD | ore | hin | |
|------|-----|-------|-----|-----|--|
| I UD | ш | OVVII | | | |

| Category | Within the Ramsar Site | In the surrounding area |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|
| National/Federal government | / | |
| Local authority, municipality, (sub)district, etc. | V | V |

Private ownership

| Category | Within the Ramsar Site | In the surrounding area |
|--|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Other types of private/individual owner(s) | | ✓ |

Provide further information on the land tenure / ownership regime (optional):

Agano City owns the main Site. The Agency for Cultural Affairs also owns some of the land. In addition, the Aganogawa Land Improvement District manages a small part of the surrounding agricultural area. The Ramsar Site is managed as a park and registered as public space.

5.1.2 - Management authority

| Please list the local office / offices of any | Kanto Regional Environment Office, Ministry of the Environment of Japan |
|---|---|
| agency or organization responsible for | |
| managing the site: | |
| Provide the name and/or title of the person | Toshiro Segawa, Director of Kanto Regional Environment Office |
| r people with responsibility for the wetland: | |
| | 6F, Saitama-shintoshin Joint Government Building No.1 1-1, Shintoshin, Chuo Ward, Saitama City, Saitama Prefecture 330-9720 Japan |
| | |
| E-mail address: | reo-kanto@env.go.ip |

5.2 - Ecological character threats and responses (Management)

5.2.1 - Factors (actual or likely) adversely affecting the Site's ecological character

Potential threat

Lawimanast

Actual threat

Laurimanaat

Human settlements (non agricultural)

Factors adversely

Actus

affecting site
Unspecified

| development | Low impact | Low impact | Ц | No change | V | No change |
|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|
| ter regulation | | | | | | |
| Factors adversely affecting site | Actual threat | Potential threat | Within the site | Changes | In the surrounding area | Changes |
| Drainage | Low impact | Low impact | ✓ | No change | | No change |
| Water abstraction | Low impact | Low impact | ✓ | No change | | No change |
| Dredging | Medium impact | Medium impact | ✓ | No change | П | No change |

Within the site

Changes

No oboneo

In the surrounding area

Changes

No obongo

| affecting site | Actual threat | Potential threat | Within the site | Changes | In the surrounding area | Changes |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Annual and perennial non-timber crops | Low impact | Low impact | ✓ | No change | | No change |
| Marine and freshwater aquaculture | Low impact | Low impact | ✓ | No change | | No change |

Transportation and service corridors

| Factors adversely affecting site | Actual threat | Potential threat | Within the site | Changes | In the surrounding area | Changes |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Unspecified | Low impact | Low impact | ✓ | No change | | No change |

Biological resource use

| Factors adversely affecting site | Actual threat | Potential threat | Within the site | Changes | In the surrounding area | Changes |
|----------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Unspecified | Low impact | Low impact | ✓ | No change | ✓ | No change |

Human intrusions and disturbance

| Turnar madolorio and diotalbario | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Factors adversely affecting site | Actual threat | Potential threat | Within the site | Changes | In the surrounding area | Changes |
| Recreational and tourism activities | Low impact | Low impact | / | No change | | No change |

Invasive and other problematic species and genes

| Factors adversely affecting site | Actual threat | Potential threat | Within the site | Changes | In the surrounding area | Changes |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Invasive non-native/ alien species | Medium impact | Medium impact | 2 | No change | | No change |
| Geological events | | | | | | |
| Geological events | | | | | | |

 \checkmark

No change

No change

5.2.2 - Legal conservation status

National legal designations

Unspecified

| Designation type | Name of area | Online information url | Overlap with Ramsar Site |
|------------------|---|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Natural monument | Suibara's swan landing zone | | whole |
| Wildlife refuge | Hyo-ko Specail Protection Area of the Hyo-ko National Wildlife Protection Area, | | whole |

Low impact

5.2.3 - IUCN protected areas categories (2008)

| | la Strict Nature Reserve |
|---|---|
| | Ib Wilderness Area: protected area managed mainly for wilderness protection |
| | Il National Park: protected area managed mainly for ecosystem protection and recreation |
| ¥ | Il Natural Monument: protected area managed mainly for conservation of specific natural features |
| ¥ | V Habitat/Species Management Area: protected area managed mainly for conservation through management intervention |
| | / Protected Landscape/Seascape: protected area managed mainly for landscape/seascape conservation and recreation |
| | /I Managed Resource Protected Area: protected area managed mainly for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems |

Low impact

5.2.4 - Key conservation measures

Legal protection

| Measures | Status |
|------------------|-------------|
| Legal protection | Implemented |

Species

| Species | | |
|---------|-------------------------|-------------|
| | Measures | Status |
| | Threatened/rare species | Implemented |
| | management programmes | implemented |

Human Activities

| Measures | Status | |
|--|-------------|--|
| Harvest controls/poaching enforcement | Implemented | |
| Research | Implemented | |
| Communication, education, and participation and awareness activities | Implemented | |

5.2.5 - Management planning

Is there a site-specific management plan for the site? Yes

Has a management effectiveness assessment been undertaken for the site?

If the site is a formal transboundary site as indicated in section Data and location > Site location, are there shared management planning Yes O No

processes with another Contracting Party?

Please indicate if a Ramsar centre, other educational or visitor facility, or an educational or visitor programme is associated with the site:

A garden office, the Swan's village for visitors and swan shelter were established and are maintained by Agano City.

URL of site-related webpage (if relevant):

www.city.agano.niigata.jp/kanko_bunka_sports/shizen_koen_seibutsu/koen/3696.html www.city.agano.niigata.jp/kanko_bunka_sports/shizen_koen_seibutsu/3593.html www.city.agano.niigata.jp/kanko_bunka_sports/shizen_koen_seibutsu/3594.html

5.2.6 - Planning for restoration

Is there a site-specific restoration plan? No need identified

5.2.7 - Monitoring implemented or proposed

| Monitoring | Status |
|-------------------------|-------------|
| Birds | Implemented |
| Plant community | Implemented |
| Water quality | Implemented |
| Plant species | Implemented |
| Soil quality | Implemented |
| Water regime monitoring | Implemented |

6 - Additional material

6.1 - Additional reports and documents

6.1.1 - Bibliographical references

- · Report 2019. Monitoring Site 1000 Anatidae Survey. Monitoring site 1000. (2019) Biodiversity Center of Japan, Nature Conservation Bureau, Ministry of the Environment.
- · Report of the survey for accumulation of sludge in Hyo-ko. (2018) The group of conservation for swan in Hyo-ko.
- · Report of the survey for water quality in Hyo-ko. (2017) Agano city.
- · Report of the research, Environmental Research Center Niigata city. Fish community of lakes in Niigata plain. (2015) Lagoon Environmental Research Center.
- Report of the survey of the bottom sediment in Hyo-ko, government-designated wildlife protection area in 2010. (2011) Agano city
- · Ramsar site Hyo-ko.(pamphlet) Department of Environment and Agano city.(2009.1)
- · Vegetation on the Ukishima, Hyo-ko. (2008) The group of conservation for swan in Hyo-ko.
- I of the survey for conservation of environment of Hyo-ko. (1979) Suibara town.
- · Report II of the survey for conservation of environment of Hyo-ko. (Material) (1979) Suibara town.
- · Report of general study of Fukushima-gata and Hyo-ko (jointed volume of the second and third) (1973 · 1974) Niigata central High School of Niigata Prefecture. Tomie Ozaki eds. Toyosaka city and Suibara town.
- · MOE HP (Japanese): https://www.env.go.jp/nature/kisho/domestic/list.html
- · Japanese Law Translation: https://www.japaneselawtranslation.go.jp/en/laws/view/4237

[Order for Enforcement of the Act of Conservation of Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora. Appended Table 1, 2: Nationally Rare Species of Wild Fauna and Flora]

6.1.2 - Additional reports and documents

i. taxonomic lists of plant and animal species occurring in the site (see section 4.3)

ii. a detailed Ecological Character Description (ECD) (in a national format)

iii. a description of the site in a national or regional wetland inventory

iv. relevant Article 3.2 reports

v. site management plan

vi. other published literature

<no data available>

6.1.3 - Photograph(s) of the Site

Please provide at least one photograph of the site:



Flying for commuting (Iwad 16-10-2014



Hyo-ko in a fine clear day



Swans in Hyo-ko, cherr blossoms, and Gozu mountain range with remaining snow (Iwad SATO, 09-04-2015)



Hyo-ko at sunrise (Iwao SATO, 28-10-2011)

6.1.4 - Designation letter and related data

Designation letter

Date of Designation 2008-10-30