

Ramsar Information Sheet

Published on 8 March 2018 Update version, previously published on : 1 January 2012

Norway Øvre Forra



Designation date 6 August 2002 Site number 1194 Coordinates 63°36'07"N 11°36'21"E Area 10 254,00 ha

https://rsis.ramsar.org/ris/1194 Created by RSIS V.1.6 on - 8 March 2018

Color codes

Fields back-shaded in light blue relate to data and information required only for RIS updates.

Note that some fields concerning aspects of Part 3, the Ecological Character Description of the RIS (tinted in purple), are not expected to be completed as part of a standard RIS, but are included for completeness so as to provide the requested consistency between the RIS and the format of a 'full' Ecological Character Description, as adopted in Resolution X.15 (2008). If a Contracting Party does have information available that is relevant to these fields (for example from a national format Ecological Character Description) it may, if it wishes to, include information in these additional fields.

1 - Summary

Summarv

Øvre Forra is one of Norway's largest nature reserves, larger than some national parks of the country. The Site constitutes a huge, intact mire system found at a relatively high elevation (370m - 936m), which is partly forested (Norway spruce and Scots pine) and interspersed with several smaller lakes and meandering rivers. The landscape is characterized by the mire system, and mires also exist on a sloping terrain due to high precipitation. Ombrotrophic bogs receive all their nutrients from precipitation and as a result they are nutrient-poor with only a few vascular plant species. Peat depth varies greatly in relation to the varied landscape, and ranges from 2.5 m in the large plain areas to only a few cm in the sloping terrain (>10° inclination).

In 1982, a discovery was made of a bloomery (Heglesvollen) for production of iron straight from bog ore. Several remains from such bloomeries exists all over Øvre Forra. Fuel for the bloomery furnaces was charcoal, and deforestation in relation to this activity likely resulted in waterlogging, contributing to the formation of the many mires one can find in this area today.

The river Forra is a tributary river of the Stjørdalselva which runs through the protected area. The Forra meanders through the large plain mire landscape and over an 8 km long stretch, the river descends by only 2.2 m, resulting in two large and deep slow-flowing river stretches.

The area is well known for its abundance of waterfowl, especially waders, but also for its diversity in mire types and flora. A total of 331 vascular plant species are registered, along with 370 species of higher fungi. One can also find 130 species of birds (19 wader species), and more than 70 of these are registered as breeding species. The most abundant avian species in Øvre Forra are common redshank, common greenshank, common gull, common snipe and whimbrel.

Human activities include canoeing, berry picking (cloudberries and blueberries), skiing activities, hiking and recreational hunting and fishing.

2 - Data & location

2.1 - Formal data

2.1.1 - Name and address of the compiler of	this	RIS
---	------	-----

Compiler 1

Name	Pernille Kvernland
Institution/agency	Norwegian Environment Agency
Postal address	Post box 5672 Torgarden, N-7485 Trondheim, Norway
E-mail	post@miljodir.no
Phone	+47 73580500

2.1.2 - Period of collection of data and information used to compile the RIS

From year 1970

To year 2015

2.1.3 - Name of the Ramsar Site

Official name (in English, French or Spanish)

Øvre Forra

2.1.4 - Changes to the boundaries and area of the Site since its designation or earlier update

(Update) A Changes to Site boundary Yes O No

(Update) B. Changes to Site area No change to area

2.1.5 - Changes to the ecological character of the Site

(Update) 6b i. Has the ecological character of the Ramsar Site (including applicable Criteria) changed since the previous RIS?

2.2 - Site location

2.2.1 - Defining the Site boundaries

b) Digital map/image

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Former maps 0

Boundaries description

The boundaries are the same as for the Øvre Forra Nature Reserve.

2.2.2 - General location

a) In which large administrative region does the site lie?

Nord-Trøndelag

b) What is the nearest town or population centre?

Levanger, approx pop. est. of 20 000 (2016)

2.2.3 - For wetlands on national boundaries only

a) Does the wetland extend onto the territory of one or more other countries?

b) Is the site adjacent to another designated Ramsar Site on the territory of another Contracting Party?

2.2.4 - Area of the Site

Official area, in hectares (ha): 10254

Area, in hectares (ha) as calculated from 10254.69 GIS boundaries

2.2.5 - Biogeography

Biogeographic regions

Regionalisation scheme(s)	Biogeographic region
EU biogeographic regionalization	1. Alpine
Other scheme (provide name below)	Moddle boreal zone (MbO2 - clearly oceanic section), Northern boreal zone (NbO2 - clearly oceanic section) and Alpine zone (AO1 – slightly oceanic section)

Other biogeographic regionalisation scheme

- 1. Biogeographical regions of Europe, European Environment Agency, 2005
- 2. Zonal division showing the variation in vegetation from south to north and from the lowlands to the mountains, and sectional graduation showing the variation between the coast and inland (In: Moen, A. 1998. Nasjonalatlas for Norge; vegetasjon. Statens kartverk, Hønefoss).

3 - Why is the Site important?

3.1 - Ramsar Criteria and their justification

☑ Criterion 1: Representative, rare or unique natural or near-natural wetland types

Øvre Forra is representative of a large and unspoilt mire and forested mire-system to be found at higher elevation. Characteristic, and of international interest, are the many different types of mires, such as blanket bogs, raised bogs, ombrotrophic mires, alkaline fens and others. As a whole, Øvre Forra comprises a unique natural environment and mountainous cultural landscape.

- ☑ Criterion 2 : Rare species and threatened ecological communities
- ☑ Criterion 3 : Biological diversity

The area is well known for its abundance of waterfowl, especially waders, but also for its diversity in mire Justification types and botany. A total of 331 vascular plant species are registered, along with 370 species of "higher fungi". One can also find 130 species of birds, of these 19 species constitute waders.

- ☑ Criterion 4 : Support during critical life cycle stage or in adverse conditions
- 3.2 Plant species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Scientific name	Common name	Criterion 2	Criterion 3	Criterion 4	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	Other status	Justification
Clavaria zollingeri	Violet coral	✓					National Red List: Considered as VU	
Cortinarius salor		✓					National Red List: Considered as VU	
Cyphelium karelicum		✓					National Red List: Considered as VU	
Entoloma pratulense		✓					National Red List: Considered as VU	
Entoloma rhombisporum		✓					National Red List: Considered as VU	
Entoloma velenovskyi		✓					National Red List: Considered as VU	
Geoglossum hakelieri		V					National Red List: Considered as EN	
Hygrocybe ingrata	Dingy waxcap	✓					National Red List: Considered as VU	
Hygrocybe subpapillata		V					National Red List: Considered as VU	
Hygrocybe turunda		✓					National Red List: Considered as VU	
Kobresia simpliciuscula	False sedge	V			LC • ISF		National Red List: Considered as EN	
Protodontia piceicola		✓					National Red List: Considered as VU	
Pseudorchis albida	Small white orchid						National Red List: Considered as NT	Arare species encountered in this wetland.
Schoenus ferrugineus		V					National Red List: Considered as VU	
Tricholoma sejunctum	Yellow blusher	V					National Red List: Considered as EN	

Not assessed for Catalogue of Life yet: Lophozia laxa - Criterion 2 - National Red List: Considered as VU.
Capitalized letters shows the species' status on the National Red List 2015.

3.3 - Animal species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

0.0 / 11	3 - Allithal species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site										
Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Species qualifies under criterion 2 4 6 9	criterion	Size Period of pop. Est.	% occurrence 1)	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
Birds											
AVES	ECI.	Common Sandpiper		0000			LC ©\$\$				Criterion 4: This species is a common breeder.
AVES	Anas crecca	Green-winged Teal; Eurasian Teal		0000			LC ©SP				Criterion 4: One of the most frequently encountered breeding duck species.
CHORDATA / AVES	Anas penelope	Eurasian Wigeon		0000							Criterion 4: This species can be observed during migration.

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Specie qualifie under criterio	es c	Spec contrib und criter	outes er	Pop. Size Period of pop. Est. occurrence	IUCN Red A	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
CHORDATA / AVES	Aquila chrysaetos	Golden Eagle	2 4 6	9 3				LC				Criterion 4: This is a common breeding species, particularly during rodent years.
AVES	Aythya fuligula	Tufted Duck						LC				Criterion 4: This species is a common breeder.
CHORDATA / AVES	🚅 🕮 🤌	Greater Scaup	77					LC •\$			National Red List: Considered as VU	Criterion 4: This species can be observed during migration.
CHORDATA / AVES	Bubo bubo	Eurasian Eagle- Owl	77					LC			National Red List: Considered as EN	Criterion 4: This used to be a characteristic breeding species.
	Bucephala clangula	Common Goldeneye						LC				Criterion 4: One of the most frequently encountered breeding duck species.
	Buteo lagopus	Rough-legged Hawk; Rough- legged Buzzard; Roughleg		100				LC ##				Criterion 4: This is a common breeding species, particularly during rodent years.
	Charadrius hiaticula	Common Ringed Plover	77					LC			Ann. Il Berne Convention	Criterion 4: This species breed within this wetland area.
CHORDATA / AVES	Chroicocephalus ridibundus	Black-headed Gul									National Red List: Considered as VU	Criterion 4: This used to be a characteristic breeding species.
CHORDATA / AVES	Clangula hyemalis	Oldsquaw; Long- tailed Duck			20			VU © ST © TSF			National Red List: Considered as NT	Criterion 4: This species can be observed during migration.
	Cygnus cygnus	Whooper Swan						LC			Ann. Il Berne Convention, Emerald Network	Criterion 4: This species utilize Fersoset as a staging area during spring migration.
AVES	gallinago	Common Snipe						LC				Criterion 4: This species is a noteworthy breeder.
CHORDATA / AVES	Gallinago media	Great Snipe			Z 🗆 (NT			National Red List: Considered as NT	Criterion 4: This species is a noteworthy breeder.
AVES	Gavia arctica	ArcticLoon; Black- throated Loon						LC © SS © ESS			National Red List: Considered as NT	Criterion 4: This species is a common breeder.
AVES	Gavia stellata	Red-throated Diver; Red- throated Loon						LC ©\$? ©®#				Criterion 4: This species is a common breeder.
CHORDATA / AVES	<u></u>	Common Crane						LC Sign			Ann. Il Berne Convention, Emerald Network	Criterion 4: This species is a common breeder.
CHORDATA / AVES	Larus canus	Mew Gull						LC Sign			National Red List: Considered as NT	Criterion 4: This species breed within this wetland area.
CHORDATA / AVES	Melanitta nigra	Black Scoter						LC			National Red List: Considered as NT	Criterion 4: This species is a common breeder.
	Mergus merganser	Common Merganser						LC ●数 ●際				Criterion 4: This species is a common breeder.

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	qi t cr	pecies ualifies under riterio 4 6	es d	conti ur crit	nder erio	es F	op. Period of pop. Est.	% occurrence 1)	Red / List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
AVES	Mergus serrator	Red-breasted Merganser		V							LC ©ST				Criterion 4: This species can be observed during migration.
	Numenius phaeopus	Whimbrel		Z 🗆	100						LC ●部				Criterion 4: This species is a noteworthy breeder.
AVES	Pluvialis apricaria	European GoldenPlover; European Golden- Plover	_ 🗆 🛭	v 🗆							LC •#				Criterion 4: This species is a noteworthy breeder.
AVES	Scolopax rusticola	Eurasian Woodcock		/							LC Sign				Criterion 4: This species breeds within this wetland area.
	mollissima	Common Eider		Z 🗆							NT ●\$* ●\$#			National Red List: Considered as NT	Criterion 4: This species can be observed during migration.
AVES	Tadoma tadoma	Common Shelduck		2							LC ©#				Criterion 4: This species can be observed during migration.
AVES	Tringa glareola	Wood Sandpiper	.	/							LC OSS			Ann. Il Berne Convention	Criterion 4: This species is a noteworthy breeder.
AVES	Tringa nebularia	Common Greenshank		/							LC Sign				Criterion 4: This used to be a characteristic breeding species.
CHORDATA / AVES	Vanellus vanellus	Northern Lapwing	V	/							NT •\$			National Red List: Considered as EN	Criterion 4: This species is a common breeder.
Fish, Mol	llusc and Crustace	a													
	Margaritifera margaritifera	Freshwater pearl mussel	2								EN ●SP			National Red List: Considered as VU	
Others															
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	GCL	Eurasian Beaver	.	2							LC			Ann. III Berne Convention, Emerald Network	Criterion 4: This species breed within this wetland area.
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	SC.	Wolverine	V								LC ©#			National Red List: Considered as EN, Annex II of Berne Convention	
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	ECT.	European Otter	V								NT ©#	>		National Red List: Considered as VU, Annex II of Berne Convention	
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	EC.	Eurasian Lynx	Ø.								LC			National Red List: Considered as EN, Annex III, Berne Convention	
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	Rangifer tarandus	Caribou	V.	2 0							VU ©\$* ©\$#				During winter approximately 700 ind. graze in the area of Skjøttingen–Hårskallen.
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	Ursus arctos	Brown Bear; Grizzly Bear	V								LC •#	Ø		National Red List: Considered as EN, Annex II of Berne Convention	

¹⁾ Percentage of the total biogeographic population at the site

Capitalized letters shows the species' status on the National Red List 2015.

3.4 - Ecological communities whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Name of ecological community	Community qualifies under Criterion 2?	Description	Justification
Coastal bog	2		National Red List: Considered as VU
Mowable mire margin	2		National Red List: Considered as CR
Mowable mire expanse	2		National Red List: Considered as EN
Oxbow lakes, meanders and flood channels	2		National Red List: Considered as EN
Semi-antural grassland	2		National Red List: Considered as VU

Optional text box to provide further information

Capitalized letters show the habitats' status on the National Red List for Ecosystems and Habitat types 2011.

4 - What is the Site like? (Ecological character description)

4.1 - Ecological character

The main area of the reserve includes the upper part of the river Forra, surrounded by extensive mires. The mires cover 62% of the area. There are both flat mires and mires in sloping terrain (blanket mires). The mires have a very varied vegetation and are of international importance.

Extensive forested areas with coniferous forests (36% of the protected area, of which 11% grow on mires). Freshwater bodies are numerous and the slow flowing and meandering river Forra is often covered with aquatic vegetation, Potamogeton, Carex and Nymphaea (in all 24 species). Gallery (partly swamp) forests occur along the river (Betula pubescens, Alnus incana and Salix spp.), and are an important feature of flora and fauna. Some higher elevated hills and peaks with nutrient demanding vegetation occur to the west. Small hay-gathering areas exist from former times, most of these are today growing back to natural vegetation, however, smaller areas are kept open by traditional methods according to the management plan for the area. The area also constitutes an important breeding site for waders.

Nowadays, the most abundant bird species in Øvre Forra is the common redshank. This species has outnumbered the previously most abundant species, the meadow pipit. The numbers of the meadow pipit, the Northern lapwing, the European golden plover and the common sandpiper all tend to be lower than 40 years ago. The common gull and two Tringa species, the common redshank and the common greenshank, seem to have increased in numbers, while the situation for the common snipe and the whimbrel appears to be stable. The ruff used to be a characteristic species on the Øvre Forra's bogs in the 1970s, but has since vanished. Altogether, the study shows an apparently quite stable avifauna over the time span of 40 years in this environmentally stable reserve.

4.2 - What wetland type(s) are in the site?

Inland wetlands

Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
Fresh water > Flowing water >> Mt Permanent rivers/ streams/ creeks		3		
Fresh water > Lakes and pools >> O: Permanent freshwater lakes		4		
Fresh water > Lakes and pools >> Tp: Permanent freshwater marshes/ pools				
Fresh water > Marshes on peat soils >> U: Permanent Non- forested peatlands		1		Representative
Fresh water > Marshes on peat soils >> Xp: Permanent Forested peatlands		2		Representative

4.3 - Biological components

4.3.1 - Plant species

Other noteworthy plant species

Scientific name	Common name	Position in range / endemism / other
Alloclavaria purpurea	Purple coral	National Red List: Considered as NT
Bankera violascens		National Red List: Considered as NT
Briza media		This wetland comprise the Northernmost distribution range for this species.
Carex lepidocarpa	Long-stalked yellow-sedge	National Red List: Considered as NT
Chaenotheca subroscida	Lemondrop whiskers	National Red List: Considered as NT
Clavaria fumosa	Smoky davaria	National Red List: Considered as NT
Cystostereum murrayi		
Dactylorhiza incarnata	Early marsh-orchid	Very common species in this wetland area.
Dactylorhiza incarnata cruenta	Flecked marsh orchid	Very common species in this wetland area.
Dactylorhiza viridis	Frog Orchid	
Gentianella campestris campestris		National Red List: Considered as NT
Gentianella campestris islandica		National Red List: Considered as NT
Gymnadenia conopsea		Demanding species, adapted to rich mire areas.
Hammarbya paludosa	Bog Orchid	
Hebeloma birrus		National Red List: Considered as NT
Hygrocybe nitrata	Nitrous Waxcap	National Red List: Considered as NT
Hygrocybe russocoriacea	Cedarwood waxcap	National Red List: Considered as NT
Hygrophorus secretanii		
Micranthes tenuis	Ottertail Pass saxifrage	National Red List: Considered as NT
Moneses uniflora	St. Olafs candlestick	Very common species in this wetland area.
Myricaria germanica	False tamarisk	National Red List: Considered as NT
Phellinus kamahi		National Red List: Considered as NT
Pseudographis pinicola		National Red List: Considered as NT

Optional text box to provide further information

Not assessed for Catalogue of Life yet: Tayloria tenuis - National Red List: Considered as NT.

Capitalized letters show the species' status on the National Red List 2015.

4.3.2 - Animal species

Other noteworthy animal species

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Pop. size	Period of pop. est.	%occurrence	Position in range /endemism/other
CHORDATA/AVES	Picoides tridactylus	Three-toed Woodpecker				
CHORDATA/AVES	Sumia ulula	Northern Hawk Owl;Northern Hawk-Owl				
CHORDATA/ACTINOPTERYGII	Salmo salar	Silver salmon				Salmon Salmo salar goes up the river Forra, but does not enter the site.
CHORDATA/ACTINOPTERYGII	Salmo trutta	Herling				Trout Salmo trutta is using the river and lake in the site.

Invasive alien animal species

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Impacts	Changes at RIS update
CHORDATA/MAMMALIA	Neovison vison	American Mink	Potentially	No change

4.4 - Physical components

4.4.1 - Climate

Climatic region	Subregion
D: Moist Mid-Latitude climate with cold winters	Dfc: Subarctic (Severe winter, no dry season, cool summer)

Normal annual precipitation is well above 1000 mm, with cold winters and relatively warm summers. Slow snow melt in the spring also creates a humid climate. Middle yearly temperature is 2,3°C, with an average of -6,9°C in January and 12,1°C in July. Snow cover usually lasts from October/November to May/June.

447-	Geomor	nhic s	effina
7.7.2	OCOITIO		Cung

a) Minimum elevation above sea level (in metres) 375	
a) Maximum elevation above sea level (in metres)	
Entire ri	ver basin 🗆
Upper part of ri	ver basin 🗆
Middle part of ri	ver basin 🗷
Lower part of ri	ver basin 🛚
More than one ri	ver basin 🗆
Not in ri	ver basin 🗆

Please name the river basin or basins. If the site lies in a sub-basin, please also name the larger river basin. For a coastal/marine site, please name the sea or ocean

Forra river		

4.4.3 - Soil

Mineral 🗹

Coastal

(Update) Changes at RIS update No change

● Increase O Decrease O Unknown O

No available information \square

Are soil types subject to change as a result of changing hydrological ves O No (a) conditions (e.g., increased salinity or acidification)?

Please provide further information on the soil (optional)

Cambro-silurian bedrock, with minerotrophic rock (calcerous grey phyllite) in the western parts and harder rocks in the eastern part (gneiss and schist). Smaller areas with greenschists (up to Hårskallen); These cambro-silurian rocks erode quickly, providing plant nutrients.

Generally, harder and more resistant bedrock when moving eastwards, which reflects in a gradually poorer vegetation (fewer species requiring a base-rich environment).

Gravel and silt deposits from the meltdown of the ice (moraine) with some huge east-west going drumlin deposits.

Peat depth varies greatly coherent with the varied landscape, and range from 2,5 m in the large plain mire areas to only a few cm in sloping terrain (>10° inclination).

4.4.4 - Water regime

Water permanence

Presence?	Changes at RIS update
Usually permanent water present	

Source of water that maintains character of the site

Presence?	Predominant water source	Changes at RIS update	
Water inputs from rainfall		No change	
Water inputs from surface water		No change	

Water destination

Processo2		
	Presence?	Changes at RIS update
	To downstream catchment	No change

Stability of water regime

Olability of Water regime		
Presence?	Changes at RIS update	
Water levels largely stable	No change	

Please add any comments on the water regime and its determinants (if relevant). Use this box to explain sites with complex hydrology.

Water quality is high and nitrogen-poor, with a flow average of 20m3/sec in the river Forra.

4.4.5 - Sediment regime

4.4.6 - Water pH

Circumneutral (pH: 5.5-7.4)

(Update) Changes at RIS update No change

● Increase O Decrease O Unknown O

Unknown 🗹

Please provide further information on pH (optional):

Most of the area with management in Øvre Forra Nature reserve is rich fen (pH > 6 in the peat), and much of this consists of sloping fens (gradient of more than 3°) with a good supply of calcareous water. The fen below the footpath has rich fen vegetation close to the path, but gradually becomes poorer (lower pH in the peat) toward the forest margin.

4.4.7 - Water salinity

Fresh (<0.5 g/l)

(Update) Changes at RIS update No change

● Increase

O Decrease

O Unknown

O

Unknown

4.4.8 - Dissolved or suspended nutrients in water

Oligotrophic 📝

(Update) Changes at RIS update No change

● Increase

O Decrease

O Unknown

O

Unknown

Please provide further information on dissolved or suspended nutrients (optional):

Water quality is high and nitrogen-poor, with a flow average of 20m3/sec in the river Forra.

4.4.9 - Features of the surrounding area which may affect the Site

Please describe whether, and if so how, the landscape and ecological

characteristics in the area surrounding the Ramsar Site differ from the i) broadly similar ${}^{\bigcirc}$ ii) significantly different ${}^{\circledcirc}$

site itself

Surrounding area has greater urbanisation or development

Surrounding area has higher human population density

Surrounding area has more intensive agricultural use

Surrounding area has significantly different land cover or habitat types

Please describe other ways in which the surrounding area is different:

Timber production and recreational use as within the site.

4.5 - Ecosystem services

4.5.1 - Ecosystem services/benefits

Provisioning Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Wetland non-food products	Livestock fodder	Medium
Wetland non-food products	Other	Medium

Regulating Services

rtogaldarig Gornooc		
Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Hazard reduction	Flood control, flood storage	Medium

Cultural Services

California Col Wood		
Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Recreation and tourism	Recreational hunting and fishing	Medium
Recreation and tourism	Picnics, outings, touring	Medium
Spiritual and inspirational	Cultural heritage (historical and archaeological)	Medium
Scientific and educational	Major scientific study site	Medium

Supporting Services

Supporting Scrivious				
Ecosystem s	ervice	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance	
Nutrient cyc	ding	Carbon storage/sequestration	Medium	

Other ecosystem service(s) not included above

The area has an important role in flood control, since its catchment area is large and the river Forra drains into one of the larger rivers in the region, the river Stjørdalselva situated in an agricultural valley with spring flood problems.

The area has played an important role in the history of the inhabitants of the area since they started to produce iron from bog ore approximately 2200 years ago and this period lasted about 1200 years. During this period all the forest was logged as firewood for iron production and the mires were used for haymaking. The haymaking lasted long after the bog ore period was over and the last haymaking on the mires was around 1935. Mountain dairy farming was common in the area until some years after 1900.

The area is today used for grazing cattle and sheep. Also some reindeer herding is performed, especially in the winter months and as calving ground. Parts of the area is popular for trekking, often combined with hunting activities, fishing or berry picking (cloudberries and blueberries). Also canoeing, skiing activities.

Extensive research on a number of fields have been conducted in the past: Hydrology, limnology, climate, geology, flora and fauna.

Within the site: 8 000 +

Have studies or assessments been made of the economic valuation of ecosystem services provided by this Ramsar Site? Yes ○ No ○ Unknown ●
4.5.2 - Social and cultural values
i) the site provides a model of wetland wise use, demonstrating the application of traditional knowledge and methods of management and use that maintain the ecological character of the wetland
ii) the site has exceptional cultural traditions or records of former civilizations that have influenced the ecological character of the wetland
Description if applicable
There are reasons to assume that the site has been changed by ancient human activity over a period of 1200 years when the people here madiron from bog ore. The production of an estimated 50 tons of steel probably led to a total deforestation of the area with a subsequent formation of mires. The mires were held open by haymaking the following 1000 years and the last 100 years the forest is slowly creeping in on the mires again.
iii) the ecological character of the wetland depends on its interaction with local communities or indigenous peoples
iv) relevant non-material values such as sacred sites are present and their existence is strongly linked with the maintenance of the ecological character of the wetland

4.6 - Ecological processes

<no data available>

5 - How is the Site managed? (Conservation and management)

5.1 - Land tenure and responsibilities (Managers)

5.1.1 - Land tenure/ownership

ı uu	lic owners	IIID

Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
National/Federal		
government	W.	₩.J

Private ownership

Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
Other types of private/individual owner(s)	/	✓

Provide further information on the land tenure / ownership regime (optional):

Within the Ramsar site: Private and state (statsallmenning).	
In the surrounding area: Private and state (statsallmenning).	

5.1.2 - Management authority

Please list the local office / offices of any	County Governor of Nord-Trøndelag
agency or organization responsible for	
managing the site:	
Postal address:	Statens Hus, N-7734 Steinkjer.
E-mail address:	postmottak@fmnt.no

5.2 - Ecological character threats and responses (Management)

5.2.1 - Factors (actual or likely) adversely affecting the Site's ecological character

Invasive and other problematic species and genes

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Invasive non-native/ alien species	unknown impact	Medium impact	~	No change	>	No change

Please describe any other threats (optional):

ithin the Ramsar site: ttle impact at present.	
the surrounding area: ttle impact at present.	

5.2.2 - Legal conservation status

National legal designations

Tata in a gair a congression					
Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site		
Nature Reserve	Øvre Forra		whole		

5.2.3 - IUCN protected areas categories (2008)

la Strict Nature Reserve 🗷
Ib Wilderness Area: protected area managed mainly for wilderness protection
Il National Park: protected area managed mainly for ecosystem protection and recreation
Il Natural Monument: protected area managed mainly for conservation of specific natural features
V Habitat/Species Management Area: protected area managed mainly for conservation through management intervention

V Protected Landsca	ape/Seascape:	protected area	managed	mainlyfor	٢
	lande canale as	ecano concon	ption and	recreation	٦

M Managed Resource Protected Area: protected area managed mainly for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems

5.2.4 - Key conservation measures

Human Activities

Tiditidity builded	
Measures	Status
Regulation/management of recreational activities	Implemented

Other

Dogs have to be kept on a leash in the period 1st April – 20th August.

5.2.5 - Management planning

Is there a site-specific management plan for the site? Yes

Has a management effectiveness assessment been undertaken for the site?

If the site is a formal transboundary site as indicated in section Data and location > Site location, are there shared management planning Yes O No opposesses with another Contracting Party?

Please indicate if a Ramsar centre, other educational or visitor facility, or an educational or visitor programme is associated with the site:

A leaflet exists, and a poster has been put on display at the different entrances to the area.

5.2.6 - Planning for restoration

Is there a site-specific restoration plan? No need identified

5.2.7 - Monitoring implemented or proposed

Monitoring	Status
Animal community	Implemented
Plant community	Implemented

Extensive research on a number of fields have been conducted in the past: Hydrology, limnology, climate, geology, flora and fauna.

6 - Additional material

6.1 - Additional reports and documents

6.1.1 - Bibliographical references

Ely-Aastrup, Hilde. 2015. Forvaltningsplan for Øvre Forra naturreservat i Levanger, Stjørdal, Meråker og Verdal kommuner. Fylkesmannen i Nord-Trøndelag, Miljøvernavdelingen. Rapport 2015-2. 34 s

Lyngstad, A. 2012.Kartlegging, overvåking og skjøtsel i Øvre Forra naturreservat 2012. – NTNU Vitensk.mus. Bot. Notat 2012-8: 1-36.

Thingstad, P. G. (2015). Bird communities at two marshes in Øvre Forra, today and 40 years ago. Ornis Norvegica, 38, 18-24.

Henriksen, S., Hilmo, O., 2015. Norsk rødliste for arter 2015 (red). Artsdatabanken, Norge - 2015 Norwegian Red List. Artsdatabanken, Norway

Biogeographic regionalisation scheme:

Moen, A. 1998. Nasjonalatlas for Norge; vegetasjon. Statens kartverk, Hønefoss

Natural history/general:

Solem, T. 1975. Naturhistoriske undersøkelser i Forra-dalsområdet - et suboseanisk, høytliggende myrområde i Nord-Trøndelag. DKNVS Rapp. Zool. Ser. 4. (in Norwegian - a report on natural history).

Moen, A. & Jensen, J.W. 1979. Naturvitenskapelige interesser og verneverdier i Forra-vassdraget og Øvre Forradalsområdet i Nord-Trøndelag. DKNVS. Gunneria 33. (in Norwegian - natural history)

Solem, T. 1974. Klima- og vegetasjonshistorie i Forradalsområdet i Nord-Trøndelag. Hovedfagsoppgave ved Universitetet i Trondheim. (in Norwegian - thesis on climate and vegetation history).

Øien, D-I., Nilsen, L.S. & Moen, A. 1997. Skisse til skjøtselsplan for deler av Øvre Forra naturreservat i Nord-Trøndelag. NTNU, Vitenskapsmuseet Rapp. Bot. Ser. 2:1-26. (in Norwegian - proposal for management plan, includes a list on literature on the protected site).

Flora:

Moen, A., Kjelvik, L., Bretten, S., Sivertsen, S. & Sæther, B. 1976. Vegetasjon og flora i Øvre Forradalsområdet i Nord-Trøndelag, med vegettasjonskart. DKNVS. Rapp. Bot. Ser. 9. (in Norwegian - flora mapping and vegetation map).

Birds:

Hellan, M. E. 2004. Fugletaksering i Øvre Forra Naturreservat 2003. Birdestimate in Øvre Forra Nature Reserve 2003. Bacheloroppgave i Naturforvaltning, Høgskolen i Nord-Trøndelag. 29s.

Moksnes, A. 1970. Ornitologiske undersøkelser i Forradalsområdet i Nord-Trøndelag sommeren 1970. Notat. (in Norwegian - short report on the birdlife).

Moksnes, A. 1977. Fuglefaunaen i Forraområdet i Nord-Trøndelag. Sluttraport fra undersøkelsene 1970- 1972. DKNVS Rapp. Zool. Ser. 3. (in Norwegian - report on bird research 1970-1972).

Fish:

Jensen, J.W. 1972. Fiskeribiologiske undersøkelser i Øvre Forra 1971. DKNVS Rapp. Zool. Ser. 11. (in Norwegian - on fishbiology)

Archaeology

Berre, I. 1983. Om jarnvinna og jarnvinneanlegg. Levanger historielag. Årsskrift 1983. (in Norwegian - on the iron production)

6.1.2 - Additional reports and documents

i. taxonomic lists of plant and animal species occurring in the site (see section 4.3)

<no file available>

ii. a detailed Ecological Character Description (ECD) (in a national format)

<no file available>

iii. a description of the site in a national or regional wetland inventory

<no file available

iv. relevant Article 3.2 reports

<no file available>

v. site management plan

<1 file(s) uploaded>

vi. other published literature

<11 file(s) uploaded>

6.1.3 - Photograph(s) of the Site

Please provide at least one photograph of the site



Øvre Forra (Gunnar Kjærstad/Norwegian Environment Agency, 04-09-2007)



Øvre Forra (Hilde Ely-Aastrup, 04-10-2012)



Øvre Forra (Hilde Ely-Aastrup, 20-06-2012)



Øvre Forra (Hilde Ely-Aastrup, 20-06-2012)



Øvre Forra (Hilde Ely-Aastrup, 04-10-2012)

6.1.4 - Designation letter and related data

Designation letter

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Date of Designation 2002-08-06