

Ramsar Information Sheet

Published on 9 July 2018 Update version, previously published on : 27 May 2013

Norway Horta



Designation date 27 May 2013 Site number 2158 Coordinates 65°12'38"N 11°25'54"E

Area 3 158,00 ha

https://rsis.ramsar.org/ris/2158 Created by RSIS V.1.6 on - 18 May 2020

Color codes

Fields back-shaded in light blue relate to data and information required only for RIS updates.

Note that some fields concerning aspects of Part 3, the Ecological Character Description of the RIS (tinted in purple), are not expected to be completed as part of a standard RIS, but are included for completeness so as to provide the requested consistency between the RIS and the format of a 'full' Ecological Character Description, as adopted in Resolution X.15 (2008). If a Contracting Party does have information available that is relevant to these fields (for example from a national format Ecological Character Description) it may, if it wishes to, include information in these additional fields.

1 - Summary

Summary

The Site includes the Horta archipelago consisting of small islands, long fjords, skerries and islets with shallow marine waters in the coastal zone. Marine shallow waters with a large production of marine invertebrates and fish, combined with seaweed beds and kelp forests sustain a rich animal life. The skerries and islands in the outermost coastal zone are partly devoid of vegetation due to the rough seas. The coastal zone is characterized by rock, stone and gravel-dominated shores. The vegetation is dominated by common species on coastal shores, bogs and heathland, with different sedges and heather species. This vegetation is formed through many years of grazing and harvesting. The vegetation in several places is influenced by seabird guano. A total of 171 different vascular plant species are recorded in this area.

The large area of shallow waters with high biological production makes it very important as a breeding and staging area for a large number of seabird species. Horta is the most important breeding area in the county for the Baltic lesser black-backed gull Larus fuscus fuscus. The country's two largest breeding colonies exist within the borders of the Site, on Langdraget and Sør-Stokkholmen. The Site is also an important breeding area for species such as the black guillemot, the red-throated loon, the Arctic tern, the common eider and cormorants. Moreover, the Site hosts stable populations of the Eurasian otter, the harbour seal, the grey seal and the Atlantic puffins. More sporadically, the false killer whale visits the archipelago.

The vegetation and landscape have been shaped through many years of grazing, harvesting, collection of eider down and seabird eggs as well as peat harvesting. The two main islands in the archipelago are Burøya and Vågøya, both inhabited prior to 1965. The Site is currently used by scientists for seabird monitoring and geological research and as a vacation site for private landowners. Fishing activities take place in the surrounding areas.

Horta is an old fishing village, and up to 120 people lived there. Burøya and Vågøya contain buildings from the time Horta was inhabited, and which are now mainly used as holiday homes.

2 - Data & location

2.1 - Formal data

2.1	1.1	-	Name	and	ado	Iress	of	the	com	piler	of	this	RIS
-----	-----	---	------	-----	-----	-------	----	-----	-----	-------	----	------	-----

Compiler 1

Name	Pernille Kvernland
Institution/agency	Norwegian Environment Agency
Postal address	Post box 5672 Torgarden, N-7485 Trondheim, Norway
E-mail	post@miljodir.no
Phone	+47 73580500

2.1.2 - Period of collection of data and information used to compile the RIS

From year 1997

To year 2015

2.1.3 - Name of the Ramsar Site

Official name (in English, French or Spanish)

Horta

2.1.4 - Changes to the boundaries and area of the Site since its designation or earlier update

(Update) A Changes to Site boundary Yes O No (Update) B. Changes to Site area No change to area

2.1.5 - Changes to the ecological character of the Site

(Update) 6b i. Has the ecological character of the Ramsar Site (including applicable Criteria) changed since the previous RIS?

2.2 - Site location

2.2.1 - Defining the Site boundaries

b) Digital map/image

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Former maps 0

Boundaries description

The boundaries are the same as for the existing Horta Nature Reserve and Horta Bird Protection Area.

2.2.2 - General location

a) In which large administrative region does the site lie?

Nord-Trøndelag

b) What is the nearest town or population centre?

Rørvik, approx pop. est. 3 000 (2016)

2.2.3 - For wetlands on national boundaries only

a) Does the wetland extend onto the territory of one or more other countries?

b) Is the site adjacent to another designated Ramsar Site on the territory of another Contracting Party?

2.2.4 - Area of the Site

Official area, in hectares (ha): 3158

Area, in hectares (ha) as calculated from GIS boundaries 3159.86

2.2.5 - Biogeography

Biogeographic regions

Regionalisation scheme(s)	Biogeographic region
EU biogeographic regionalization	Atlantic

Other biogeographic regionalisation scheme

EU Habitat directive 92/43/EEC

3 - Why is the Site important?

3.1 - Ramsar Criteria and their justification

☑ Criterion 1: Representative, rare or unique natural or near-natural wetland types

The western coastline of Norway is characterized by long fjords and numerous islands. This holds true also for the coastline of Trøndelag and Nordland. The coastal heathlands in Norway represent one-third of the total European Atlantic heathland belt. Even at the northern brink of their distribution, the ocean currents cause a mild coastal climate, dominated by high precipitation. Calluna vulgaris is usually the dominant plant species in these heaths. Characteristically, moisture dependent, northern and alpine Other ecosystem services provided species tend to blend in with the typical heathland vegetation. These landscapes have been an important resource for the maintenance of livestock, both in terms of grazing and harvesting grounds. Heathland with a genuine maritime influence is very limited and the northern coastal heaths found in Norway today typically occur on islands and islets, often in mosaic with bogs and ponds. Mainly due to changes in agriculture the habitat type is highly threatened. Horta exhibit excellent examples of this internationally valuable and endangered habitat.

The site consists of a marine archipelago with shallow waters dotted with numerous skerries, islets and islands. This kind of archipelago is representative of the North-European coast in this part of the Atlantic Other reasons region. Horta exhibits interesting examples of coastal heathland. Coastal heathland is endangered and has become a rare habitat.

- ☑ Criterion 2 : Rare species and threatened ecological communities
- ☑ Criterion 4 : Support during critical life cycle stage or in adverse conditions
- 3.2 Plant species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

<no data available>

3.3 - Animal species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

J.J - AII	imai species	s whose bre	2201100 1010	ales lo lik	international ir	προπαπ	CE O	1 1116 3	ile		
Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	criterion	Species contributes under criterion 3 5 7 8	Pop. Size Period of pop. Est.	% occurrence 1)	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
Birds											
CHORDATA / AVES	ar 🔊	Greylag Goose					LC				Criterion 4: This species is breeding and moulting in the area in considerable numbers.
AVES	Cepphus grylle	Black Guillemot					LC			National Red List: Considered as VU	Criterion 4: Horta is frequently used as a breeding-, molting- or staging area by this species.
AVES	Fratercula arctica	Atlantic Puffin					VU •\$ •\$			National Red List: Considered as VU	Criterion 4: This species is frequently feeding/wintering in the area. This species uses the site for breeding.
CHORDATA / AVES	Gavia adamsii 🌉 🕮 👂	Yellow-billed Loo	n 🗷 🗷 🗆 🗆				NT ©#			Ann. Il Berne Convention, Emerald Network	Criterion 4: This species forage and overwinter in this area.

Dhadana	Soloutifia mana	C	qua	ecies alifies	S	contr	ecies ribute:		defense Fet	% 		CITES	CMS	Other Status	Justification
Phylum	Scientific name	Common name		erio	n	crit	erion	Size	d of pop. Est.	1)	List	i I	Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
CHORDATA / AVES	E EL 🌖	Great Northern Loon; Great Northern Diver; Common Loon	2								LC © TSF			Ann. Il Berne Convention, Emerald Network	Criterion 4: This species forage and overwinter in this area.
AVES	Gavia stellata	Red-throated Loon; Red- throated Diver	V								LC © ESP			Ann. II Berne Convention, Emerald Network	Criterion 4: The species is breeding regularly in this site.
	albicilla	White-tailed Eagle									LC © SSF	V	\checkmark		Criterion 4: This species is an assumed breeder in the area.
CHORDATA / AVES	Larus canus	Mew Gull									LC Sign			National Red List: Considered as NT	Criterion 4: This species is a frequent breeder in this site.
/ AVES	Larus fuscus fuscus	Baltic lesser black-backed gull						600							300 breeding pairs (2005). Criterion 4: In this region Horta is the most important breeding site for this species.
CHORDATA / AVES	Melanitta fusca	White-winged Scoter; Velvet Scoter	V								VU © ISF			National Red List: Considered as VU	Criterion 4: This species forage and overwinter in this area.
CHORDATA / AVES	Phalacrocorax aristotelis	European Shag	V								LC ©# ©TSF			Ann. Il Berne Convention	Criterion 4: The site is an important foraging and breeding area for the individuals from Sklinna (Ramsar site) during breeding season.
/	Phalacrocorax carbo	Great Cormorant									LC				Criterion 4: This site is an important breeding area for this species.
CHORDATA / AVES	Somateria mollissima	Common Eider									NT			National Red List: Considered as NT	Criterion 4: This species is breeding and moulting in the area in considerable numbers.
CHORDATA / AVES	Stercorarius parasiticus	Parasitic Jaeger									LC © Site			Nataional Red List: Considered as NT	Criterion 4: This species breeds here.
CHORDATA / AVES	Sterna paradisaea	Arctic Tern	V								LC © SSS			Ann. Il Berne Convention	Horta is frequently used as a breeding-, molting- or staging area by this species. Criterion 4: This species uses the site for breeding.
CHORDATA / AVES	Uria aalge	Common Murre	V								LC © is:			National Red List: Considered as CR	This species is frequently feeding/wintering in the area. Criterion 4: This species uses the site for breeding.
Others															
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	grypus	Gray Seal	V								LC © STRF			Ann. III Berne Convention	Criterion 4: This species uses the site for breeding.
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	Lutra lutra	European Otter	V								NT	V		National Red List: Considered as VU, Ann. II Berne Convention, Emerald Network	Horta is frequently used as a breeding-, molting- or staging area by this species. Criterion 4: This species uses the site for breeding.
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	Phoca vitulina	Harbor Seal	V								LC Str			National Red List: Considered as VU, Ann. Il Berne Convention, Emerald Network	Horta is frequently used as a breeding-, molting- or staging area by this species. Criterion 4: This species uses the site for breeding.

¹⁾ Percentage of the total biogeographic population at the site

Capitalized letters shows the	he species' status	on the National R	ed List 2015
-------------------------------	--------------------	-------------------	--------------

3.4 - Ecological communities whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Name of ecological community	Community qualifies under Criterion 2?	Description	Justification
Coastal bog	2		National Red List: Considered as VU
Driftlines			Important foraging community for waterfowl.
Coastal heatland	Ø	The coastal heathlands in Norway represent one third of the total European Atlantic heathland belt. Even at the northern brink of their distribution, the ocean currents cause a mild coastal climate, dominated by high precipitation.	National Red List: Considered as EN. Mainly due to changes in agriculture the habitat type is highly threatened. Horta exhibits excellent examples of this internationally valuable and endangered habitat.

Optional text box to provide further information

Capitalized letters shows the habitats' status on the National Red List for Ecosystems and Habitat types 2011.

4 - What is the Site like? (Ecological character description)

4.1 - Ecological character

The skerries and islands in the outermost coastal zone are partly devoid of vegetation due to the rough seas. Marine shallow waters with a large production of marine invertebrates and fish, combined with seaweed beds and kelp forests sustain a rich animal life. The coastal zone is characterized by rock, stone and gravel-dominated shores. The vegetation is dominated by common species on coastal shores, bogs and heathland, with different sedges and heather species. This vegetation is formed through many years of grazing and harvesting. In several places the vegetation is influenced by the droppings of seabirds. The large area of shallow waters with high biological production makes it very important as a breeding and staging area for a large number of seabird species, the dominant groups being cormorants, eiders, divers, gulls, terns and auks. The two Norwegian coastal seal species have breeding grounds in the area.

The vegetation is dominated by common species on coastal shores, mires/bogs and meadows, such as various sedge and heather species. Seashore vegetation and aquatic vegetation appear scattered. Birds heavily fertilize some islands. On Burøya and Vågøya there are still remnants of cultivated farmland from the time the islands were inhabited. Moisturized moor and coastal heathland are common vegetation types. Ceased grazing is about to change much of the vegetation. Invasion of scrubs and woodlands is not very common at Horta, but some scrubs have established on some of the islands. Many common species lack at Horta, probably because the islands are small and isolated, and relatively young. Common moonwort is found here. Red Champion is one of the common species. In the gulls and cormorants colonies, the droppings give nitrous substrate which benefits plants such as the common scurvy weed and the common sorrel.

4.2 - What wetland type(s) are in the site?

Marine or coastal wetlands

Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
A: Permanent shallow marine waters		1		Representative
D: Rocky marine shores		2		Rare

Inland wetlands

ii iidi id wellal idə				
Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
Fresh water > Marshes on peat soils >> U: Permanent Non- forested peatlands		3		Representative

4.3 - Biological components

4.3.1 - Plant species

Other noteworthy plant species

Scientific name	Common name	Position in range / endemism / other
Botrychium lunaria	Common moonwort	This species is found in the site.
Calluna vulgaris	Common heather	Usually the dominant plant species in coastal heathland habitat.
Cochlearia officinalis	Common Scurvy Weed	In the gulls and cormorants colonies the droppings give nitrogenous substrate which benefits this species.
Rumex acetosa	Common Sorrel	In the gulls and cormorants colonies the droppings give nitrogenous substrate which benefit this species.
Silene dioica	Red Champion	This species is found in the site.

Invasive alien plant species

Scientific name	Common name	Impacts	Changes at RIS update
Rosa rugosa		Potentially	No change

4.3.2 - Animal species

Other noteworthy animal species

Phylum	Scientific name	Common name	Pop. size	Period of pop. est.	%occurrence	Position in range /endemism/other
CHORDATA/AVES	Larus argentatus	Herring Gull				
CHORDATA/AVES	Larus marinus	Great Black-backed Gull				
CHORDATA/MAM/MALIA	Pseudorca crassidens	False Killer Whale				

4.4 - Physical components

4.4.1 - Climate

Climatic region	Subregion
D: Moist Mid-Latitude climate with cold winters	Dfc: Subarctic (Severe winter, no dry season, cool summer)

The climate is typical Atlantic with high annual precipitation (>1500mm and average about 200 days with precipitation pr. year), wet	summer
and mild winters	

.4.2 - Geomorphic set	tina		
•	_		
a) Minimum elevation al	bove sea level (in metres)		
a) Maximum elevation al	bove sea level (in metres)		
	En	ntire river basin	
	Upper par	rt of river basin	
		rt of river basin	
	•	rt of river basin	
	•	one river basin	
	No	ot in river basin	
		Coastal 🗹	
Please name the river basin Norwegian Sea	n or basins. If the site lies in a	sub-basin, please also name	the larger river basin. For a coastal/marine site, please name the sea or ocean.
.4.3 - Soil			
		Mineral ☑	
	(Indate) Observed		N O D O U-1 O
			Increase O Decrease O Unknown O
		ole information	
Are soil types subject to condition	change as a result of changir ons (e.g., increased salinity or	ng hydrological racidification)?	
	mation on the soil (optional)		
Due to the fact that mo	ost of the shoreline cons	sists of hard granite rocl	ks, erosion is minimal despite a harsh winter climate.
	Storfornøyta, Kvåholmer		ya, Vågøya, Klungholmene, Bøverøya, Dribilene and Kleppan), syenite with Sandøya, Rørsholmen and Båsen) and gneiss (the islands from Store
Ordovician to Silurian	_	he Helgeland Nappe C	ta igneous complex is a part of the Bindal Batholith, a sequence of late omplex of the uppermost Allochthon of the Norwegian Caledonides. The
.4.4 - Water regime			
ater permanence		1	
Presence? Usually permanent water	Changes at RIS update		
present			
ource of water that maintain	is character of the site		
Presence?	Predominant water source	Changes at RIS update	
Marine water		No change	
tability of water regime			
Presence?	Changes at RIS update		
Water levels fluctuating (including tidal)	No change		
(3 3 3 7 7			
			this box to explain sites with complex hydrology.
The site consists mos of the Site and in the o		ters less than 30 meter	s deep at low tide. However, some deeper areas occur in the outer edges
The archipelago is pa	rts of a larger shallow a	rea of approximately 15	km2 were the water depth ranges from 0-50 meters.
.4.5 - Sediment regim	e		
	Sediment re	gime unknown 🗹	
		9	
.4.6 - Water pH			
		Unknown 🗹	
.4.7 - Water salinity			
-	Mixohaline (brackish)/Mixosal	ine (0.5-30 a/l) ☑	
	` ′		Increase O Decrease O Unknown O
	Changes	Unknown	INGCOCC - DOCIOCO - OTRICOWIT -
		UTIKITUWIT 🗀	

4.4.8 - Dissolved or suspended nutrients in water

Unknown 🗹

4.4.9 - Features of the surrounding area which may affect the Site

Please describe whether, and if so how, the landscape and ecological characteristics in the area surrounding the Ramsar Site differ from the i) brown site itself.	oadly similar ○ ii) significantly different ⑨
Surrounding area has greater urbanisation or development \Box	
Surrounding area has higher human population density \Box	
Surrounding area has more intensive agricultural use \qed	
Surrounding area has significantly different land cover or habitat types $\hfill\Box$	
Please describe other ways in which the surrounding area is different:	
Fishing	

4.5 - Ecosystem services

4.5.1 - Ecosystem services/benefits

Provisioning Services

1 To Notion In 19 Co. Notes			
	Ecosystem service Examples		Importance/Extent/Significance
	Wetland non-food products	Other	Medium
	Wetland non-food products	Livestock fodder	Medium

Regulating Services

	Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
	Hazard reduction	Coastal shoreline and river bank stabilization and storm protection	Medium

Cultural Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Recreation and tourism	Recreational hunting and fishing	Medium
Spiritual and inspirational	Cultural heritage (historical and archaeological)	Medium
Scientific and educational	Major scientific study site	Medium
Scientific and educational	Important knowledge systems, importance for research (scientific reference area or site)	High
Scientific and educational	Long-term monitoring site	High

Supporting Services

Cupporung Convicco			
	Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
	Nutrient cycling	Carbon storage/seguestration	Medium

Other ecosystem service(s) not included above:

The site is used by scientists (biological and geological research) and as vacation homes for private landowners. Horta has been an important site for seabird monitoring since the early 1980s, especially for monitoring the Baltic lesser black-backed gull population. A geological science program is also ongoing in the area. On the two main islands there are some remaining buildings which are used as vacation homes, especially in the summer.

Horta was settled until 1965. Fishing was the main trade, but the land recourses were also heavily utilized for grazing, scything and cultivation (potatoes and vegetables).

Traces of early settlements and cultural influences in the landscape dating back to the Stone Age are found in the area. The anthropogenic heathlands in the coastal regions of Central and Northern Norway are thus a part of a prehistoric cultural landscape formed 3-4000 years ago.

Have studies or assessments been made of the economic valuation of ,	Yes O No O Unknown ©
ecosystem services provided by this Ramsar Site?	

4.5.2 - Social and cultural values

i) the site provides a model of wetland wise use, demonstrating the application of traditional knowledge and methods of management and use that maintain the ecological character of the wetland
ii) the site has exceptional cultural traditions or records of former civilizations that have influenced the ecological character of the wetland
iii) the ecological character of the wetland depends on its interaction with local communities or indigenous peoples
iv) relevant non-material values such as sacred sites are present and their existence is strongly linked with the maintenance of the ecological character of the wetland

<no data available>

4.6 - Ecological processes

<no data available>

5 - How is the Site managed? (Conservation and management)

5.1 - Land tenure and responsibilities (Managers)

5.1.1 - Land tenure/ownership

ı ub	lic owners	u III

Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
National/Federal		
government		[SC.]

Private ownership

Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
Other types of private/individual owner(s)	>	

5.1.2 - Management authority

Please list the local office / offices of any	County Governor of Nord-Trøndelag
agency or organization responsible for	
managing the site:	
Postal address:	County Governor of Nord-Trøndelag, Statens Hus, N-7734 Steinkjer
E-mail address:	postmottak@fmnt.no

5.2 - Ecological character threats and responses (Management)

5.2.1 - Factors (actual or likely) adversely affecting the Site's ecological character

Biological resource use

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Fishing and harvesting aquatic resources	Low impact	High impact		No change	>	No change

Invasive and other problematic species and genes

invasive and other problemate species and genes						
Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Invasive non-native/ alien species	Low impact	High impact	✓	No change		No change

Please describe any other threats (optional):

Within the Ramsar area:

Invasive species such as rosa rugosa are registered within the Ramsar Site.

In the surrounding area:

There has been som kelp trawling just outside the boundaries of the Ramsar-site. This could possibly affect seabirds that breed within the area and feed in the sea areas found just outside the borders.

5.2.2 - Legal conservation status

National legal designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
Bird Protection Area	Horta		whole
Nature Reserve	Horta		whole

5.2.3 - IUCN protected areas categories (2008)

la Strict Nature Reserve 🗹
lb Wilderness Area: protected area managed mainly for wilderness protection
Il National Park: protected area managed mainly for ecosystem protection and recreation
Natural Monument: protected area managed mainly for conservation of specific natural features

IV Habitat/Species Management Area: protected area managed mainly for conservation through management intervention
V Protected Landscape/Seascape: protected area managed mainly for landscape/seascape conservation and recreation
M Managed Resource Protected Area: protected area managed mainly for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems

5.2.4 - Key conservation measures

Legal protection

	Measures		Status
	Legal protection		Implemented

5.2.5 - Management planning

Is there a site-specific management plan for the site? Yes

Has a management effectiveness assessment been undertaken for the site? Yes O No ●

If the site is a formal transboundary site as indicated in section Data and location > Site location, are there shared management planning Yes O No

processes with another Contracting Party?

5.2.6 - Planning for restoration

Is there a site-specific restoration plan? No need identified

5.2.7 - Monitoring implemented or proposed

Monitoring	Status
Animal species (please specify)	Implemented

Horta has been an important site for seabird monitoring since the early 1980s, especially for monitoring the Baltic lesser black-backed gull population. A geological science program is also ongoing in the area in association with Texas Tech University and University of Wyoming.

6 - Additional material

6.1 - Additional reports and documents

6.1.1 - Bibliographical references

Henriksen, S., Hilmo, O., 2015. Norsk rødliste for arter 2015 (red). Artsdatabanken, Norge - 2015 Norwegian Red List. Artsdatabanken, Norway

Lindgaard A, Henriksen S (eds) (2011) Norsk rødliste for naturtyper 2011. Artsdatabanken, Norge - 2011 Norwegian Red List for Ecosystems and Habitat Types. Artsdatabanken, Norway

Hafstad, I. 2005. Forvaltningsplan for Horta verneområde, Leka kommune, 2006-2010. Fylkesmannen i Nord-Trøndelag, Miljøvernavdelingen. Rapport 2-2005. 40s.

Barnes, C.G., Prestvik, T., Sundvoll, B. & Surratt, D. 2004. Pervasive assimilation of carbonate and silicate rocks in the Hortavær igneous complex, north-central Norway. Lithos.

Hafstad, I. 2005. Forvaltningsplan for Horta verneområde, Leka commune, 2006-2010. Fylkesmannen i Nord-Trøndelag, Miljøvernavdelingen. Rapport 2-2005. 40s.

Kaspersen, T.E. 1997. Utkast til verneplan for sjøfuglområder i Nord-Trøndelag. - Fylkesmannen i Nord-Trøndelag, Miljøvernavdelingen. Rapport 3-1997. 1-221.

Lorentsen, S.-H. & Christensen-Dalsgaard, S. 2009. Det nasjonale overvåkingsprogrammet for sjøfugl. Resultater til og med hekkesesongen 2008. Norsk institutt for naturforskning (NINA), Rapport 439: 53 pp.

Moen, A. 1998. Nasjonalatlas for Norge; vegetasjon. Statens Kartverk, Hønefoss

Nilsen, L.S. & Moen, A. 2004. Botanical mapping and management plan for Hortavær in Leka. NTNU Vitensk.mus. Rapp. Bot. Ser. 2004-1: 1-22.

6.1.2 - Additional reports and documents

i. taxonomic lists of plant and animal species occurring in the site (see section 4.3)

<no file available>

ii. a detailed Ecological Character Description (ECD) (in a national format)

<no file available>

iii. a description of the site in a national or regional wetland inventory

<no file available>

iv. relevant Article 3.2 reports

<no file available>

v. site management plan

<1 file(s) uploaded>

vi. other published literature

<2 file(s) uploaded>

6.1.3 - Photograph(s) of the Site

Please provide at least one photograph of the site:



Aerial view of Horta (Norwegian Environment Agency, 04-12-2017)

6.1.4 - Designation letter and related data

Designation letter

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Date of Designation 2013-05-27