

# **Ramsar Information Sheet**

Published on 23 June 2023

Update version, previously published on : 9 March 2018

# **Norway** Ørland Wetland System



Designation date 24 July 1985 Site number 310 Coordinates 63°40'48"N 09°28'52"E Area 3 168,00 ha

https://rsis.ramsar.org/ris/310 Created by RSIS V.1.6 on - 23 June 2023

# Color codes

Fields back-shaded in light blue relate to data and information required only for RIS updates.

Note that some fields concerning aspects of Part 3, the Ecological Character Description of the RIS (tinted in purple), are not expected to be completed as part of a standard RIS, but are included for completeness so as to provide the requested consistency between the RIS and the format of a 'full' Ecological Character Description, as adopted in Resolution X.15 (2008). If a Contracting Party does have information available that is relevant to these fields (for example from a national format Ecological Character Description) it may, if it wishes to, include information in these additional fields.

# 1 - Summary

# Summary

Ørland Wetland System is part of a large area of shallow marine waters and inter-tidal flats, playing an important role in the Norwegian coastal system. The Site consists of four sub-sites, Kråkvågsvaet, Grandefjæra, Hovsfjær and Innstrandfjæra characterised by extensive mudflats with shallow waters and skerries outside. The mudflats and shallow tidal flats host large amounts of invertebrates, mussels and eelgrass on which seabirds, ducks and waders feed. These waters also contain shell sand beds which function as important spawning and nursery grounds for fish species, and as an areas for mating and for moulting of the cuticle for larger crustaceans, providing food for divers, mergansers and other fisheating birds.

This wetland system is especially important as feeding and staging area for migrating birds, moulting area for ducks, breeding area for waterfowl and as overwintering location, with more than 200 different bird species registered.

The site constitutes an important part of the chain in the main migration pathway for birds to and from their respective breeding locations in Greenland, Svalbard, Iceland and Siberia that runs along the Norwegian coast. A mosaic of mudflats, large and small ponds and skerries, different sand- and stone tidal flats and seaweed forests make this wetland attractive, both as a staging, feeding and breeding area.

Generally, high numbers of species such as the red knot (IUCN: NT, NRL: VU), the curlew sandpiper (IUCN: NT), the common snipe, the Eurasian curlew (IUCN: NT, NRL: EN), the greylag goose, the Eurasian wigeon, the common teal, the mallard, the red-breasted merganser, the mew gull (NRL: VU) and the snow bunting are present.

# 2 - Data & location

# 2.1 - Formal data

# 2.1.1 - Name and address of the compiler of this RIS

# Responsible compiler

Institution/agency Norwegian Environment Agency

Postal address Post box 5672 Torgarden, N-7485 Trondheim, Norway

# National Ramsar Administrative Authority

Postal address Postboks 5672 Sluppen Trondheim Norway

### 2.1.2 - Period of collection of data and information used to compile the RIS

From year	1974
To year	2021

# 2.1.3 - Name of the Ramsar Site

Official name (in English, French or	Ørland Wetland System
Spanish)	
Unofficial name (optional)	Ørland Våtmarkssystem

# 2.1.4 - Changes to the boundaries and area of the Site since its designation or earlier update

<sup>(Update)</sup> A Changes to Site boundary Yes O No 💿	
<sup>(Update)</sup> B. Changes to Site area No change to area	
<sup>(Update)</sup> For secretariat only. This update is an extension	

# 2.1.5 - Changes to the ecological character of the Site

$^{(Update)}\rm 6b$ i. Has the ecological character of the Ramsar Site (including	No
applicable Criteria) changed since the previous RIS?	

# 2.2 - Site location

# 2.2.1 - Defining the Site boundaries

# b) Digital map/image

<5 file(s) uploaded

ormer maps	0

F

#### Boundaries description

The boundaries are the same as for the Grandefjæra Nature Reserve and the three Bird Sanctuaries Kråkvågsvaet, Innstrandfjæra and Hovsfjæra.

# 2.2.2 - General location

a) In which large administrative region does	Trøndelag
uie site iie?	
b) What is the nearest town or population centre?	Trondheim

# 2.2.3 - For wetlands on national boundaries only

a) Does the wetland extend onto the territory of one or more other countries? Yes O No (

b) Is the site adjacent to another designated Ramsar Site on the territory of another Contracting Party?

# 2.2.4 - Area of the Site

Official area, in hectares (ha):	3168
----------------------------------	------

Area, in hectares (ha) as calculated from GIS boundaries 3164.506

# 2.2.5 - Biogeography

Biogeographic regions		
Regionalisation scheme(s)	Biogeographic region	
EU biogeographic regionalization	2. Atlantic	
Other scheme (provide name below)	1. Southern boreal vegetation zone, strongly oceanic section (SbO3)	

#### Other biogeographic regionalisation scheme

1. Zonal division showing the variation in vegetation from south to north and from the lowlands to the mountains, and sectional graduation showing the variation between the coast and inland (In: Moen, A. 1998. Nasjonalatlas for Norge; vegetasjon. Statens kartverk, Hønefoss). 2. EU Habitat directive 92/43/EEC

# 3 - Why is the Site important?

# 3.1 - Ramsar Criteria and their justification

1	Criterion	1: Representative	, rare oi	r unique	natural c	or near-natural	wetland	types
---	-----------	-------------------	-----------	----------	-----------	-----------------	---------	-------

The Ørland Wetland System is part of a large area of shallow marine waters and inter-tidal flats and are Other reasons perhaps the best example in southern Norway for this kind of marine wetland.

# Criterion 2 : Rare species and threatened ecological communities

Optional text box to provide further information	This wetland area host several species that are rare/threatened, both nationally and internationally, such as the red knot (IUCN: NT, NRL: VU), Eurasian curlew (IUCN: NT, NRL: EN), sanderling (NRL: VU), velve scoter (IUCN: VU, NRL: VU), Northern lapwing (IUCN: NT, NRL: CR), ruff (NRL: VU), black-tailed godwit (IUCN: NT, NRL: CR), long-tailed duck (IUCN: VU, NRL: NT) and horned grebe (IUCN: VU, NRL: VU).
	Additionally, some regionally rare plants grow at the site, including Dactylorhiza purpurella (NRL: EN) which is found at its northern limit at Ørlandet.

# Criterion 3 : Biological diversity

	A mosaic of mudflats, large and small ponds and skerries, different sand- and stone tidal flats and
	seaweed forests make this wetland attractive, both as staging, feeding and breeding areas for a large
Justification	number of waterfowl. Some species also utilize this wetland during winter season. More than 200 different
	bird species are registered.

# Criterion 4 : Support during critical life cycle stage or in adverse conditions

Optional text box to provide further	This wetland system is especially important as feeding and staging area for migrating birds, moulting
information	area for ducks, breeding area for waterfowl and as an overwintering location.

# Criterion 6 : >1% waterbird population

	This wetland system hosts at times as many as 2500 cormorants at once, constituting 2.1% of the
Optional text box to provide further	population for this biogeographic region (carbo, North-west Europe). The wetland can also support 100
information	horned grebes at once, constituting 1.8% of the population for this biogeographic region (auritus, North-
	west Europe (large-billed)).

# Criterion 8 : Fish spawning grounds, etc.

Justification This wetland area contains shell sand beds which function as important spawning and nursery grounds for fish species, and as mating area and area of ecdysis (moulting of the cuticle) for larger crustaceans.

3.2 - Plant species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Phylum	Scientific name	Criterion 2	Criterion 3	Criterion 4	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	Other status	Justification
Plantae								
TRACHEOPHYTA/ LILIOPSIDA	Dactylorhiza purpurella	V	V				National Red List: Considered as EN	
TRACHEOPHYTA/ MAGNOLIOPSIDA	Gentianella uliginosa	×					National Red List: Considered as EN	
TRACHEOPHYTA/ MAGNOLIOPSIDA	Pedicularis sylvatica hibernica	×					National Red List: Considered as VU	
BRYOPHYTA/ BRYOPSIDA	Pseudocrossidium hornschuchianum	×					National Red List: Considered as EN	

Capitalized letters shows the species' status on the National Red List 2021.

Ørland municipality is, in addition to Jæren and Karmøy, amongst the most important locations for the Northern marsh orchid in Norway. Common sea buckthorn is a pioneer species which can displace the rare orchid, and thinning of buckthorn could be necessary in certain years to prevent overgrowing of breeding locations for birds and important habitats for the Northern marsh orchid.

# 3.3 - Animal species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Phylum	Scientific name	Species qualifies under criterion 2 4 6 9	Spec contrib unde criter 3 5	ies outes er rion 7 8	Pop. Size	Period of pop. Est.	% occurrence 1)	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
Others												
CHORDATA/ MAMMALIA	Lutra lutra							NT	X		Ann. Il Berne Convention, Emerald Network	The Eurasian Otter breeds at Storfosna, inside Kråkvågsvaet Bird Sanctuary, and probably also at Grandefjæra Nature Reserve.
CHORDATA/ MAMMALIA	Phoca vitulina							LC			National Red List: Considered as VU, Ann. III Berne Convention, Emerald Network	
Fish, Mollusc a	nd Crustacea											
CHORDATA/ ACTINOPTERYGII	Gadus morhua							VU				Criterion 4: This species spawn in this area.
CHORDATA/ ACTINOPTERYGII	Hippoglossus hippoglossus			DØ				EN				Criterion 4 & 8: Both kelp forests and shell sand areas are important for this fish species.
CHORDATA/ ACTINOPTERYGII	Salmo trutta							LC				Criterion 4: This species spawn in this area.
Birds												
CHORDATA/ AVES	Alauda arvensis	ØØ00	ØO					LC			Ann. III Berne Convention	Criterion 4: The site is important as wintering and breeding grounds for this species.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Alle alle							LC				Criterion 4: Little Auk can be numerous during migration in late autumn (e.g. 640 ind in 2008).
CHORDATA/ AVES	Anas clypeata										National Red List: Considered as VU	Criterion 4: This is a rare species found in small numbers during breeding season.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Anas crecca							LC				Criterion 4: This species occur in large numbers during migrations. 390 ind. observed in 2015.

Phylum	Scientific name	2	Spe qual unc crite 4	cies ifies der rion 6	9	Sp con u cri 3 5	becie tribut Inder iterio 5 7	es tes on 8	Pop. Size	Period of	pop. Est	οςςι	% urrence 1)	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
CHORDATA/ AVES	Anas penelope		V															Criterion 4: This species occur in large numbers during migrations. Ca. 1000 ind. observed in 2010.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Anas platyrhynchos		V															Criterion 4: This species occur in large numbers during migration season.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Anser anser		1											LC				Criterion 4: The site is breeding area for a number of seabirds and shorebirds like this species. The species also occur in large numbers during migrations. 4000 individuals observed at once(2007)
CHORDATA/ AVES	Arenaria interpres		V			20								LC				Criterion 3 & 4: The site is breeding area for a number of seabirds and shorebirds like this species. This species also occur in lagre number during migrations, and some also overwinter in this area.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Aythya marila	V	V											LC			National Red List: Considered as EN, Ann. III Berne Convention	Criterion 4: For this threatened species, the Ramsar Site is important as a staging and/or wintering area.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Bucephala clangula		V											LC				Criterion 4: This species occur during winter season
CHORDATA/ AVES	Calidris alba	V	V											LC			Svalbard Red List: Considered as VU, Ann. II Berne Convention	Criterion 4: This is one of the Arctic waders that utilize this area during migrations
CHORDATA/ AVES	Calidris alpina	V	V											LC			Ann. Il Berne Convention	Criterion 4: This species occur here during migrations, 0-5 pairs breed on the site.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Calidris canutus		V											NT			Svalbard Red List: Considered as VU	Criterion 2: This species is not yet evaluated by the National Red List, but is considered as EN on the Svalbard Red list. Criterion 4: This is one of the Arctic waders that utilize this wetland during migrations. This species occur in large numbers.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Calidris ferruginea	V	V											NT			Ann. Il Berne Convention	Criterion 4: This is one of the Arctic waders that utilize this wetland during migrations.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Calidris maritima		Ø											LC			Ann. Il Berne Convention	Criterion 4: This species stage and breed witin the site. 230 individuals in 2007.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Calidris minuta		Ø											LC			Ann. Il Berne Convention	Criterion 4: This species occur during migration season.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Cepphus grylle		V											LC				Criterion 4: This species utilize this wetland during breeding and winter season.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Charadrius hiaticula	I	V			20								LC			Ann. Il Berne Convention	Criterion 3 & 4: The site is breeding area for a number of seabirds and shorebirds like this species. During migrations this species occur in lagre numbers.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Chroicocephalus ridibundus	I	Ø														National Red List: Considered as CR	Criterion 4: This species can be found during breeding season.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Clangula hyemalis		V											VU				Criterion 4: This species utilize this wetland during winter season.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Cygnus cygnus	V	V											LC			Ann. Il Berne Convention, Emerald Network	Criterion 4: This species use the site during winter. 400 ind. in 2014
CHORDATA/ AVES	Gallinago gallinago		V											LC				Criterion 4: This species occur in large numbers during migration season.

Phylum	Scientific name	Species qualifies under criterion2469	Species contributes under criterion 3 5 7 8	Pop. Size	Period of pop. Est.	% occurrence 1)	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
--------	-----------------	--	---	--------------	---------------------	-----------------------	---------------------	---------------------	-------------------	--------------	---------------

CHORDATA/ AVES	Gavia adamsii	V	Ø						NT			National Red List: Considered as VU, Ann. Il Berne Convention, Emerald Network	Criterion 4: This species uses the site as a wintering area.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Gavia arctica	Ţ	Ø						LC			Ann. Il Berne Convention, Emerald Network	Criterion 4: This species occur during winter season.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Gavia immer	Ţ	Ø						LC			Ann. Il Berne Convention, Emerald Network	Criterion 4: This species overwinter in this wetland system.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Gavia stellata	ſ	Ø						LC			Ann. Il Berne Convention, Emerald Network	Criterion 4: This species overwinter in this wetland.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Haematopus ostralegus		Ø		0				NT				Criterion 3 & 4: The site is breeding area for a number of seabirds and shorebirds like this species.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Haliaeetus albicilla	ſ							LC	Ń	V		Criterion 4: This species is a common wintering species at the site, especially on Storfosna/Kråkvåg.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Larus argentatus	V	Ø						LC			National Red List: Considered as VU	Criterion 4: This species occur during migration and winter season. Some also breed here.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Larus canus	I	Ø						LC			National Red List: Considered as VU	Criterion 4: This species occur in large numbers during migration season.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Larus marinus		Ø						LC				Criterion 4: This species stage here, some also breed here.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Limosa limosa	Ţ	Ø						NT			National Red List: Considered as CR	Criterion 4: This species occur during the migration season.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Linaria flavirostris		Ø										Criterion 4: These wetlands and shallow marine waters are important mainly as staging, moulting and wintering area for this species.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Melanitta fusca	J	0						VU			National Red List: Considered as VU	Criterion 4: The site is breeding and staging area, however Grandefjæra is one of the most important moulting grounds for this species.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Melanitta nigra	Ţ	Ø						LC			National Red List: Considered as VU	Criterion 4: This species use this area as an overwintering site.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Mergus serrator		0		9 🗆				LC				Criterion 3 & 4: The site is breeding and wintering area for a number of seabirds and shorebirds like this species. The species also occur in large numbers during the migration season. 1500-2000 ind (2009-2011).
CHORDATA/ AVES	Numenius arquata	J	Ø						NT			National Red List: Considered as VU	Criterion 4: These wetlands and shallow marine waters are important mainly as staging, moulting and wintering area for this species. This species also occur in lagre numbers during migrations.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Phalacrocorax carbo			200		2500	2008	2.1	LC				Criterion 4: This species occur during breeding season. Criterion 6: Biogeographic Region - carbo, North-west Europe
CHORDATA/ AVES	Philomachus pugnax	J	Ø									National Red List: Considered as VU, Ann. Il Berne Convention	Criterion 4: For this threatened species, the Ramsar Site is important as a staging and/or wintering area.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Plectrophenax nivalis	V	Ø						LC			Ann. Il Berne Convention.	Criterion 4: This species occur in large numbers during migration season.

Phylum	Scientific name	Species qualifies under criterion 2 4 6 9	Spi cont ur cri 3 5	ecies ributes nder terion	Pop. Size	Period of pop. Est.	% occurrence 1)	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
CHORDATA/ AVES	Pluvialis apricaria		יסכ	ססנ				LC				Criterion 4: This species uses this wetland as a staging area during migrations.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Pluvialis squatarola		זסנ	ססנ				LC				Criterion 4: This species stage here during migrations, some also breed here.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Podiceps auritus		IØC		100	2013	1.8	VU			National Red List: Considered as VU, Ann. II Berne Convention	30-60 (2013), more than 100 ind. in March/April some years. Criterion 4: This species utilize this wetland during winter season. Criterion 6: Biogeographic Region - auritus, North-west Europe (large-billed)
CHORDATA/ AVES	Podiceps grisegena	ØØDC	ססנ					LC			Ann. Il Berne Convention	Criterion 4: This species overwinter in this wetland system.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Somateria mollissima	ØØ 🗆 C	IVC					NT			National Red List: Considered as VU	Criterion 3 & 4: The site is breeding area for a number of seabirds and shorebirds like this species. However, Grandefjæra is one of the most important mouting areas for this species, where up to 3500 ind. gather.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Somateria spectabilis	ØØDC	וסכ					LC			Ann. Il Berne Convention	Criterion 4: King eiders spends the winter in these waters.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Stercorarius parasiticus		יסכ					LC			National Red List: Considered as NT	Criterion 4: Small numbers of this species breed within Kråkvågsvaet Bird Sanctuary.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Sterna hirundo	ØØOC	ססנ					LC			National Red List: Considered as EN, Ann. II Berne Convention, Emerald Network	Criterion 4: Small numbers of this species breed within Kråkvågsvaet Bird Sanctuary.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Tadorna tadorna	ØØOC	D					LC			Ann. Il Berne Convention, Emerald Network	Criterion 3 & 4: The site is breeding area for a number of seabirds and shorebirds like this species.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Tringa totanus		יסכ	ססנ				LC				Criterion 4: This species occur during migration and winter season.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Vanellus vanellus	ØØOC						NT			National Red List: Considered as CR	Criterion 4: These wetlands and shallow marine waters are important mainly as staging, moulting and wintering area for this species.

1) Percentage of the total biogeographic population at the site

Common Ringed Plover - 600+ individuals occur in this wetland, the 1%-criteria for this species is 730 (for the Europe (win) population) according to the 5th ed. of waterbird population estimates. It is possible that the wetland host more than 1% of this population.

Great Northern Loon - 30-50 individuals occur in this wetland, the 1%-criteria for this species is 50 (for the hiaticula, Northern Europe/Europe & North Africa population) according to the 5th ed. of waterbird population estimates. At times this wetland host 1% of this population.

Anser anser, Greylag Goose, additional information: In April 2007 a total number of 4000 greylag geese was counted between Garten and Hoøya at Grandefjæra, the 1 % level for the North-Western population is 6100 (according to 5th edition of waterbird population estimates).

Capitalized letters shows the species' status on the National Red List 2021.

3.4 - Ecological communities whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Name of ecological community	Community qualifies under Criterion 2?	Description	Justification
Tidal meadow	V		This nature type is considered as VU on the Norwegian red list for nature types 2018.

# 4 - What is the Site like? (Ecological character description)

# 4.1 - Ecological character

All four sub-sites are characterized by large inter-tidal flats and shallow marine waters, with small islands and skerries at some of the sites (Kråkvågsvaet and Grandefjæra). Generally, only small areas of land and seashore vegetation are included in the protected areas. The sites have many saline-tolerant vegetational communities, including salt meadows, salt marshes, swamps, and seagrass beds. Some dry land areas are included in Kråkvågsvaet Bird Sanctuary, mostly on Storfosna, and the vegetation type here is mainly herb-rich shell sand meadows and grazed coastal meadows with different heather species. The remains of a larger brackish pond with regionally rare submerged plants is situated inside the bird sanctuary lnnstrandfjæra (Kråktjern) and comprise one of the few remaining freshwater areas found in Ørland municipality.

The sand- and mudflats and shallow marine waters host large biomasses of benthic animals and mussels, especially blue mussels, supplying food to large populations of diving ducks and waders. These waters are also spawning and nursery grounds for a lot of fish species, providing food for divers, mergansers and other fish-eating birds.

Grandefjæra Nature Reserve comprises the largest continuous inter-tidal area in Norway. The shoreline is 10 km long, where 500-600 ha of sand- and mudflats are exposed during low tide. This area is important as a staging location during migrations for waders and ducks. Additionally, the area functions as moulting (ducks), overwintering, breeding and feeding area.

Innstrandfjæra Bird Sanctuary is a varied tidal flat, constituting important staging and feeding area for waders and ducks. The brackish pond "Kråka" is a distinctive biotope.

Hovsfjæra Bird Sanctuary is situated within Trondheimsfjorden, while the two other mainland sub-sites are on the ocean-side of the peninsula Ørlandet. Hovsfjæra is a shallow tidal flat which constitutes an important staging and feeding area as well as being an important overwintering location.

Kråkvågsvaet Bird Sanctuary comprises the shallow strait between the islands Storfosna and Kråkvåg, and northern part of Storfosna, with tidal areas, small islands, skerries and bays. The strait is shallow and has extensive tidal sand- and mudflats. The site is important as staging area during migrations and for wintering seabirds, waterfowl and shorebirds, and for moulting ducks. The seashores also provide breeding sites for shorebirds.

Marine or coastal wetlands				
Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
A: Permanent shallow marine waters		1		Representative
B: Marine subtidal aquatic beds (Underwater vegetation)		3		
D: Rocky marine shores		4		
E: Sand, shingle or pebble shores				
G: Intertidal mud, sand or salt flats		2		Representative
H: Intertidal marshes				

# 4.2 - What wetland type(s) are in the site?

# 4.3 - Biological components

# 4.3.1 - Plant species

### Other noteworthy plant species

Phylum	Scientific name	Position in range / endemism / other
TRACHEOPHYTA/LILIOPSIDA	Catabrosa aquatica	Some regionally rare plants grows at all sub-sites, including this near threatened species
TRACHEOPHYTA/LILIOPSIDA	Dactylorhiza incarnata	Some regionally rare plants grows at all sub-sites, including this near threatened species

Invasive alien plant species

RIS for Site no. 310, Ørland Wetland System, Norway

Phylum	Scientific name	Impacts	Changes at RIS update
TRACHEOPHYTA/MAGNOLIOPSIDA	Acer pseudoplatanus	Potential	No change
TRACHEOPHYTA/MAGNOLIOPSIDA	Barbarea vulgaris	Potential	No change
TRACHEOPHYTA/MAGNOLIOPSIDA	Impatiens glandulifera	Potential	No change
TRACHEOPHYTA/PINOPSIDA	Picea sitchensis	Potential	No change
TRACHEOPHYTA/MAGNOLIOPSIDA	Reynoutria japonica	Potential	No change
TRACHEOPHYTA/MAGNOLIOPSIDA	Reynoutria sachalinensis	Potential	No change
TRACHEOPHYTA/MAGNOLIOPSIDA	Rosa rugosa	Potential	No change

#### Optional text box to provide further information

Picea sitchensis, Rosa rugosa, Acer pseudoplatanus, Reynoutria sachalinensis, Impatiens glandulifera, Reynoutria japonica, Barbarea vulgaris, Senecio viscosus - All Considered as SE (severe) on the Norwegian Alien species list 2018.

### 4.3.2 - Animal species

### Other noteworthy animal species

Phylum	Scientific name	Pop. size	Period of pop. est.	% occurrence	Position in range /endemism/other
CHORDATA/MAMMALIA	Halichoerus grypus				Only seen occasionally.
CHORDATA/MAMMALIA	Phocoena phocoena				
CHORDATA/AVES	Limosa Iapponica				Rare wintering wader

#### Invasive alien animal species

Phylum	Scientific name	Impacts	Changes at RIS update
CHORDATA/AVES	Branta canadensis	Actual (minor impacts)	No change
CHORDATA/MAMMALIA	Neovison vison	Potential	No change

#### Optional text box to provide further information

Both American mink and Canada goose are considered as SE (severe), capitalized letters shows the species' status in the Alien Species in Norway - the Norwegian Alien Species List 2018.

# 4.4 - Physical components

### 4.4.1 - Climate

Climatic region	Subregion
D: Moist Mid-Latitude climate with cold winters	Dfc: Subarctic (Severe winter, no dry season, cool summer)

The site has a strongly oceanic climate with mild winters and wet summers. The area receives precipitation 220-240 days in a year, and the amount of precipitation ranges from 50mm (May) to 131mm (September), with an annual precipitation of 1048mm. The temperature ranges from -0.7°C (January) to 12,9°C (August), with an annual average of 5,8°C.

Because Ørland is flat and open towards the ocean, the wind speeds can reach a fairly high speed. The low-lying skerries do not provide protection against the oceanic winds and the wind is strongest during autumn and winter months.

Steady high winter temperatures combined with relatively heavy precipitation contribute to snow and ice cover being present only for short periods.

4.4.2 - Geomorphic setting
a) Minimum elevation above sea level (in metres)
a) Maximum elevation above sea level (in metres)
Entire river basin
Upper part of river basin
Middle part of river basin
Lower part of river basin
Entire river basin

More than one river basin

Not in river basin 🗖

Coastal 🗹

Please name the river basin or basins. If the site lies in a sub-basin, please also name the larger river basin. For a coastal/marine site, please name the sea or ocean.

# Norwegian Sea

4.4.3 - Soil

Mineral 🗵

<sup>(Update)</sup> Changes at RIS update No change O Increase O Decrease O Unknown O

No available information

Are soil types subject to change as a result of changing hydrological conditions (e.g., increased salinity or acidification)?

Please provide further information on the soil (optional)

Large parts of the municipality consist of a crystalline basement covered by thick layers of marine deposists, mainly silt and clay. Clay and silt dominates the tidal zone, whereas sand predominates the shores – forming small sand dunes at certain areas. At some sites pebble and shingle predominates the outer part of the tidal zone. At Kråkvågsvaet shell sand is an important shoreline substrate. On northern part of Storfosna one can find small mires with peat soil.

### 4.4.4 - Water regime

### Water permanence

Presence?	Changes at RIS update
Usually permanent water	
present	

#### Source of water that maintains character of the site

Presence?	Predominant water source	Changes at RIS update
Water inputs from precipitation		No change
Marine water		No change

#### Water destination

Presence?	Changes at RIS update
Marine	No change

Stability of water regime		
Presence?	Changes at RIS update	
Water levels fluctuating (including tidal)	No change	

Please add any comments on the water regime and its determinants (if relevant). Use this box to explain sites with complex hydrology.

Large areas of shallow water, less than 3 meters depth. The variation between high and low tides averages annually 162 cm measured at Trondheim and 143 cm at Heimsjø – Ørland located between these two stations.

On Storfosna one can also find coastal meadows and marshes/mires. The sites are surrounded mainly by shallow marine waters, though some deeper areas can be found east of Storfosna.

4.4.5 - Sediment regime			
Sediment regime unknown			
4.4.6 - Water pH			
Unknown			
4.4.7 - Water salinity			
Euhaline/Eusaline (30-40 g/l)	۲.		
<sup>(Update)</sup> Changes at RIS update	No change 🖲 Increase O Decrease O Unknown O		
Unknown			
4.4.8 - Dissolved or suspended nutrients in water			
Unknown			
4.4.9 - Features of the surrounding area which may affect the Site			

Please describe whether, and if so how, the landscape and ecological

characteristics in the area surrounding the Ramsar Site differ from the i) broadly similar O ii) significantly different 🖲

site itself:
Surrounding area has greater urbanisation or development
Surrounding area has higher human population density
Surrounding area has more intensive agricultural use 
Surrounding area has significantly different land cover or habitat types

Please describe other ways in which the surrounding area is different:

Extensive farmlands neighbouring the mainland sub-sites, especially east of Grandefjæra. The surrounding marine waters are used for fishing, both leisure and commercial.

# 4.5 - Ecosystem services

#### 4.5.1 - Ecosystem services/benefits

#### **Provisioning Services**

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Wetland non-food products	Livestock fodder	Medium
Wetland non-food products	Other	Medium

#### **Regulating Services**

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Hazard reduction	Coastal shoreline and river bank stabilization and storm protection	Medium

#### **Cultural Services**

	Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
	Recreation and tourism	Picnics, outings, touring	Medium
-	Recreation and tourism	Nature observation and nature-based tourism	Medium
	Recreation and tourism	Recreational hunting and fishing	Medium
	Scientific and educational	Major scientific study site	Medium
	Scientific and educational	Educational activities and opportunities	Medium
	Scientific and educational	Long-term monitoring site	Medium

#### Supporting Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Nutrient cycling	Carbon storage/sequestration	Low

#### Other ecosystem service(s) not included above:

The shallow waters reduce the impact of waves coming from the open sea, and no particular erosion problems have been noted. The deposit of piles of seaweed on the contrary helps stabilizing the shoreline. The coastal meadows at Storfosna are grazed by sheep, including the small land areas in the bird sanctuary Kråkvågsvaet. Parts of the seashore at Hovsfjæra are grazed by livestock. The areas are used for fishing and bird-watching.

Trondheimsfjorden (including the three mainland sites) is one of 10 areas in the national monitoring programme for wintering seabirds and waterfowl.

For Kråkvågsvaet there have been comprehensive investigations concerning the effects of a road link between Storfosna and Kråkvåg (with stone fillings across the shallows) on the benthic fauna, being the main food source for diving ducks and waders in the strait.

Have studies or assessments been made of the economic valuation of ecosystem services provided by this Ramsar Site?

#### 4.5.2 - Social and cultural values

i) the site provides a model of wetland wise use, demonstrating the application of traditional knowledge and methods of management and use that maintain the ecological character of the wetland

- ii) the site has exceptional cultural traditions or records of former civilizations that have influenced the ecological character of the wetland
  - iii) the ecological character of the wetland depends on its interaction with local communities or indigenous peoples
- iv) relevant non-material values such as sacred sites are present and their existence is strongly linked with the maintenance of the ecological character of the wetland

<no data available>

# 4.6 - Ecological processes

RIS for Site no. 310, Ørland Wetland System , Norway

<no data available>

# 5 - How is the Site managed? (Conservation and management)

# 5.1 - Land tenure and responsibilities (Managers)

# 5.1.1 - Land tenure/ownership

Public ownership						
Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area				
National/Federal government	V	V				
Private ownership						
Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area				
Other types of private/individual owner(s)	V	×				

Provide further information on the land tenure / ownership regime (optional):

Within the Ramsar site: Private/State (marine area)

In the surrounding area: Private/State (marine area)

# 5.1.2 - Management authority

Please list the local office / offices of any	County Governor of Trøndelag
agency or organization responsible for	
managing the site:	
Postal address:	Statsforvalteren i Trøndelag Pb 2600 N-7734 STEINKJER
E-mail address:	sftlpost@statsforvalteren.no

# 5.2 - Ecological character threats and responses (Management)

# 5.2.1 - Factors (actual or likely) adversely affecting the Site's ecological character

# Human settlements (non agricultural)

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Unspecified development	Medium impact	Medium impact	×	No change	×	No change

Water regulation						
Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Drainage	Medium impact	Medium impact	V	No change		No change

#### Energy production and mining

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Renewable energy	unknown impact	High impact		No change	×	No change

Transportation and service corridors

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Roads and railroads	Medium impact	Medium impact	×	No change		No change
Shipping lanes	Low impact	High impact	×	No change	×	No change

Biological resource use						
Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Fishing and harvesting aquatic resources	Medium impact	Medium impact	×	No change		No change

#### Invasive and other problematic species and genes

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Invasive non-native/ alien species	Low impact	High impact	×	No change	V	No change
Problematic native species	Medium impact	Medium impact	×	No change		No change

Pollution

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Garbage and solid waste	Medium impact	Medium impact		No change	V	No change
Industrial and military effluents	Medium impact	Medium impact	×	No change	×	No change

#### Please describe any other threats (optional):

### Within the site:

Before Grandefjæra received legal protection, large areas of salt marshes and salt-influenced meadows were drained for agricultural use. Only small remains of these extensive seashores are present in the nature reserve, outside a 2 km long dike, built to prevent seawater to flood into the farmland on an extreme high tide. Also at the two other mainland sites encroachments have reduced the size of seashore vegetation communities before they were legally protected.

The permitted cutting of seaweed is thought to have a negative effect on avian food sources at the site.

In 2003 a 1,5 km road link over the strait between Storfosna and Kråkvåg was built, including a 400 m bridge and rock fills across the shallows on the Storfosna side. The project was preceded by extensive studies, including modelling and simulations of currents and water flow, to investigate the effect on the rich benthic fauna in the strait, highly dependent on the strong tidal current – and thereby also on the large concentrations diving ducks and waders using the wetland.

Ørland airport effluents empty out into Grandefjæra through 3 different channels which drain the Ørland air station (The air station for the Royal Norwegian Air Force).

Common sea buckthorn is a pioneer species which can displace the rare orchid.

Activity from local hikers can be of disturbance for the breeding bird species. Overgrowing can also reduce the available nesting spots for these species.

Mink, as an alien species, is observed within the wetland, however the scope of negative consequences in relation to this is uncertain. On a general term, alien species are not a big problem inside the protected area, especially where vegetation is grazed upon. However, some areas are affected by overgrowing, which could result in alien species becoming problematic.

#### In the surrounding area:

Run-off from farming and agriculture could cause pollution that might alter the species composition of the soft seabed, which could have an indirect effect on foraging opportunities for avian species.

A refuse deposit is situated close to the border of Innstrandfjæra Bird Sanctuary, causing increased predation by crows and gulls on eggs and chicks of breeding ducks and waders at this site.

Just outside Ørland one finds the main shipping lane, and the Norwegian coast is characterized by oil extraction and refinery - oil spill could become an acute pollution problem resulting from this activity which could become detrimental for Ørland Wetland System.

The planned building of Ørland military air force station will likely cause noise pollution and increased air traffic. How this will affect the wetland ecosystem is uncertain, but it is suspected that certain avian species might avoid this wetland as an effect.

There are 3 wind power plants (with a total of 5 wind turbines) in proximity of Hovsfjæra.

#### 5.2.2 - Legal conservation status

#### National legal designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	<b>Overlap with Ramsar Site</b>
Bird Sanctuary	Hovsfjæra		whole
Bird Sanctuary	Innstrandfjæra		whole
Bird Sanctuary	Kråkvågsvaet		whole
nature reserve	Grandefjæra		whole

Non-statutory designations			
Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
Important Bird Area	Ørland Wetland System		whole

#### 5.2.3 - IUCN protected areas categories (2008)

la Strict Nature Reserve 🗷

# Ib Wilderness Area: protected area managed mainly for wilderness protection

Il National Park: protected area managed mainly for ecosystem 
protection and recreation

- III Natural Monument: protected area managed mainly for conservation of specific natural features
- IV Habitat/Species Management Area: protected area managed mainly for conservation through management intervention
- V Protected Landscape/Seascape: protected area managed mainly for landscape/seascape conservation and recreation
- VI Managed Resource Protected Area: protected area managed mainly for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems

# 5.2.4 - Key conservation measures

#### Legal protection

Measures	Status
Legal protection	Implemented

#### Habitat

Measures	Status
Habitat manipulation/enhancement	Partially implemented

Human Activities	
Measures	Status
Research	Implemented

#### Other

Management plans for all sub-sites are under preparation by the management authority. Common sea buckthorn is a pioneer species which can displace the rare orchid, and thinning of buckthorn could be necessary in certain years to prevent overgrowing of breeding locations for birds and important habitats for the Northern marsh orchid.

### 5.2.5 - Management planning

Is there a site-specific management plan for the site? Yes

Has a management effectiveness assessment been undertaken for the site? Yes O No O

If the site is a formal transboundary site as indicated in section Data and location > Site location, are there shared management planning Yes O No processes with another Contracting Party?

Please indicate if a Ramsar centre, other educational or visitor facility, or an educational or visitor programme is associated with the site.

An information booklet is produced by the management authorities, comprising all the Ramsar sites in Trøndelag. A wetland information center has been established within the new house of culture in Brekstad. Bird observation towers/ observation hides have been built.

#### 5.2.6 - Planning for restoration

Is there a site-specific restoration plan? No need identified

#### 5.2.7 - Monitoring implemented or proposed

Monitoring	Status
Birds	Implemented

Trondheimsfjorden (including the three mainland sites) is one of 10 areas in the national monitoring programme for wintering seabirds and waterfowl.

For Kråkvågsvaet there have been comprehensive investigations concerning the effects of a road link between Storfosna and Kråkvåg (with stone fillings across the shallows) on the benthic fauna, being the main food source for diving ducks and waders in the strait.

# 6 - Additional material

# 6.1 - Additional reports and documents

# 6.1.1 - Bibliographical references

Artsdatabanken (2021, 24. november). Norsk rødliste for arter 2021. https://www.artsdatabanken.no/lister/rodlisteforarter/2021/

Artsdatabanken (2018). Norsk rødliste for naturtyper 2018. Hentet (dato) fra https://www.artsdatabanken.no/rodlistefornaturtyper

Ørland Kommune https://www.orland.kommune.no/

Bygdeforskning, Rapport nr. 06/2012 - Ramsarområdene i Frøya, Hitra og Ørland - rammebetingelser og muligheter for bruk og vern. Katrina Rønningen Svein Frisvoll

Norsk Ornitologisk Forening - Fosen lokallag http://www.noffll.no/

Biologisk mangfold i Ørland kommune. Norsk institutt for jord- og skogkartlegging, NIJOS rapport 12/2002.

Follestad, A., Aarrestad, P.A., Myklebost, H. & Reitan, O. 2013. Naturtypekartlegging og forekomst av fugler i Brekstadfjæra, Innstrandfjæra og Neslandfjæra i Ørland og Bjugn kommuner. - NINA Rapport 1004. 71 s.

Forvaltningsplan for Hovsfjæra fuglefredningsområde. Fylkesmannen i Sør-Trøndelag, 2014.

Forvaltningsplan for Innstrandfjæra fuglefredningsområde i Ørland kommune 2014-2024, Fylkesmannen i Sør-Trøndelag.

Forvaltningsplan Kråkvågsvaet fuglefredningsområde i Ørland kommune, 2016. Fylkesmannen i Sør-Trøndelag.

See further bibliographical references under 6.1.2 Additional reports and documents

### 6.1.2 - Additional reports and documents

i. taxonomic lists of plant and animal species occurring in the site (see section 4.3)

ii. a detailed Ecological Character Description (ECD) (in a national format) <no file available>

iii. a description of the site in a national or regional wetland inventory

iv. relevant Article 3.2 reports <no file available>

v. site management plan <3 file(s) uploaded> vi. other published literature

<2 file(s) uploaded>

# 6.1.3 - Photograph(s) of the Site



-



Ørland Wetland System ( Gunnar Kjærstad/Norwegian Environment Agency, 13-09-2012 )

# 6.1.4 - Designation letter and related data

Designation letter

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Date of Designation 1985-07-24