

# Ramsar Information Sheet

Published on 23 June 2023 Update version, previously published on : 21 December 2017

# Norway Pasvik



Designation date
Site number
Coordinates
Area
18 March 1996
810
69°08'39"N 29°13'17"E
1 910,00 ha

# Color codes

Fields back-shaded in light blue relate to data and information required only for RIS updates.

Note that some fields concerning aspects of Part 3, the Ecological Character Description of the RIS (tinted in purple), are not expected to be completed as part of a standard RIS, but are included for completeness so as to provide the requested consistency between the RIS and the format of a 'full' Ecological Character Description, as adopted in Resolution X.15 (2008). If a Contracting Party does have information available that is relevant to these fields (for example from a national format Ecological Character Description) it may, if it wishes to, include information in these additional fields.

# 1 - Summary

#### Summary

The site is situated along Pasvik river at the Norwegian - Russian border in Finnmark County. It follows the boundaries of the nature reserve with the same name and includes the most intact section of the Pasvik river system, characterized by many bays, islets and shallow waters. The river has rich vegetation, with species such as Potamogeton spp., Sparganium spp. and Ranunculus peltatus. Water horsetail (Equisetum fluviatile) occurs in large quantities in some parts of the site (Gjøkbukta). The river is surrounded by pine (Pinus sylvestris) forests and extensive mires. The area is the north-western fringe of the Siberian taiga and is the north-western border for many species of plants and animals which are common in Russia but rare in this area. The site is especially important for breeding, resting and migratory wetland species, including several red-listed bird species which are breeding in the area.

2.1.1 - Name and address of the compiler of this RIS

# 2 - Data & location

### 2.1 - Formal data

gian Environmental Agency ox 5672 Torgarden, N-7485 Trondheim, Norway
on sorz Torganden, 14-7-405 Horianenni, Norway
ority
ks 5672 Sluppen eim

#### 2.1.3 - Name of the Ramsar Site

Official name (in English, French or Spanish)

Pasvik

#### 2.1.4 - Changes to the boundaries and area of the Site since its designation or earlier update

(Update) A. Changes to Site boundary Yes O No 

(Update) B. Changes to Site area

No change to area

(Update) For secretariat only. This update is an extension □

### 2.1.5 - Changes to the ecological character of the Site

(Update) 6b i. Has the ecological character of the Ramsar Site (including applicable Criteria) changed since the previous RIS?

From year 2012

To year 2021

#### 2.2 - Site location

### 2.2.1 - Defining the Site boundaries

# b) Digital map/image

<1 file(s) uploaded>

Former maps 0

# Boundaries description

The boundaries are the same as for the Pasvik Nature Reserve, and the eastern border of the site follows the national border with Russia.

# 2.2.2 - General location

a) In which large administrative region does the site lie?

Troms and Finmark

b) What is the nearest town or population centre?

Kirkenes

# 2.2.3 - For wetlands on national boundaries only

a) Does the wetland extend onto the territory of one or more other countries? Yes  $\ensuremath{\mathbf{@}}$  No O

b) Is the site adjacent to another designated Ramsar Site on the territory of another Contracting Party?

#### 2.2.4 - Area of the Site

Official area, in hectares (ha): 1910

Area, in hectares (ha) as calculated from 1886.21 GIS boundaries

# 2.2.5 - Biogeography

# Biogeographic regions

Regionalisation scheme(s)	Biogeographic region
Other scheme (provide name below)	Northern boreal zone (NbC1 – slightly continental section)
EU biogeographic regionalization	2. Boreal

#### Other biogeographic regionalisation scheme

- 1. Zonal division showing the variation in vegetation from south to north and from the lowlands to the mountains, and sectional graduation showing the variation between the coast and inland (In: Moen, A. 1998. Nasjonalatlas for Norge; vegetasjon. Statens kartverk, Hønefoss).
- 2. Biogeographical regions of Europe, European Environment Agency, 2005

# 3 - Why is the Site important?

# 3.1 - Ramsar Criteria and their justification

#### Criterion 1: Representative, rare or unique natural or near-natural wetland types

The Wetland has a flood control function, and the mires are important for carbon sequestration. Hydrological services provided The site and the surrounding area is used as winter pasture for reindeer. Other ecosystem services provided The slow moving and shallow river ecosystem is of high importance as a breeding and staging area for a high diversity of ducks, geese, waders and other water birds.

#### ☑ Criterion 2 : Rare species and threatened ecological communities

Optional text box to provide further information

The conservation area is important for many species on the Norwegian red-list (NRL), such as Little Bunting (Emberiza pusilla, NRL 2021: VU), Smew (Mergellus albellus, NRL: VU) and Ruff (Calidris pugnax, NRL 2021: VU).

#### ☑ Criterion 3 : Biological diversity

In addition to being a very important area for a great number of wetland bird species, the area is also important for a series of boreal species with limited distribution in Europe, for instance, the northern hawkowl (Surnia ulula) and the great grey owl (Strix nebulosa, NLR 2021: VU). The area is represented on the Justification list of "Important Bird Areas in Europe" (Heath and Evans 2000). The wetland system found along the Pasvik river system is very rare for rivers draining towards the Barents Sea due to its special richness and variation. The wetland in Øvre Pasvik is considered as one of the richest wetland systems in Scandinavia and is of great importance for the avifauna.

#### Criterion 4 : Support during critical life cycle stage or in adverse conditions

information

Optional text box to provide further The area is particularly important for breeding, resting and migratory wetland species.

# 3.2 - Plant species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

.2 I failt species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site								
Phylum	Scientific name	Criterion 2	Criterion 3	Criterion 4	IUCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	Other status	Justification
Plantae								
TRACHEOPHYTA/ MAGNOLIOPSIDA	Betula pendula pendula		✓					
TRACHEOPHYTA/ LILIOPSIDA	Carex Iapponica	✓	₹				National red list status: VU	
TRACHEOPHYTA/ MAGNOLIOPSIDA	Stellaria palustris	<b>/</b>	✓				National red list status: VU	

3.3 - Animal species whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

Phylum	Scientific name	criterion	der contrib	utes Pop		occurrence	Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
Others					_						
MAMMALIA	Canis Iupus						LC	$\mathscr{I}$		National red list status: CR	Criterion 2: This species is less often seen in the area.
CHORDATA/ MAMMALIA	Gulo gulo						LC			National red list status: EN	Criterion 2: This species is less often seen in the area.
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	Lutra Iutra						NT	$\checkmark$		Ann. Il Berne Convention	Criterion 4: The area has a breeding population of this species.
CHORDATA / MAMMALIA	Ursus arctos						LC	V		National red list status: EN	Criterion 2: The area has a breeding population of Brown bear.
Birds											
	Actitis hypoleucos						LC				Criterion 4: Common breeder in the area.
CHORDATA / AVES	Anas acuta						LC			National red list status: VU	
CHORDATA / AVES	Anas clypeata						LC			National red list status: VU	Criterion 4: The species breeds in small numbers on the site each year.
CHORDATA / AVES	Anas penelope						LC				Criterion 4: The area is an important staging site for this species.
CHORDATA / AVES	Anser fabalis						LC			National red list status: EN	Criterion 4: Common breeder in the area. The area is an important staging site for this species.
CHORDATA /	Aythya marila	<b>2 2 -</b>					LC			National red list status: EN	Rare visitor in spring and autumn.
	l Chroicocephalus ridibundus						LC			National red list status: CR	Criterion 4: The species breeds in small numbers on the site each year.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Cygnus cygnus						LC				Criterion 4: The area is an important staging site for this species.
CHORDATA / AVES	Emberiza pusilla	<b>2</b> 20					LC			National red list status: VU	Criterion 4: Important breeding site for this species.
	Gavia arctica						LC				Criterion 4: Important site for this species, mainly as staging site, but breeding occurs.
CHORDATA / AVES	Larus canus						LC			National red list status: VU	Criterion 4: The area has a breeding population of this species.
CHORDATA / AVES	Melanitta fusca						VU			National red list status: VU	Staging area for this species.
CHORDATA / AVES	Melanitta nigra						LC			National red list status: VU	Criterion 4: The area is an important staging site for this species. Breed in small numbers.
CHORDATA / AVES	Mergellus albellus						LC			National Red List: Considered as VU	Criterion 4: The species breeds in small numbers on the site each year.
CHORDATA / AVES	Mergus merganser						LC				Criterion 4: The area is an important staging site for this species.
CHORDATA / AVES	Numenius arquata	<b>2</b> 20					NT			National red list status: EN	Rare migrating and breeding species in the area.
CHORDATA /	Pandion haliaetus						LC			Emerald Network	This species forage in the area on occasion.

Phylum	Scientific name	Species   Species   qualifies under   criterion   under criterion   2   4   6   9   3   5   7   8     Size	Period of pop. Est. oc	ccurrence	UCN Red List	CITES Appendix I	CMS Appendix I	Other Status	Justification
CHORDATA / AVES	Philomachus pugnax				LC			National Red List: Considered as VU	Criterion 4: The site is a breeding area for this species.
CHORDATA / AVES	Phylloscopus borealis				LC			National red list status: EN	Rare breeding species.
CHORDATA / AVES	Sterna hirundo				LC			National red list status: EN	Criterion 4: The species breeds in small numbers at the site.
CHORDATA/ AVES	Strix nebulosa				LC			National red list status: VU	Criterion 2 & 4: This species is breeding at the site occasionally. Criterion 3: The area is important for a series of boreal species with limited distribution in Europe, for instance this species.
CHORDATA / AVES	Surnia ulula				LC				Criterion 4: Important breeding site for this species.
CHORDATA / AVES	Tringa erythropus				LC				Criterion 4: Common breeder in the area.
CHORDATA / AVES	Tringa glareola				LC				Criterion 4: Common breeder in the area.
CHORDATA / AVES	Tringa nebularia				LC				Criterion 4: Common breeder in the area.

<sup>1)</sup> Percentage of the total biogeographic population at the site

Referred to the Norwegian Red List 2021.

A summary of waterfowl counts covering 1996-2020 can be found in Günther et al. 2022

# 3.4 - Ecological communities whose presence relates to the international importance of the site

<no data available>

# 4 - What is the Site like? (Ecological character description)

# 4.1 - Ecological character

Situated in the northern boreal coniferous forest, the surrounding catchment area is covered by pine forest in the lower areas and mountainous birch forest at higher elevations. The forest is characterized by few species of lichen and ericaceous species on dry ground. A typical feature is extensive tracts of mires, dominated by Carex spp. stands in minerogenic parts. The river Pasvikelva runs through the site and is characterized by slow flowing waters with a few rapids, many shallow bays with rich water vegetation. Stands of Potamogeton spp. dominate in the river, while in more shallow parts species like Sparganium spp. and Ranunculus peltatus are more common. Water horsetail (Equisetum fluviatile) dominates some parts of the sites (Gjøkbukta). Several other interesting water plant species can be found along the shore. Thickets of Salix spp. can be found along the river. The ice breaks very early in this area and is thus very important for staging migratory waterfowl.

# 4.2 - What wetland type(s) are in the site?

#### Inland wetlands

Wetland types (code and name)	Local name	Ranking of extent (1: greatest - 4: least)	Area (ha) of wetland type	Justification of Criterion 1
Fresh water > Flowing water >> M: Permanent rivers/ streams/ creeks		1		Representative
Fresh water > Lakes and pools  >> O: Permanent freshwater lakes		3		
Fresh water > Marshes on peat soils >> U: Permanent Non- forested peatlands		2		

# 4.3 - Biological components

#### 4.3.1 - Plant species

Other noteworthy plant species

Phylum	Scientific name	Position in range / endemism / other
TRACHEOPHYTA/LILIOPSIDA	Carex globularis	Geographically interesting area with a number of eastern species like this species.
TRACHEOPHYTA/LILIOPSIDA	Eriophorum chamissonis	Characteristic species for wetland areas in the northern part of Norway.
TRACHEOPHYTA/MAGNOLIOPSIDA	Rhododendron tomentosum	Characteristic species for the area.
TRACHEOPHYTA/LILIOPSIDA	Sagittaria natans	Geographically interesting area with a number of eastern species like this species.

#### 4.3.2 - Animal species

Other noteworthy animal species

Phylum	Scientific name	Pop. size	Period of pop. est.	% occurrence	Position in range /endemism/other
CHORDATA/MAMMALIA	Alces alces				Common species.
CHORDATA/AVES	Gallinago gallinago				
CHORDATA/AVES	Lagopus lagopus				Common breeding species in the area.
CHORDATA/AVES	Lymnocryptes minimus				This species is relatively common in the area.
CHORDATA/AVES	Phalaropus lobatus				Often spotted in the area.
CHORDATA/AVES	Limicola falcinellus				The species occurs in the area
CHORDATA/AVES	Limosa Iapponica				
CHORDATA/AVES	Phalaropus lobatus				Species often seen.
CHORDATA/AVES	Vanellus vanellus				National Red List: Considered as NT, rare in the area.

Invasive alien animal species

Phylum	Scientific name	Impacts	Changes at RIS update
CHORDATA/MAMMALIA	Neovison vison	Actual (minor impacts)	No change
CHORDATA/MAMMALIA	Ondatra zibethicus	Potential	unknown

# 4.4 - Physical components

# 4.4.1 - Climate

Climatic region	Subregion
D: Moist Mid-Latitude climate with cold winters	Dfc: Subarctic (Severe winter, no dry season, cool summer)

D: Moist Mid-Latitude climate with cold winters	Dfc: Subarctic (Severe winter, no dry season, cool summer)								
	,								
The climate is contine	ental with long and cold w	inters and short relative	ly warm and intense summers. Moderate to low precipitation.						
4.4.2 - Geomorphic set	tting								
a) Minimum elevation above sea level (in metres)									
a) Maximum elevation above sea level (in									
	metres) Entire river basin								
	Upper part of river basin								
		t of river basin 🗵							
		t of river basin							
	More than o	one river basin							
		t in river basin							
	110	Coastal							
Diagram and the situation has it			the leaves discolors in Face and Aller discolors in the state of the s						
Pasvik River (Barents		sub-basin, piease aiso name	the larger river basin. For a coastal/marine site, please name the sea or ocean.						
r down ravor (Baronia	, 664)								
4.4.3 - Soil									
		Mineral 🗹							
	(Update) Changes	at RIS update No change	Increase O Decrease O Unknown O						
		Organic 🗹							
	(Update) Changes	at RIS update No change	Increase O Decrease O Unknown O						
		le information							
Are soil types subject to	change as a result of changin								
condition	ons (e.g., increased salinity or	acidification)?							
Please provide further infor	mation on the soil (optional)								
Soil types consist of c	organic soil in mires and	glacial deposits with sa	nd, gravel and rocks.						
4.4.4 - Water regime									
Water permanence									
Presence?	Changes at RIS update								
Usually permanent water present									
Course of water that maintain	a abarastar of the aite								
Source of water that maintain <b>Presence?</b>	Predominant water source	Changes at RIS update							
Water inputs from surface water		No change							
	1								
Stability of water regime  Presence?	Changes at DIC undet-								
Water levels fluctuating	Changes at RIS update								
(including tidal)	No change								

Please add any comments on the water regime and its determinants (if relevant). Use this box to explain sites with complex hydrology.

The Pasvik River originates from the Enare Lake in Finland. The Pasvik River is regulated by seven electric power stations, this has some influence on the fluctuation of the water level, but in the central part of the nature reserve the river still follows its original course. Due to the physical factors with shallow and protected bays the aquatic flora is particularly well developed. The ice breaks very early in this area and is thus very important for staging migratory waterfowl. The river Pasvik drains an area of 18404 km2, with a major part in Finland and constitutes the border river between Norway and Russia. The river is of a high importance as a flood control agent since the volume of water during the spring floods is huge.

4.4.5 - Sediment regime	
Significant transportation of sediments occurs on or through the site 🗹	
<sup>(Update)</sup> Changes at RIS update No change <b>②</b> Increase <b>○</b> Decrease <b>○</b> Unknown <b>○</b>	
Sediment regime unknown □	
Please provide further information on sediment (optional):	
The significant transport of sediments and, as a consequence, the continuously shifting estuary is important in maintaining a natural ecosyst in the estuary.	tem
4.4.6. WeterpH	
4.4.6 - Water pH	

# 4.4.7 - Water salinity

Fresh	(<0.5	g/l)	1

<sup>(Update)</sup> Changes at RIS update No change 

Increase 

Decrease 

Unknown 

O

Unknown

#### 4.4.8 - Dissolved or suspended nutrients in water

Unknown 📝

#### 4.4.9 - Features of the surrounding area which may affect the Site

Please describe whether, and if so how, the landscape and ecological characteristics in the area surrounding the Ramsar Site differ from the i) broadly similar O ii) significantly different of site itself:

Surrounding area has greater urbanisation or development Surrounding area has higher human population density Surrounding area has more intensive agricultural use Surrounding area has significantly different land cover or habitat types

Please describe other ways in which the surrounding area is different:

Reindeer husbandry, forestry, hunting, fishing and other leisure activities.

# 4.5 - Ecosystem services

# 4.5.1 - Ecosystem services/benefits

Provisioning Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Wetland non-food products	Livestock fodder	Medium

# Regulating Services

Ecosystem service Examples		Importance/Extent/Significance
Erosion protection	Soil, sediment and nutrient retention	Medium
Hazard reduction	Flood control, flood storage	Medium

#### Cultural Services

California Collinoco						
Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance				
Recreation and tourism	Recreational hunting and fishing	Low				
Recreation and tourism	Nature observation and nature-based tourism	Low				
Spiritual and inspirational	Cultural heritage (historical and archaeological)	High				
Scientific and educational	Major scientific study site	Medium				
Scientific and educational	Educational activities and opportunities	Medium				
Scientific and educational	Long-term monitoring site	Medium				

Supporting Services

Ecosystem service	Examples	Importance/Extent/Significance
Nutrient cycling	Carbon storage/sequestration	Medium

#### Other ecosystem service(s) not included above:

As a flood control agent the river is of high importance since the volume of water during the spring floods is huge. Since the degradation of the wetlands in the northern regions is low, floods rarely occur here. The significant transport of sediments and, as a consequence, the continuously shifting estuary is important in maintaining a natural ecosystem in the estuary.

The research centre at Svanhovd Environmental Center (Bioforsk), approx. 40 km to the north, has been assigned tasks concerning research and monitoring within the conservation area. This is done in close cooperation with the authorities of the Russian zapovednik.

The area is to a low degree used by residents and tourists, mainly for fishing and birdwatching.

Reindeer graze in the area.

See additional material for further information.

Have studies or assessments been made of the economic valuation of ecosystem services provided by this Ramsar Site?

# 4.5.2 - Social and cultural values

i) the site provides a model of wetland wise use, demonstrating the application of traditional knowledge and methods of management and ${\mathfrak l}$ use that maintain the ecological character of the wetland	
ii) the site has exceptional cultural traditions or records of former civilizations that have influenced the ecological character of the wetland	
iii) the ecological character of the wetland depends on its interaction with local communities or indigenous peoples	
iv) relevant non-material values such as sacred sites are present and their existence is strongly linked with the maintenance of the ecological character of the wetland	

<no data available>

# 4.6 - Ecological processes

<no data available>

# 5 - How is the Site managed? (Conservation and management)

# 5.1 - Land tenure and responsibilities (Managers)

#### 5.1.1 - Land tenure/ownership

-			
Pill	חוור	owners	hin

Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
Local authority, municipality, (sub)district, etc.	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>

#### Private ownership

Category	Within the Ramsar Site	In the surrounding area
Other types of private/individual owner(s)	<b>₽</b>	

Provide further information on the land tenure / ownership regime (optional):

Within the Ramsar site: Most of the area falls under Finnmarkseiendommen, a regional authority managing state property in Finnmark county. A minor part is private.

In the surrounding area: Most of the area falls under Finnmarkseiendommen.

#### 5.1.2 - Management authority

Please list the local office / offices of any County Governor of Troms and Finnmark agency or organization responsible for managing the site: Statsforvalteren i Troms og Finnmark

Postal address: Pb 700 9815 Vadsø

E-mail address: sftfpost@statsforvalteren.no

# 5.2 - Ecological character threats and responses (Management)

#### 5.2.1 - Factors (actual or likely) adversely affecting the Site's ecological character

Water regulation

	Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
	Canalisation and river regulation	Low impact	Low impact		No change	<b>✓</b>	No change
Di	ological resource upo						

#### Biological resource use

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Logging and wood harvesting	Medium impact	Medium impact		No change	✓	No change

#### Human intrusions and disturbance

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
(Para)military activities	Low impact	Medium impact	✓	No change	<b></b> ✓	No change

# Natural system modifications

F	actors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
	Dams and water management/use	Medium impact	Medium impact	✓	No change	<b>/</b>	No change

#### Invasive and other problematic species and genes

Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Invasive non-native/	Low impact	Medium impact	<b></b>	No change		No change

Pollution						
Factors adversely affecting site	Actual threat	Potential threat	Within the site	Changes	In the surrounding area	Changes
Air-borne pollutants	Medium impact	Medium impact	✓	No change		No change

Please describe any other threats (optional):

#### within the Ramsar site:

The Pasvik river is regulated by two hydro-electric power plants, this has some influence on the fluctuation of the water level. There is also some contamination by air from the industry in Russia.

#### in the surrounding area:

Two power plants, that cause some water-fluctuations, are situated outside the Ramsar area. Tracts of forests have been felled in the surrounding area on both sides of the border, but still great areas of old forest remain within and outside of the protected areas and a neighbouring national park.

Prospecting for minerals has been undertaken in the catchment area, while extraction of a major deposit was rejected with the establishment of the reserve. An old plan for a new highway between Norway and Finland along the river still exists but is strongly opposed due to the unspoiled character of the area.

As the site is situated on the Russian border, there are some military activities associated with border control. The army is aware of the site's value as a nature reserve, and have restrictions regarding patrolling.

# 5.2.2 - Legal conservation status

#### Regional (international) legal designations

-3 - (			
Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
Other international designation	EUROPARC Transboundary Parks: Pasvik – Inari Trialteral Park		partly

#### National legal designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site
nature reserve	Pasvik		whole

#### Non-statutory designations

Designation type	Name of area	Online information url	Overlap with Ramsar Site		
Important Bird Area	Øvre Pasvik		partly		

### 5.2.3 - IUCN protected areas categories (2008)

la Strict Nature Reserve ⊌
lb Wilderness Area: protected area managed mainly for wilderness protection
Il National Park: protected area managed mainly for ecosystem protection and recreation
Natural Monument: protected area managed mainly for conservation of specific natural features
/ Habitat/Species Management Area: protected area managed mainly for conservation through management intervention
Protected Landscape/Seascape: protected area managed mainly for landscape/seascape conservation and recreation
I Managed Resource Protected Area: protected area managed mainly for the sustainable use of natural ecosystems

# 5.2.4 - Key conservation measures

#### Legal protection

Logar protoction					
Measures	Status				
Legal protection	Implemented				

#### Other:

Management plan in preparation

# 5.2.5 - Management planning

Is there a site-specific management plan for the site? Yes

Has a management effectiveness assessment been undertaken for the site?

If the site is a formal transboundary site as indicated in section Data and location > Site location, are there shared management planning Yes O No opposesses with another Contracting Party?

Please indicate if a Ramsar centre, other educational or visitor facility, or an educational or visitor programme is associated with the site:

Approximate 40 km to the north we find a national park centre with an exhibition from nature in the Pasvik valley. There is also possible to stay overnight and get information, transport and so on.

A book on the reserve in Norwegian and Russian was published in 1994. Several posters and a brochure in English, Russian and Norwegian have been produced. A bird watching hide is situated south in the small bay Gjøkbukta. There is also other information about the site on web.

#### 5.2.6 - Planning for restoration

Is there a site-specific restoration plan? No need identified

# 5.2.7 - Monitoring implemented or proposed

The research centre at Svanhovd Environmental Center (Bioforsk), approx. 40 km to the North, has been assigned tasks concerning research and monitoring within the conservation area. This is done in close cooperation with the authorities of the Russian zapovednik.

# 6 - Additional material

### 6.1 - Additional reports and documents

#### 6.1.1 - Bibliographical references

Biogeographic regionalisation scheme:

Moen, A. 1998. Nasjonalatlas for Norge; vegetasjon. Statens kartverk, Hønefoss

#### General:

Günther, M. 2006. Ti år med vannfugltellinger i Pasvik naturreservat. Oppsummering 1996-2005. Bioforsk Rapport 1 (68). 65 s. (List of literature inside) (http://www.bioforsk.no/ViewPPP.aspx?view=publication&id=8985&viewLanguage=NorwegianBokmaal)

Günther, M. (Ed.) 2004. Field Guide to Protected Areas in the Barents Region, Svanhovd Environmental Centre, Svanvik. 376 pp.

Wiliam, S., Makarova, O & Aarset, T. 1994. Pasvik. Norsk-russisk naturreservat. Grøndahl-Dreyer. 96 pp. (List of literature inside).

Birkeland, I. og Arnesen, G. 2011. Fjærevann, Sør-Varanger kommune. EcoFact rapport 122

Fylkesmannen i Finnmark, 2013. Forvaltningsplan for Pasvik naturreservat - Management plan for Pasvik nature reserve.

Heath, M. F. & Evans, M. I. (eds.) 2000. Important Bird Areas in Europe. Priority sites for conservation. 2 vols. Cambridge, UK: BirdLife International. BirdLife Conservation Series No. 8.

Artsdatabanken (2021, 24. november). Norsk rødliste for arter 2021. https://www.artsdatabanken.no/lister/rodlisteforarter/2021

Günther, M., Bjørn, T. A., Frantzen, B., Aspholm, P. E., & Hagen, S. (2022). Vannfugltellinger i Pasvik naturreservat-Oppsummering 1996-2020. NIBIO Rapport.

#### 6.1.2 - Additional reports and documents

i. taxonomic lists of plant and animal species occurring in the site (see section 4.3)

ii. a detailed Ecological Character Description (ECD) (in a national format)

iii. a description of the site in a national or regional wetland inventory

iv. relevant Article 3.2 reports

v. site management plan

vi. other published literature

<1 file(s) uploaded:

# 6.1.3 - Photograph(s) of the Site

Please provide at least one photograph of the site:



Pasvik Nature Reserve ( 01-09-2016 )



Pasvik Nature Reserve ( Jan-Petter H. Hansen, Norwegian Environment Agency, 02-09-2008

#### 6.1.4 - Designation letter and related data

Designation letter

Date of Designation 1996-03-18